



Validation Data in Red Training set in blue

#### **Fit Details**

	RSquare	N	Number of Splits
Training	0,750	165	13
Validation (30%)	0,698	83	

### Crossvalidation

k-fold		-2LogLike	RSquare
10	Folded	111,817273	0,6814
	Overall	77,7931251	0,7501

Measure	Training	Validation	Definition
Entropy RSquare	0,7501	0,6982	1-Loglike(model)/Loglike(0)
Nagelkerke RSquare	0,9051	0,8783	(1-(L(0)/L(model))^(2/n))/(1-L(0)^(2/n))
Mean -Log p	0,2658	0,3214	Σ -Log(p[j])/n
RMSE	0,2889	0,3257	√ Σ(y[j]-p[j])²/n
Mean Abs Dev	0,1808		Σ ly(i)-p(j)/n
Misclassification Rate	0,1152		$\sum (p[j] \neq pMax)/n$
N	165	83	n

### **Confusion Matrix**

Training

Actual	<b>Predicted Coun</b>		
lesion size (cm)	1	2	3
1	49	10	0
2	2	65	3
3	0	4	32
Validation			

Actual	Predict	ted Cou	Int
lesion size	1	2	3
(cm)			
1	25	6	0
2	2	27	5
3	0	1	17

# **Column Contributions**

Term	Number of Splits	G^2				Portion
cell blocks	1	133,06988				0,4870
cancer type	4	54,4381518		-	1	0,1992
N slices	5	43,1144779				0,1578
Needle type	2	30,2616018	1	1		0,1108
tissue	1	12,332654			1	0,0451

## Response probabilities

Leaf Label	
cell blocks(0)	
cell blocks(1)&tissue(0)&N slices<5	
cell blocks(1)&tissue(0)&N slices>=5	
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices<7	
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices>=16&cancer type(3, 4)	
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices>=16&cancer type(5, 2, 1)	
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(2, 3)&cancer type(1, 2)&	&N slices<9
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(2, 3)^&N slices>=9&ca	incer type(1)
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16^^&N slices>=9&cancer type(2)&Net	edle type(3)
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16^^&N slices>=9&cancer type(2)&Net	edle type(2)
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(2, 3)&cancer type(3, 4)	
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(4)&cancer type(2)	
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(4)&cancer type(5, 4, 1)&	&N slices<10
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(4)&cancer type(5, 4, 1)&	&N slices>=10
cen blocks (factissue (fact sites - fact sites - four eque type (4) acancer type (5, 4, f)	XIN SILCES - IV

## Response counts

Leaf Label	1	2	3
cell blocks(0)	46	0	0
cell blocks(1)&tissue(0)&N slices<5	1	7	0
cell blocks(1)&tissue(0)&N slices>=5	3	2	0
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices<7	0	0	4
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices>=16&cancer type(3, 4)	1	6	0
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices>=16&cancer type(5, 2, 1)	o	0	16 🚞 🗌
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(2, 3)&cancer type(1, 2)&N slices<9	o	6 1	0
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(2, 3)^&N slices>=9&cancer type(1)	0	3	12
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16^^&N slices>=9&cancer type(2)&Needle type(3)	0	3	3
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16^^&N slices>=9&cancer type(2)&Needle type(2)	0	7	0
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(2, 3)&cancer type(3, 4)	o	12 🛄 🕴	0
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(4)&cancer type(2)	0	7 🔟	0
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(4)&cancer type(5, 4, 1)&N slices<10	2	10 🔟 🕴	0
cell blocks(1)&tissue(1)&N slices>=7&N slices<16&Needle type(4)&cancer type(5, 4, 1)&N slices>=10	6	7	1

1	2	3
0,9863	0,0090	0,0046
0,1478	0,8293	0,0228
0,5551	0,4106	0,0343
0,0617	0,0908	0,8475
0,1579 📑 📋	0,8060	0,0361
0,0155	0,0264	0,9581
0,0312	0,9305	0,0383
0,0123	0,2193	0,7684
0,0256	0,5047	0,4697
0,0224	0,9416	0,0360
0,0186	0,9622	0,0192
0,0332	0,9392	0,0276
0,1746 📑 📋	0,8098	0,0156
0,4180 🚞	0,5018	0,0802

### Legends to the figures

**Figure 5.** Partition report for lesion size. Each terminal node informs for the number of counts, total and particular per category level, the candidate  $G^2$  statistic corresponding to a logWorth value (-log<sub>10</sub>(p value)) and the probability fit contribution per level. Zero  $G^2$  values signal a perfect division.

Figure 6. Statistical information on the validity and reliability of the partition modeling.

Figure 7. Leaf report of the 13 pathways according to the decision tree of the study, in response probabilities and numbers of patients.