Abstract

Background: Understanding risk factors for vascular invasion (VI) is crucial for assessing the risk of recurrence and overall prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). This study aimed to construct a prognostic long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) signature and a ceRNA Network associated with vascular invasion in HCC.

Methods: Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of HCC patients associated with VI were identified by analyzing data from TCGA. Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) was used to identify associations between gene expression modules and clinical features. A VI-related prognostic lncRNA signature was then established using univariate, LASSO and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analyses. Based on the hub modules identified by the WGCNA, we constructed a VI-related lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network and screened hub lncRNAs for further research. Finally, we conducted in vitro and in vivo experiments to determine the biological roles of the identified hub gene BBOX1-AS1.

Results: The key module related to VI and OS was identified using WGCNA, after which a prognostic model consisting of eight lncRNAs was established, and verified using time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. BBOX1-AS1 was confirmed to be highly expressed in HCC tissues, and its expression was significantly correlated with a poor prognosis. Silencing BBOX1-AS1 in vitro significantly suppressed the proliferation, migration and invasion of HCC cells. In vivo experiments demonstrated that knocking down of BBOX1-AS1 could result in significant decrease of tumor volume and tumor weight.

Conclusions: The VI-related lncRNA signature established in this study can be used to predict the clinical outcomes of HCC patients. In addition, we constructed a VI-related lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network and demonstrated that BBOX1-AS1 might be a novel biomarker associated with VI in HCC.
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Introduction

HCC is the sixth most common malignancy and the fastest rising cause of cancer-related death globally [1]. HCC has a high recurrence rate and poor survival, mainly due to its high invasiveness and metastatic potential [2-3]. As a critical risk factor of HCC recurrence, vascular invasion is essential for tumor growth and makes it possible for aggressive tumor cells to leave the primary tumor and metastasize [4-5]. VI can be divided into microscopic and macroscopic types, both of which are correlated with tumor recurrence and poor survival [6-7]. Therefore, understanding the risk factors for VI is
crucial for assessing the risk of tumor recurrence and metastasis.

Recent studies have demonstrated that some protein-coding genes as well as genes encoding non-translated RNAs contribute to the progression and metastasis of HCC by promoting VI. LOXL4 and FAM134B were recognized as oncogenes that promote the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and tumor metastasis in HCC [8-9]. In addition, some studies have shown that miRNAs and IncRNAs can regulate the development, proliferation, and apoptosis of HCC [10-11]. Thus, many miRNAs and IncRNAs were identified as potential biomarkers for VI in HCC. MiR-218 and miR-345 can suppress the metastasis of HCC by regulating the EMT [12-13], while IncRNA SBF2-AS1, UCID and ATB can promote the EMT and migration by acting as a ceRNA or regulating EMT-related genes expression in HCC [14-16]. Several studies established and validated prognostic models based on VI-related miRNAs [5,17]. However, there are still no reports of prognostic models for HCC based on VI-related IncRNAs.

Several studies confirmed that a lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network is related to the development of HCC [18,19]. Moreover, researchers also constructed lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA networks for HCC using public databases [20,21]. However, there are still no reports on the construction of a ceRNA network for HCC using VI-related genes. Exploration of specific ceRNA elements associated with vascular invasion might facilitate more precise early detection, prevention or targeted therapeutic strategies. Therefore, the construction of a VI-related ceRNA network for HCC may reveal novel biomarkers associated with vascular invasion.

Using TCGA, we screened DEGs of HCC patients associated with vascular invasion. We also used WGCNA to identify the association between gene expression modules and clinical features. A VI-related prognostic IncRNA signature was then established using univariate, LASSO and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analyses, and verified using time-dependent ROC curve analysis. In addition, we constructed a VI-related lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network. We then analyzed the IncRNAs from the turquoise module of WGCNA and identified BBOX1-AS1 as a hub IncRNA for further research. Finally, the effects of the identified hub gene were validated in vitro.

Materials and Methods

Data retrieval and processing

Expression profiles for mRNAs, IncRNAs, and miRNAs, together with corresponding clinical information of liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC) patients were manually downloaded from the TCGA data portal (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). Using the human GENCODE database (https://www.gencodegenes.org/), we transformed the RNA sequence data into lncRNAs and mRNAs. The LIHC cohort contained 374 tumor samples and 50 normal samples. Since the data was derived from TCGA, no further approval was required from the Ethics Committee.

16 patients with macroscopic invasion and 93 patients with microvascular invasion were identified as patients with VI to further research. After normalization and filtering using the “edgeR” package in the R language, P-value < 0.05 and |logFC| > 1 were used for screening DEGs in HCC patients with VI versus those without VI. Then, the differentially expressed lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs meeting the criteria were displayed in volcano plots. A total of 342 patients with a follow-up time of ≥3 months were randomly divided into validation and training cohorts at a ratio of 3:7 for prognostic analysis, and the clinical features of patients in the two groups were similar.

Constructing the ceRNA network

We used the miRcode database to predict interaction pairs between DElncRNAs and DEMiRNAs. The target mRNAs of miRNAs were retrieved from the miRDB, miRTarBase and TargetScan databases. To increase the reliability of the results, only the miRNA-mRNA pairs found in all 3 databases were selected as candidate genes for constructing the ceRNA network. The ceRNA network was constructed based on the DEMiRNA-DElncRNA and DEMiRNA-DEmRNA interaction pairs, and visualized using Cytoscape software (version 3.7.2; https://www.cytoscape.org/).

Construction of a Weighted Gene Co-expression Network

Weighted gene co-expression network analysis was performed to predict the potential roles of the identified IncRNAs in the progression of HCC. The WGCNA R package was used to assess the relative importance of IncRNAs and their module membership. We first used paired Pearson correlations to evaluate the weighted co-expression relationships among the subjects from all datasets in the adjacency matrix. Then, a topological overlap matrix (TOM) similarity function was used to convert the matrix to a TOM. Subsequently, at least 50 co-expressed genes were aggregated into different modules using the dynamic tree cut method. Finally,
P < 0.05 was used as the statistically significant standard to screen important gene modules. The module-trait relationships showed that the turquoise module was most significantly related to VI. Therefore, the turquoise module was selected for subsequent analyses to explore key genes.

Construction and Validation of a Prognostic Model

In order to find the most relevant prognostic genes, the DElncRNAs from the turquoise module were used to construct a prognostic risk signature via univariate Cox regression. LncRNA expression differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05. For the training group, the screened lncRNAs were further selected and validated through LASSO regression using the “glmnet” R package. Multivariate Cox regression analysis was subsequently used to assess the contribution of each lncRNA and further select the best model using a retrograde stepwise method. A risk score was calculated based on a linear combination of the lncRNA expression level and a multiplied regression coefficient ($\beta$) with the following formula: the risk score = ($\beta_1$ * expression level of lncRNA1) + ($\beta_2$ * expression level of lncRNA2) + ($\beta_3$ * expression level of lncRNA3) + ... + ($\beta_n$ * expression level of lncRNA_n). The prognostic value of the model was examined through Kaplan-Meier survival curve and the time-dependent ROC curve analysis in the training set, testing set and entire set. Subsequently, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were used to evaluate whether the prognostic signature could be an independent prognostic factor when combined with other clinical information.

Gene Set Enrichment Analyses and CIBERSORT

To explore the potential molecular mechanisms underlying our constructed prognostic gene signature, GSEA was performed using the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) of KEGG gene sets (c2.cp.kegg.v6.2.symbols) and immunological signature gene sets (c7.all.v7.0.symbols.gmt). Hits with a P < 0.05 and false discovery rate (FDR) q < 0.25 were considered statistically significant. Normalized gene expression data were used to infer the relative proportions of 22 types of infiltrating immune cells using the CIBERSORT algorithm. The association of the immune-related lncRNA signature with 22 infiltrating immune cells types was analyzed to explore whether this immune-related lncRNA signature may play a crucial role in immune infiltration in HCC.

Tissue Specimens, Cell Culture and Transfection

A total of 43 paired freshly frozen HCC and corresponding noncancerous tissues were obtained from patients who underwent liver resection at the Hepatic Surgery Center of Tongji Hospital and were used for qRT-PCR. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Tongji Hospital and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The HCC cell line MHCC-97H [97H] was purchased from the China Center for Type Culture Collection (CCTCC, Wuhan, China). The cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) and incubated in a humidified atmosphere comprising 5% CO$_2$ at 37 °C. The small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and si-control were purchased from RiboBio (Guangzhou, China). Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used for transient transfection according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To conduct animal experiments, MHCC-97H cells were transfected with the specific short hairpin RNAs to BBOX1-AS1 (sh-BBOX1-AS1). SiRNA target sequences and sh-BBOX1-AS1 sequence are listed in Additional file 1: Table S1.

RNA Isolation and qRT-PCR Analysis

TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) was used to extract the total RNA from tissues and cells according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The reverse transcription was conducted using a reverse-transcription system kit (Takara Bio, Dalian, China). The relative RNA expression levels were determined by qRT-PCR on a CFX Connect™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) using the SYBR Green SuperMix kit (Takara Bio). All gene expression levels were normalized to that of the housekeeping gene glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). The primer sequences are listed in Additional file 1: Table S2.

Transwell and Wound Healing Assays

Cell migration and invasion assays were performed in 24-well Transwell plates (Corning, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Tumor cells in serum-free medium were placed in the upper chamber and culture medium containing 10% FBS was placed in the lower chamber. We used an upper chamber that had been coated with Matrigel (2 mg/ml) for the invasion assay, and an untreated upper chamber for the migration assay. Additionally, 97H cells were cultured in 6-well-plates and scraped with a 200-μl pipette tip. The cells were cultured in DMEM without FBS. Cell migration was recorded.
under a phase-contrast microscope with white light at 0 and 48 h after scratching generation.

**Cell Proliferation Assay**

The proliferation rate of cells was measured using the CCK-8 assay Kit (CCK-8, Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). Cells were seeded into 96-well plates at the indicated density and cultured in complete medium. The absorbance was measured at 450 nM using a SpectraMax 250 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, USA). The EdU assay (RiboBio, Guangzhou, China) was used to determine the cell proliferation capacity. The 97H cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 1×10^4 cells per well and cultured overnight. All operations were performed based on the kit instructions. Fluorescence microscopy was used to observe and analyze the number of EdU-positive cells.

**Colonies formation Assay and Cell Cycle Analysis**

The colony formation assay and cell cycle analysis were performed as described previously [22].

**Animal Studies**

4-week-old male BALB/c mice were obtained from the HFK BioScience Corporation (Beijing, China). Animal studies were approved by the Ethics Committee of Tongji Hospital, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. 97H cells that were transfected with sh-BBOX1-AS1 or sh-NC were subcutaneously injected into the left and right back of nude mice, respectively. Tumors were measured every 3 days and harvested after 18 days of treatment.

**Statistical analysis**

The GraphPad prism 7.0 software and R software (version 3.6.2) were used for picture editing. Experimental data were manifested as mean ± SD. Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test were used to determine differences in survival rates. Survival data were also evaluated using Cox regression model. Student’s t test and one-way ANOVA were utilized for the group difference analysis. Unless otherwise noted, P < 0.05 was considered to be significant.

**Results**

**Differentially expressed mRNAs, miRNAs and IncRNAs**

This study investigated the expression levels of RNAs in HCC samples from the TCGA database to identify DEGs may be related to VI in HCC patients. The 315 HCC patients who had clinical information on vascular invasion were separated into two groups, encompassing 109 patients with VI and 206 patients without VI. Differential expression analysis between the VI group and non-VI group (p < 0.05 and |log FC|>1) identified 592 DElncRNAs (457 upregulated, 135 downregulated), 53 DEmiRNAs (34 upregulated, 19 downregulated), and 893 DEmRNAs (695 upregulated, 198 downregulated) (Fig. 1).

**Construction of a VI-related ceRNA network for HCC**

To better understand the interaction network of IncRNAs, miRNAs and mRNAs related to vascular invasion in HCC, we constructed a IncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA regulatory network based on the identified DEGs. As shown in Fig. 2A, 10 DEmiRNAs were found to interact with 49 DElncRNAs using the miRcode database. Then, we searched for DEmiRNAs based on the 10 DEmiRNAs in three databases (miRDB, miRTarBase, and TargetScan), and miRNA-mRNA interaction pairs encompassing 10 DEmiRNAs and 434 mRNAs were confirmed. The 434 targeted mRNAs were further intersected with the 893 retrieved DEmiRNAs, and 8 DEmiRNAs were found to be involved in the ceRNA regulatory network (Fig. 2B). After removing the remaining 6 DEmiRNAs and

**Figure 1. Identification of differentially expressed genes and construction of a VI-related ceRNA network. (A-C) Volcano plot of the DElncRNAs, DEmiRNAs and DEmiRNAs. The red dots are defined as upregulated genes and green dots are defined as downregulated genes. Black dots represent no differentially expressed genes.**
the corresponding lncRNAs, a total of 37 DElncRNAs, 4 DEmiRNAs, and 8 DEmRNAs were used to establish a ceRNA network (Fig. 2B).

**WGCNA and Identification of Key Modules Related to VI and OS**

After extracting the FPKM value expression matrix of the lncRNAs, a total of 592 DElncRNAs were selected and subjected to WGCNA, which was used to construct gene co-expression modules that assign these genes to different modules in cluster dendrograms (Fig. 3A). A plot of module membership vs. gene significance of the five HCC-related modules is shown in Figure 3B. The turquoise module not only exhibited the highest correlation with VI (cor = 0.28, P = 2e−06) but also showed significant module membership relevance to OS status (cor = 0.13, P = 0.03). Thus, the turquoise module was considered as the most important module related to VI and the prognosis of HCC (Fig. 3C).

![Figure 2. Construction of a VI-related ceRNA network. (A) Flow chart of ceRNA network construction. (B) VI-related ceRNA network. Red represents upregulated genes, green represents downregulated genes. Balls represent lncRNAs, squares represent miRNAs and triangles represent mRNAs.](http://www.jcancer.org)
Figure 3. Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA). (A) Sample dendrogram and trait heatmap. (B) Correlation between gene modules and clinical traits. (C) Scatter plot of genes in the turquoise module. (D) Sankey diagram for the VI-related hub ceRNA network of HCC. Left bar: lncRNA; middle bar: miRNA; right bar: mRNA.

The 37 DElncRNAs in the constructed ceRNA network were intersected with the 233 IncRNAs from the turquoise module, and 10 intersected IncRNAs were identified as the most VI-relevant IncRNAs. Based on the relationships in the abovementioned ceRNA network, we constructed a VI-related hub ceRNA network consisting of 8 mRNAs, 4 miRNAs, and 10 lncRNAs (Fig. 3D).

**Confirmation of the Prognostic IncRNA Signature**

The 233 genes in the turquoise module were analyzed using univariate Cox regression and the results showed that a total of 93 DElncRNAs were
significantly correlated with OS (P < 0.05). Next, LASSO regression was employed to verify additional variables in the training cohort (Fig. 4A,B). To identify potential prognostic markers among the survival-related variables, K-M survival analyses and log-rank tests were performed for each gene to evaluate the 19 lncRNAs. Based on 16 lncRNA that were significantly correlated with overall survival (Table S3), multivariate Cox regression analyses was applied to select potential prognostic lncRNAs, and their contributions were weighted by their relative coefficients. The final risk score formula was as follows: risk score = (0.2190 × expression level of EGLN3-AS1) + (0.3118 × expression level of AC114489.1) + (0.2786 × expression level of ARHGAP31-AS1) + (0.2622 × expression level of AC006372.1) + (0.2261 × expression level of AC004704.1) + (0.4013 × expression level of AL445430.1) + (0.2268 × expression level of AC00559) + (0.2261 × expression level of AC006372.1) + (0.2786 × expression level of ARHGAP31-AS1) + (0.2622 × expression level of AC006372.1) + (0.2261 × expression level of AC004704.1) + (0.4013 × expression level of AL445430.1) + (0.2268 × expression level of AC00559) + (0.1678 × expression level of AC006372.1) + (0.2261 × expression level of AC004704.1) + (0.4013 × expression level of AL445430.1) + (0.2268 × expression level of AC00559) + (0.1678 × expression level of AC006372.1). The lncRNA risk score for clinical prognosis in the training set was determined using the risk prediction formula.

Validation of the Prognostic lncRNA Signature

The risk score was computed for every case, and all cases were classified as low- or high-risk based on the optimal cutoff value of the risk score derived using the survminer R package. Survival curves for the high-risk group were significantly different from the low-risk group, as shown in the Kaplan-Meier plots (Fig. 4C). In the training set, cases with high risk scores had shorter OS than low-risk cases (P<0.0001) (Fig. 4D). Additionally, time-dependent ROC curves were utilized to assess the prognostic performance of the lncRNA biomarkers. The AUC values of the ROC curve at 1, 3, and 5 years were 0.803, 0.743, and 0.761, respectively (Fig. 4E).

The formula was further used in the validation cohort and the entire cohort to verify the prognostic significance. Figure 5A,C shows the Kaplan-Meier curves for the low- and high-risk groups in the validation cohort and the entire set. The OS of patients benefited from a low risk score in the validation cohort (P=0.00019) and the entire set (P<0.0001). The ROC curve analysis in the validation set showed AUC values at 1, 3, and 5 years of 0.801, 0.757, and 0.765, respectively (Fig. 5B). Finally, the ROC curve analysis for the entire set was also performed and the AUC values at 1, 3, and 5 years were 0.799, 0.741, and 0.75 (Fig. 5D).

In addition, the hazard ratio (HR) for the risk score following univariate Cox proportional hazards regression was 4.081 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.256-7.383; Fig. 5E). Consistent results were obtained through multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression (HR = 3.921, 95% CI: 2.047-7.511) adjusted for clinical covariates (Fig. 5F).

Therefore, all the results suggested that the VI-related lncRNA signature has good sensitivity and specificity for predicting the clinical outcomes of HCC patients.

Functional Analysis of the Prognostic lncRNA Signature

Our results suggested that the group scoring high in the lncRNA signature showed significant enrichment in 39 pathways including cell cycle, DNA replication, metabolism, pathways in cancer, NOTCH signaling pathway, mTOR signaling pathway, and P53 signaling pathway (Figs. 6A-C). Elucidating the biological functions of the eight lncRNAs would help us gain insights into the underlying molecular mechanisms related to tumor initiation and progression. In the high-risk group, many immunological signatures were enriched, such as memory CD4+ T cells versus TH2 cells down, induced Treg cells versus natural Treg cells down, germinal center (GC) B cells versus memory B cells up, etc. (Figs. 6D-F). These findings suggested that the prognostic lncRNA signature is related to immune regulation.

Our prognostic lncRNA signature significant positive correlations with tumor infiltration by naïve B cells (r =0.11; p = 0.036), M0 macrophages (r =0.27; p < 0.001), and regulatory T cells (Tregs) (r =0.12; p = 0.023), as well as significant negative correlations with tumor infiltration by resting mast cells (r =-0.1; p = 0.044), monocytes (r =-0.13; p = 0.014), and resting CD4+ memory T cells (r =-0.13; p = 0.011) (Fig. 7). These findings suggest that the prognostic lncRNA signature is associated with immune cell infiltration in HCC, which was consistent with the analysis of immunological signatures.

Identification of Hub lncRNAs Related to VI and OS

Based on the criteria P < 0.05 and |LogFC| > 1, a total of 3752 DElncRNAs between HCC tumor samples and adjacent normal tissues were identified in the TCGA-LIHC database. Then, the 233 genes in the turquoise module were intersected with the 3752 DElncRNAs and 131 overlapping lncRNAs were identified (Fig. S1). We conducted univariate Cox regression analysis on the 131 overlapping lncRNAs and identified 62 genes significantly correlated with the OS of HCC patients (Table S4). Next, the top genes with low P-values were identified as hub genes. The most highly ranked hub gene, HOXA11-AS5, was already recognized as an oncogene of HCC in
previous studies. Therefore, the second most significant hub gene, BBOX1-AS1, whose relationship with HCC was not studied in detail, was chosen for more in-depth analysis.

Figure 4. Construction of the prognostic model in the training group. (A) LASSO coefficient profiles for significantly correlated lncRNAs in univariate Cox regression analysis. (B) Cross-validation for selecting the optimal lambda value for the LASSO model. (C) Distribution of risk scores, survival status, and gene expression patterns of patients in high- and low-risk groups. (D) Kaplan-Meier survival curves of the low- and high-risk groups. (E) Time-dependent ROC curve analyses of low- and high-risk groups.
Figure 5. Validation of the prognostic model in the validation cohort and the entire cohort. (A) Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the validation cohort. (B) Time-dependent ROC curve analysis for the validation cohort. (C) Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the entire cohort. (D) Time-dependent ROC curves analysis for the entire cohort. (E) Univariate analysis results for the entire cohort. (F) Multivariate analysis results for the entire cohort.
Figure 6. GSEA of the biological pathways related to the lncRNA model. (A-C) The significantly enriched KEGG pathways in the high-risk group. (D-F) The significantly enriched immunological signatures in the high-risk group.

Figure 7. Association between the lncRNA signature and tumor infiltration by different immune cell subsets. (A) Correlation between the signature and infiltration by naïve memory B cells. (B) Correlation between the signature and M0 macrophages. (C) Correlation between the signature and regulatory T cells (Tregs). (D) Correlation between the signature and resting mast cells. (E) Correlation between the signature and monocytes. (F) Correlation between the signature and resting CD4+ memory T cells.
The expression and function of BBOX1-AS1 in TCGA

As shown in the boxplot (Fig. 8A), BBOX1-AS1 was found to be overexpressed in cancerous tissues compared with normal tissues in the TCGA LIHC dataset. Consequently, we decided to further analyze the expression of BBOX1-AS1 in HCC tissues from patients with VI and patients without VI, which revealed that BBOX1-AS1 was overexpressed in patients with VI (Fig. 8B). Moreover, we analyzed the relationship between BBOX1-AS1 expression and the pathological tumor grade or stage and found that the expression level of BBOX1-AS1 was positively correlated with both tumor grade and stage in HCC patients. These results indicated high BBOX1-AS1 expression was likely directly associated with poor clinical outcomes (Figs. 8C and D). To explore the impact of BBOX1-AS1 expression on the prognosis of patients, we performed a survival analysis and found that patients with high BBOX1-AS1 expression had shorter overall survival and relapse-free survival (Figs. 8E and F).

Exploring the role of BBOX1-AS1 in HCC

Consistent with the results described above, BBOX1-AS1 was also overexpressed in cancerous tissues from HCC patients with VI in the Tongji cohorts (Figs. 9A and B). To further test its effects in tumor cells, in vitro study was carried out. The transfection efficiency of the cells was evaluated by qRT-PCR (Fig. 9C). To elucidate the proliferation rate of HCC cells after BBOX1-AS1 silencing, CCK-8 and EdU assays were performed. The CCK-8 assay yielded lower absorbance in HCC cells with BBOX1-AS1 silence at days 4 and 5 (Fig. 9D). The EdU assay also suggested a decrease of the proliferation ability in HCC cells with BBOX1-AS1 silence (Fig. 9E). Moreover, the colony formation assay also indicated that BBOX1-AS1 silencing significantly suppressed the growth of HCC cells (Fig. 9F). To further confirm the role of BBOX1-AS1 in invasion and migration, transwell assays were performed and the results showed that BBOX1-AS1 silencing significantly suppressed the invasion and migration rates of HCC cells (Fig. 9G). Accordingly, BBOX1-AS1 silencing also significantly repressed wound healing in HCC cells (Fig. 9H). The potential influence of BBOX1-AS1 on cell cycle was also analyzed in 97H cells, and the results revealed that the cell cycle was arrested in the G0/G1 phase following BBOX1-AS1 silencing (Fig. 9I). Consistent with in vitro observations, knocking down of BBOX1-AS1 resulted in significant decrease of tumor volume and tumor weight (Figs. 9 K-L).

Discussion

As a well-known factor contributing to tumor recurrence and poor prognosis, VI is essential for tumor growth and makes it possible for aggressive cancer cells to leave the primary tumor for distant metastatic sites [5,17]. Although there is limited information on the underlying molecular mechanisms that modulate VI, recent genomic analyses have demonstrated that unique genes and ncRNAs play important roles in metastasis [23]. The advancement of high-throughput technologies has enabled the comprehensive analysis of gene expression and its incorporation into routine clinical prognosis for HCC patients.

Various studies have demonstrated that IncRNAs can be important prognostic factors for clinical outcomes because their dysregulation promotes the EMT, invasion and migration of HCC. For example, the IncRNA SNHG3 was found to induce the EMT and sorafenib resistance by modulating the miR-128/CD151 pathway in HCC. Another study found that the IncRNA DANCER is associated with the EMT, leading to tumor recurrence and metastasis [24,25]. Accordingly, several researchers developed prognostic IncRNA signatures for HCC [26,27]. However, to our best knowledge, there is no prognostic model based on VI-related IncRNAs for HCC. In this study, we used the data of HCC patients available in TCGA to identify differentially expressed IncRNAs between patients with and without VI, which may be closely associated with patient survival. Different from previous publications, this study therefore aimed to predict the survival of HCC patients using a VI-related IncRNA signature. VI-associated DelncRNAs were comprehensively screened using WGCNA and univariate Cox analysis. Then, LASSO regression was applied to the IncRNA data from TCGA, and 19 IncRNAs were filtered out, after which Kaplan–Meier and multiple Cox regression analyses were utilized to construct the prognostic model. Finally, after the construction of the model, Kaplan-Meier analysis and time-dependent ROC curves were employed to confirm the prognostic significance of the IncRNA signature. Further validation was carried out in both the validation cohort and the entire cohort. These results demonstrate that the eight-IncRNA signature is useful for predicting VI in HCC tumor tissues, and is therefore useful for clinical prognosis.

GSEA indicated that the IncRNAs from our prognostic signature are mainly involved in the cell cycle, DNA replication, metabolism and some canonical pathways related to HCC initiation and development. These GSEA findings provide new evidence that IncRNAs whose biological functions
have not been reported to date could potentially serve as prognostic biomarkers for HCC. Nonetheless, these results should be validated in future studies, and the underlying molecular mechanisms should also be investigated.

Figure 8. The expression and function of BBOX1-AS1 in TCGA. (A) The mRNA expression levels of BBOX1-AS1 were significantly higher in cancer tissues than in paired normal tissues. (B) The expression level of BBOX1-AS1 in patients with VI was higher than in patients without VI. (C) The expression level of BBOX1-AS1 was positively correlated with tumor stage in HCC patients. (D) The expression level of BBOX1-AS1 was positively correlated with tumor grade in HCC patients. (E) Overall survival analysis stratified by BBOX1-AS1 expression based on TCGA data. (F) Disease free survival analysis stratified by BBOX1-AS1 expression based on TCGA data.
Some lncRNAs can control tumor immunity, through mechanisms such as regulation of the tumor microenvironment (TME), immune cell infiltration, and immune cell differentiation. Several recent papers have shown that multiple lncRNAs may act as mediators of the function of dendritic cells (DCs), T cells, B cells, and immune tolerance. These lncRNAs include lincRNA-EPS in macrophages, the lncRNAs Smad3 and BLACAT1 in T cells, as well as the lncRNA MALAT1 in B cells [28-31]. In this study, we also found that our ncRNA signature was correlated with HCC tumor infiltration by B cells, M0 macrophages, Tregs, resting mast cells, monocytes and resting CD4+ memory T cells. These results were consistent with analyses of the immunological signature of HCC, which suggested that the immune-related lncRNA signature may play a role in immune infiltration and immune regulation of HCC.

The analysis of public databases and construction of a comprehensive ceRNA regulatory network can reveal novel biomarkers associated with the tumorigenesis and development of HCC. Several recent studies analyzed the ceRNA network of HCC [21,32]. However, there are no reports of a ceRNA network constructed based on VI. In this study, we identified differentially expressed lncRNAs, miRNAs and mRNAs between HCC patients with and without VI, which were then applied to construct an lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the specific ceRNA network of VI-related DEGs in HCC. Further exploration of specific ceRNA elements associated with VI might facilitate more precise early detection, prevention or targeted therapeutic strategies.

In addition, we analyzed the lncRNAs of the turquoise module in WGCNA and screened hub lncRNAs for further research. BBOX1-AS1 is one of the best-studied oncogenic lncRNAs, but its role in HCC is still incompletely understood. In recent studies, BBOX1-AS1 was identified as an oncogene that is closely associated with various cancers. For example, Liu et al. found that BBOX1-AS1 contributes to colorectal cancer progression by sponging miR-361-3p and targeting SH2B1 [33]. Xu et al. reported that BBOX1-AS1 upregulates HOXC6 expression through miR-361-3p and HuR to drive cervical cancer progression [34]. BBOX1-AS1 was also recently demonstrated to promote the proliferation and inhibit the apoptosis of gastric cancer cells [35]. However, the expression patterns and functions of BBOX1-AS1 in HCC have not been reported to date.

In the TCGA HCC cohort, we found that BBOX1-AS1 was overexpressed in cancerous tissues compared with adjacent normal tissues, and its high expression was associated with unfavorable clinical characteristics. Furthermore, we found that high BBOX1-AS1 expression was highly correlated with
worse overall survival and relapse-free survival. Subsequently, the expression and function of BBOX1-AS1 in HCC was validated using clinical samples, in vitro, and in vivo experiments. Our results were consistent with the conclusions of the analysis of public databases. To our best knowledge, this is the first study to explore the expression and function of BBOX1-AS1 in HCC.

In conclusion, WGCNA was used to identify key modules related to VI and OS in HCC. We then established a VI-related prognostic lncRNA signature that may be an independent biomarker for predicting the clinical outcomes of HCC patients. Finally, we constructed a VI-related lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network and screened a hub lncRNA, whose role was validated by data mining and in vitro experiments.
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