

Research Paper



2021; 12(10): 3089-3097. doi: 10.7150/jca.56149

Changes of Body Mass Index and Body Shape in relation to risk of Gastric Cancer: A population-based case-control study

Xiaolin Yin¹, Xiaorong Yang^{2,3}, Tongchao Zhang¹, Ziyu Yuan^{4,5}, Hui Chen^{2,3}, Li Jin^{4,5,6}, Xingdong Chen^{4,5,6}, Ming Lu^{1,2,3,4^{III}} and Weimin Ye^{5,7,8}

- 1. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China.
- 2. Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China.
- 3. Clinical Research Center of Shandong University, Jinan, China.
- 4. State Key Laboratory of Genetic Engineering, Collaborative Innovation Center for Genetics and Development, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.
- 5. Fudan University Taizhou Institute of Health Sciences, Taizhou, China.
- 6. Human Phenome Institute, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.
- 7. Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 8. Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics & Key Laboratory of Ministry of Education for Gastrointestinal Cancer, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China.

🖂 Corresponding author: Ming Lu, Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, 107 Wenhuaxi Road, Jinan, Shandong 250012, China. ORCID: 0000-0002-8685-6322. Tel: +86-531-82169051; Fax: +86-531-86927544; E-mail: lvming@sdu.edu.cn.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2020.11.29; Accepted: 2021.03.04; Published: 2021.03.23

Abstract

Background: The results of previous studies are heterogeneous about the effect of body fatness on risk of gastric cancer (GC). Herein we investigated the effect of changes of BMI and body shape on risk of GC.

Methods: A population-based case-control study enrolled 1989 controls and 937 GC cases. Logistic regression models were used to calculate odd ratios (*ORs*) and 95% confidence intervals (*Cls*) for BMI and body shape in association with GC risk, according to anatomical subsite, Laurén's classification, sex and *Helicobacter pylori* (*Hp*) infection.

Results: Subjects with higher BMI or body shape 10 years before interview had a lower risk of GC regardless of anatomical subsite, Laurén's classification, and sex (all *P* for trend <0.05). But the relative risk patterns were different by *Hp* status. When checking the effect of changes of body fatness, in *Hp*+ stratum, the *ORs* (95% *CI*) were 0.40 (0.17-0.93) for subjects who were underweight at age 20 but had increased BMI afterwards, and 0.48 (0.32-0.73) for those of body shape 1/2 at age 20 but increased body shape subsequently, compared to subjects with stable BMI or body shape. When subjects had a normal BMI or 3/4 body shape at age 20, weight loss nearly doubled the risk of GC, and weight gain would decrease the risk.

Conclusion: The association between body fatness and GC risk might differ by time point of measurement and Hp-infection status. Further, the influence of changes of body fatness might be different by baseline body fatness and Hp-infection status.

Key words: body mass index; body shape; gastric cancer; Helicobacter pylori; case-control study

Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most dreadful malignancy around the world, with over 1,000,000 new cases and an estimated 783,000 deaths reported in 2018 [1]. China is a high-risk area for GC, and there were nearly 44% of GC cases and 50% of the deaths from GC occurring in China alone [2]. Besides *Helicobacter pylori* (*Hp*) infection [3], other

well-established risk factors include smoking [4], high dietary salt intake [5], and family history of GC or gastric mucosal abnormality [6]. Nevertheless, it remains in dispute whether body fatness is associated with the risk of GC. Prevalence of overweight and obesity has increased rapidly in China, especially among children and adolescents [7,8]. Overweight and obesity has become a major health challenge [7], and more attention is needed to explore its association with the risk of GC.

GC can be subdivided by anatomic subsite into esophagogastric-junction cancer (EGIC) and true gastric cancer (TGC, cancer in the other areas of the stomach) [9], and they might be differently influenced by overweight and obesity. Some studies reported significant positive association between overweight or obesity and the risk of EGJC [10-13], while some did not [14]. On the other hand, Levi et al found that adolescent obesity was associated with an increased risk of subsequent TGC in both men and women [15]. However, Fan *et al* pointed out that higher body mass index (BMI) was associated with a decreased risk of TGC, particularly in men and older persons [16]. Besides, Laurén divided GC into intestinal type and diffuse type, according to the presence of glandular growth pattern [17], and the two histopathological subtypes reportedly show different trends in incidence or etiology [18].

BMI is one of the most used measures to assess body fatness, and it has high specificity but low sensitivity to identify adiposity [19]. In addition, assessment of body shape was also used as an indicator for body fatness, the validity of which was verified in the Boston-based Third Harvard Growth Study [20]. Moreover, although there were many studies considering the changes in body fatness, they didn't take into account of the effect of baseline body fatness [11,21].

Taixing of Jiangsu Province, situating in Eastern China, is a high incidence area of GC [22]. A population-based case-control study of upper gastrointestinal cancer was conducted in this area since 2009. The present study aims to examine the associations between changes in BMI and body shape and risk of GC.

Methods

Study population

The detailed design of this population-based case-control study could be found in our previous publications [23,24]. In brief, we carried out a population-based case-control study in Taixing of Jiangsu province, from October 2010 to September 2013.

Recruitment of cases and controls

Eligible participants were limited to those between ages of 40 and 85 years, and living in Taixing for at least 5 years. GC cases were recruited if the patients were suspected as GC cases during gastroscopy examination in four largest local hospitals (the Taixing People's Hospital, the Second People's Hospital of Taixing, the Taixing Chinese Medicine Hospital and the Third People's Hospital of Taixing), where more than 90% of the patients in Taixing were diagnosed. And we established a rapid case recruitment system that directly notified our study staff to interview the suspected GC cases. To find all potential GC cases, a cross-matching with the local Cancer Registry's list of cases was made each year to identify any missing cases. Finally, all cases were examined by a pathologist and excluded if not confirmed. The detailed process is shown in Figure 1.

Controls were from the local Population Registry System, which covers the whole population of Taixing. They were randomly selected every 12 months and a frequency matching method for control selection was used to increase statistical power. We recruited the controls at the same time for all upper gastrointestinal cancer cases because of the approximately identical distribution of age and sex. All upper gastrointestinal cancer cases were stratified by sex and 5-years age groups, and corresponding controls were selected by an appropriate ratio for each stratum, considering the response rate among controls. In this way, 3501 controls were randomly selected, of whom 643 were excluded because of the death before being contacted, outmigration or inability to be contacted. Finally, 2011 controls participated in this study (response rate: 70.4%), of whom 19 controls were out of the age range (40-85 years) and 1992 controls were included in the final dataset.

Data collection

All participants were interviewed by trained interviewers face to face, using an electronic questionnaire. Cases were under investigation before they knew their diagnoses of cancer. Data on demographic characteristics and other study variables were obtained, including the body weight, height and body shape at age 20 and 10 years before interview. BMI was calculated as the body weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters and divided into four categories: underweight, < 18.5 kg/m²; normal, \geq 18.5 to < 24 kg/m²; overweight, \geq 24 to < 28 kg/m²; obesity, \ge 28 kg/m². Body shape was chosen from the revised image of somatotypes (Figure S1) [25], from which we deleted the two highest levels for men considering the local prevalence of obesity. For women, due to small numbers, those with body shape > 7 were included in the body shape 7 group. Family wealth score, classified according to quintiles, was created based on the ownership of specific household appliances and other variables. Family history of GC was defined as having at least one GC reported in first-degree relatives. Job intensity was classified into four categories based on physical labor (1 for which is a sedentary job, 2 for which is easy to finish with little effort, 3 for which can increase heart rate and sweating slightly, and 4 for which is hard to finish with heart rate increasing significantly and sweating a lot). Meanwhile, serum samples collected from controls and cases were used to detect *Hp* infection by immunoblot assay (Syno Gene Digital Technology, Taizhou, China). In this study, *Hp* infection was defined as *Yes* if the Ure-B antibody was seropositive (cut-off value \geq 5).

Subtypes by anatomical subsite, and Laurén's classification

Anatomically, GC cases were divided into EGJC and TGC based on the illustration of tumor sites in their gastroscopy reports. Meanwhile, GC cases were also classified as intestinal type and diffuse type, according to Laurén's classification [17].

Statistical analysis

Distribution of demographic characteristics and other study variables was presented as mean and standard deviation for continuous variables, and frequency and percentage for categorical variables. Unconditional logistic regression models were used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for BMI and body shape in association with risk of GC, according to anatomical classification, Laurén's classification, sex or Hp infection, respectively. All relative risk estimates were adjusted for the following potential confounding factors: age (continuous), sex (male or female), education (illiteracy, primary school, junior school or high school and above), marital status (unmarried, married or divorced/widowed), occupation (farmer,



Figure 1. Flow diagram of inclusion/exclusion criteria for cases.

worker or others), Hp infection (yes or no), sum of missing and filled teeth (none, < 6 or ≥ 6), daily frequency of brushing teeth (< 2 or \geq 2), tea drinking (yes or no), smoking (never, ≤ 30 or > 30 pack-years), alcohol drinking (never, ≤ 80 or > 80 g/day), job intensity (1, 2, 3 or 4), family wealth score (quintiles) and family history of GC among first-degree relatives (ves or no). Likelihood ratio tests were used to test the effect modification by family wealth, smoking, alcohol drinking and job intensity. Then, sensitivity analyses were undertaken by excluding cases only from the local Cancer Registry and by excluding subjects older than 75. All analyses were performed using Stata software (version 14.0). All tests were two-sided and P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Ethics approval

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the School of Life Sciences, Fudan University and the Institutional Review Board of Qilu Hospital, Shandong University. Written informed consent was received from all participants.

Results

The study was based on 1989 controls and 937 cases, after excluding 10 subjects with incomplete information on our concerned variables.

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of all participants. The mean age was slightly higher in cases than controls. The distribution of sex showed no significant difference. Compared with controls, cases had lower educational level, and were less likely to be married, more likely to have *Hp* infection. Further, they had higher sum of missing and filled teeth, less daily frequency of brushing teeth, more daily alcohol drinking, higher-intensity work, and were more likely to have family history of GC among first-degree relatives.

The associations between BMI and body shape in different time points (age 20 and 10 years before interview) and risks of GC are shown in Table 2. BMI or body shape at age 20 did not have significant effect on risk of GC. However, compared with participants with normal BMI 10 years before interview, those with lower BMI had an increased risk of GC, while those with higher BMI had a decreased risk of GC (*P* for trend < 0.001). This pattern also applied to body shape 10 years before interview, and a more obvious relative risk gradient was observed. Analysis by anatomic subsite showed similar results (Table S1), and so did when stratified by Laurén's classification (Table S2) and sex (Table S3).

Table I. Demographic characteristics among the study participants

Characteristics Controls (N=1989) GC (N=937) PA Age, mean ± SD, years 66.23 ± 8.78 68.10 ± 932 <0.001 Sex, n (%) 1371 (68.93) 671 (71.61) 0.140 Women 618 (31.07) 266 (28.39) Education, n (%) 393 (26.65) 191 (20.38) Primary school 530 (27.65) 191 (20.38) Marital status, n (%) 200 (25.14) 69 (7.36) Unmarried 67 (3.37) 30 (3.20) 0.009 Married 1587 (79.79) 705 (75.24) Divorced/Widowed 335 (16.84) 20 (24.44) Occupation, n (%) 132 (14.09) 132 (14.04) Worker 401 (20.16) 132 (14.09) Missing 21 (1.06) 6 (0.64) Sum or missing and filled text, n (%) None 500 (25.14) 195 (20.81) 0.038 <6 749 (37.66) 380 (40.5) Missing				-
Age, mean ± SD, years66.23 ± 8.7868.10 ± 8.92<0001Sex, n (%)1371 (68.93)671 (71.61)0.140Women168 (31.07)266 (28.39)1Education, n (%)253 (27.05)95 (31.48)0.01Primary school530 (26.65)191 (20.38)1Primary school and above162 (8.14)89 (7.36)1Married1527 (7979)705 (75.24)0.009Married353 (16.84)202 (21.56)1Divorced/Widowed335 (16.84)202 (21.56)0.069Worker401 (20.16)173 (18.46)1Primer1257 (63.20)632 (67.45)0.069Worker401 (20.16)173 (18.46)1Primer1257 (63.20)632 (67.45)0.069Worker1319 (66.31)702 (74.92)1Yes1319 (66.31)702 (74.92)1Sum of missing and filled teeth, n (%)11Sam of missing and filled teeth, n (%)112121 (10.6)80 (40.55)1Missing23 (1.16)45 (4.80)1Daily frequency of brushing teeth, n (%)11221.11)32 (1.62)1Sam finking, n (%)23 (2.64.5)23 (2.64.5)Yes52 (26.45.5)23 (2.64.5)1Missing28 (1.41)49 (5.23)Subding, n (%), pack-years23 (2.64.5)24 (27.5)Subding, n (%), pack-years23 (2.65.6)24 (27.5)Subding, n (%), gold <td>Characteristics</td> <td>Controls (N=1989)</td> <td>GC (N=937)</td> <td>Pa</td>	Characteristics	Controls (N=1989)	GC (N=937)	Pa
Sex, nt%)Sex, nt%)Men1371 (68.93)671 (71.61)10.40Women163 (13.07)266 (28.39)10.40Education, nt%)295 (31.68)382 (40.77)10.10Junior school530 (26.65)191 (20.38)1.50Junior school530 (26.61)191 (20.38)1.50Marital stature, nt%)102 (21.51)1.501.50Marital stature, nt%)105 (79.79)705 (75.24)1.50Divorced/Widowed135 (79.79)705 (75.24)1.50Divorced/Widowed102 (10.10)1.73 (18.46)1.50Otcupation, nt%)1257 (63.20)632 (17.40)1.50Worker102 (10.10)1.73 (18.46)1.50Others311 (66.41)1.73 (18.46)1.50Others1.319 (66.31)702 (74.92)1.50Yes1.319 (66.31)702 (74.92)1.50Yes1.319 (66.31)715 (38.31)1.50Yes1.319 (66.31)715 (38.31)1.50Seand missing and filled teett, r(%)None1.50 (80.33)1.50None1.290 (64.86)317 (33.83)1.50Zei1.290 (64.86)1.50 (80.31)1.50Seand missing2.01.10)8.50 (80.51)1.50Seand missing2.01.10)1.50 (80.21)1.50Seand missing2.01.10)1.50 (80.21)1.50Seand missing2.01.10)2.50 (69.31)1.50Seand missing2.61 (20.11)1.50 (80.21)1.50Seand	Age, mean ± SD, years	66.23 ± 8.78	68.10 ± 8.92	<0.001
Men1371 (68.93)671 (71.61)0.140Women618 (31.07)265 (31.48)0.001Education, n (%)358 (27.05)352 (31.48)0.001Primary school538 (27.05)352 (31.48)0.01Inior school502 (65.63)104 (20.65)119 (20.38)High school and above162 (8.14)69 (7.36)MariedMarried1587 (79.79)705 (75.24)0.01Married355 (16.84)202 (21.56)1.01Divorced/Widowed335 (16.84)202 (21.56)1.01Parmer1257 (63.20)632 (67.45)0.069Worker311 (6.64)173 (18.46)1.01Phinfertiom1319 (66.31)702 (72.92)1.01Yes1319 (66.31)702 (73.92)1.01Yes500 (25.14)195 (20.81)0.038Sef749 (37.66)380 (40.55)1.01Yes1319 (66.31)173 (38.39)1.01Sef749 (73.64)152 (16.22)1.01Sef749 (53.62)2.02 (16.83)1.01S	Sex, n (%)			
Women618 (31.07)266 (28.39)Education, n (%)Education, n (%)Primary school759 (38.16)382 (40.77)Junior school530 (26.65)191 (20.38)High school and above162 (8.14)69 (7.33)Marital status, n (%)Ummarried67 (3.37)30 (3.20)0.009Married1587 (79.79)705 (75.24)1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Men	1371 (68.93)	671 (71.61)	0.140
Education, n (%) Even to the second sec	Women	618 (31.07)	266 (28.39)	
Hilteracy 538 (27.05) 295 (31.48) 0.001 Primary school 759 (38.16) 382 (40.77) junior school 530 (26.65) 191 (20.38) junior school 530 (26.65) 191 (20.38) juniariad 1537 (79.79) 705 (75.24) junior school 67 (3.37) 30 (3.20) 0.009 Married 1587 (79.79) 705 (75.24) junior school <	Education, n (%)	· · · ·		
Intention Intention Intention Intention Intention Junior school 530 (26.65) 191 (20.38) High school and above 162 (8.14) 697 (36.7) Marital status, n (%) Uummarried 67 (3.37) 30 (3.20) 0.009 Married 1587 (79.79) 705 (75.24) Image and above 162 (8.14) 202 (21.56) Divorced/Widowed 335 (16.84) 202 (21.56) 0.069 Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) 0.069 Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) 0.069 Worker 1319 (66.31) 702 (74.92) Morion Missing 21 (1.06) 6 (0.64) 173 (38.0) 120 (74.92) None 500 (25.14) 195 (20.81) 0.038 56 749 (37.66) 380 (40.55) 120 (38.8) Se6 749 (37.66) 380 (40.55) 120 (45.48) 120 (45.48) 120 (45.48) Daily frequency of brushing 22 (1.11) 152 (16.22) 120 (45.48) 120 (45.48) 120 (45.48) Subing 23 (21.51)	Illiteracy	538 (27 05)	295 (31 48)	0.001
Image Sector 52 (26.65) 191 (20.38) High school and above 162 (8.14) 69 (7.36) Marital status, n (%) U U Unmarried 1587 (79.79) 705 (75.24) Divorced/Widowed Marined 1587 (79.79) 705 (75.24) Divorced/Widowed Divorced/Widowed 335 (16.84) 202 (21.56) Occupation, n (%) Farmer 1257 (63.20) 632 (67.45) 0.009 Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) Others Sing 21 (1.06) 632 (67.45) 0.001 Yes 1319 (66.31) 702 (74.92) Missing Sum of missing and filled teeth. n (%) U More 500 (25.14) 195 (20.81) 0.038 <6	Primary school	759 (38 16)	382 (40 77)	
Jamin school 5.0 (20.50) 171 (20.50) Married 169 (7.36) Married 1587 (79.79) 705 (75.34) Divorced/Widowed 335 (16.84) 202 (21.56) Divorced/Widowed 335 (16.84) 202 (21.56) Occupation, n (%) 173 (18.46) 0.069 Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) 0.019 Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) 0.019 Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) 0.011 Worker 1319 (66.31) 702 (74.92) 1.001 Missing 21 (1.06) 6 (0.64) 500 (25.14) 195 (20.81) 0.038 <6	Junior school	530 (26 65)	191 (20.38)	
Ingristion and above marial status, n (%)ite is stress is stress <br< td=""><td>High school and above</td><td>162 (8.14)</td><td>60 (7 36)</td><td></td></br<>	High school and above	162 (8.14)	60 (7 36)	
Name 67 (3.37) 30 (3.20) 0.009 Married 1587 (79.79) 705 (75.24) Divorced/Widowed 335 (16.84) 202 (21.56) Occupation, n (%) 1257 (63.20) 632 (67.45) 0.069 Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) Others 331 (16.64) 132 (14.09) Hp infection 649 (32.63) 229 (24.44) <0.001	Marital status n (%)	102 (0.14)	09 (7.30)	
Drimarried 67 (3.57) 30 (3.20) 0.009 Married 1857 (79.79) 705 (75.24) Divorced/Widowed 335 (16.84) 202 (21.56) Occupation, n (%) 73 (18.46) 132 (14.09) Farmer 1257 (63.20) 632 (67.45) 0.069 Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) 00thers 331 (16.64) 132 (14.09) Hy infection V V 800 (25.14) 195 (20.81) 0.038 Yes 1319 (66.31) 702 (74.92) 0.038 Sea 717 (36.05) 317 (33.83) 0.038 Se6 749 (37.66) 380 (40.55) Daily frequency of brushing teetht, n (%) Se6 749 (37.66) 380 (40.55) Paily frequency of brushing teetht, n (%) Yes 26 (26.45) 288 (25.40)			20 (2 20)	0.000
Married 158' ('9,'9') 705 ('5.24) Divorced/Widowed 335 (16.84) 202 (21.56) Occupation, n (%) 73 (18.46) 132 (14.09) Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) 132 (14.09) Hp infection 331 (16.63) 229 (24.44) <0.001	Unmarried	67 (3.37)	30 (3.20)	0.009
Divored / Widowed 35 (18.34) 202 (21.36) Cocupation, n (%) Farmer 1257 (63.20) 632 (67.45) 0.069 Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) Univers 331 (16.64) 132 (14.09) <i>Hp</i> infection <i>Hp</i> infection <i>Hp</i> infection No 649 (32.63) 229 (24.44) <0.001 Yes 1319 (66.31) 702 (74.92) Missing 21 (1.06) 6 (0.64) Sum of missing and filled teeth, n (%) None 700 (25.14) 195 (20.81) 0.038 <6 717 (36.05) 317 (33.83) ≥6 749 (37.66) 380 (40.55) Missing 23 (1.76) 45 (4.80) Daily frequency of brushing teeth, n (%) <2 1290 (64.86) 749 (79.94) <0.001 ≥2 677 (34.04) 152 (16.22) Missing 22 (1.11) 36 (3.84) ≥2 (1.11) 36 (3.84) Ea drinking, n (%) Yes 526 (26.45) 238 (25.40) Missing 28 (1.41) 49 (5.23) Smoking, n (%), pack-years Never 884 (44.44) 369 (39.38) 0.215 <30 535 (26.90) 264 (28.18) Missing 28 (1.41) 49 (5.23) Smoking, n (%), pack-years Never 884 (44.44) 369 (39.38) 0.215 <30 535 (26.09) 264 (28.18) Missing 28 (1.41) 49 (5.23) Smoking, n (%), pack-years Never 1158 (58.22) 479 (51.12) 0.034 <30 404 (20.31) 211 (22.52) ×80 398 (20.01) 198 (21.3) Missing 29 (1.46) 49 (5.23) Job intensity, n (%) Missing 29 (1.46) 49 (5.23) Job intensity, n (%) 23 (2.45) 0.009 145 (27.25) 250 (26.68) 141 (15.76) 10 (32.13) A11 (25.22) ×80 398 (20.01) 198 (21.13) A22 (3.24) 0.034 A30 (15.08) 101 (10.78) 410 (10.78) 410 (10.78) 411 (25.29) 710 (18.14) 72 (18.14) 20 (21.69) 79 (8.43) 73 (23.24) 0.009 24 (27.75) 49 (23.77) 74 (23.24) 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) 420 (1.57) 199 (21.24) 421 (20.86) 219 (23.37) 421 (20.86) 219 (23.37) 75 Family weath score, n (%) Q-1 the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 R22 Q-5 the highest 30 (32 (1.01) 40 (427) No (75.28, 80, 72 (77.59) 40.001 76 (75.9) 40.001 76 (75.9) 40.001 76 (75.9) 40.001 76 (75.9) 40.001 76 (75.9) 40.001 77 (18.14) 420 (10.77) 49 (21.37) 76 mily meath score, n (%) No (75.28, 80.8) 72 (77.59) 40.001 77 (18.14) 420 (10.71) 40 (42.7) 76 mily meath score (%) No (75.28, 80.8) 72 (77.59) 40.001 76 (75.9) 40.001 76 (75.9) 40.001 76 (75.9) 4	Married	1587 (79.79)	705 (75.24)	
Occupation, n (%)Farmer1257 (63.20)63 (67.45)0.069Worker401 (20.16)173 (18.46)Others331 (16.64)132 (14.09)40001Hp infection229 (24.41)<0001	Divorced/Widowed	335 (16.84)	202 (21.56)	
Farmer 1257 (63.20) 632 (67.45) 0.069 Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) Chers 331 (16.64) 132 (14.09) Miser 331 (16.63) 229 (24.44) <0.001	Occupation, n (%)			
Worker 401 (20.16) 173 (18.46) Others 331 (16.64) 132 (14.09) <i>Hp</i> infection 229 (24.44) <0.0011	Farmer	1257 (63.20)	632 (67.45)	0.069
Others331 (16.64)132 (14.09) <i>Hp</i> infection <i>Ho</i> 649 (32.63)229 (24.44)<0011No649 (32.63)702 (74.92)Missing21 (1.06)6 (0.64)Sum of missing and filled teeth. "(*)None500 (25.14)195 (20.81)0.038<6749 (37.66)380 (40.55)Salos23 (1.16)45 (4.80)Salos749 (37.66)380 (40.55)Missing23 (1.16)45 (4.80)221290 (64.86)749 (79.94)<21290 (64.86)749 (79.94)211290 (64.86)749 (79.94)221290 (64.86)749 (79.94)2365 (69.37)0.911241325 (72.15)650 (69.37)0.9112526 (26.45)238 (25.00)238 (25.00)Yes526 (26.45)238 (25.00)0.912Yes526 (26.45)250 (26.68)1215Sonking, n (%), pack-years120 (26.27)250 (26.68)Nover884 (44.44)369 (39.38)0.215Solo532 (26.90)264 (28.18)121 (22.52)Solo532 (26.90)264 (28.18)121 (22.52)Solo542 (27.25)250 (26.68)121 (22.52)Solo398 (20.11)198 (21.31)121 (22.52)Solo398 (20.11)198 (21.31)121 (22.52)Solo301 (15.08)101 (10.78)121 (25.52) <t< td=""><td>Worker</td><td>401 (20.16)</td><td>173 (18.46)</td><td></td></t<>	Worker	401 (20.16)	173 (18.46)	
Hp infectionNo649 (32.63)229 (24.40)<0010	Others	331 (16.64)	132 (14.09)	
No 649 (32.63) 229 (24.44) <0.001 Yes 1319 (66.31) 702 (74.92) Missing 21 (1.06) 6 (0.64) Sum of missing and filled teeth, II 100 155 (20.81) 0.038 S 717 (36.05) 317 (33.83) S 749 (37.66) 380 (40.55) S 749 (37.66) 380 (40.55) S 749 (37.66) 380 (40.55) Daily frequency of brushing teeth, II (%) S 677 (34.04) 152 (16.22) Missing 22 (1.11) 36 (3.84) Yes 526 (26.45) 238 (25.40) Missing 28 (1.41) 49 (5.23) Somoting, n (%), pack-years 26 (26.45) 260 (26.8) Solo (26.13) 29 (1.40) 112 (25.2	<i>Hp</i> infection			
Yes1319 (66.31)702 (74.92)Missing21 (1.06)6 (0.64)Sum of missing and filled teeth, n (%) $(\%)$ None500 (25.14)195 (20.81)0.038<6717 (36.05)317 (33.83) $(\%)$ <26749 (37.66)380 (40.55) $(\%)$ Daily frequency of brushing teeth, n (%) $(\%)$ $(\%)$ <21290 (64.86)749 (79.94) <0.001 >2677 (34.04)152 (16.22) $(\%)$ Missing22 (1.11)650 (69.37) 0.991 >2626 (26.45)238 (25.40) $(\%)$ Missing22 (1.11)49 (5.23) $(\%)$ Tea drinking, n (%)236 (26.45)238 (25.40) $(\%)$ Yes526 (26.45)238 (25.40) $(\%)$ Smoking, n (%), pack-years $(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ Never884 (44.44) 369 (39.38) 0.215 Sing28 (1.41)54 (5.76) $(\%)$ Subsing28 (1.41)54 (5.76) $(\%)$ Salo535 (26.90)264 (28.18) $(\%)$ Missing29 (1.46)49 (5.23) $(\%)$ Subsing29 (1.46)49 (5.23) $(\%)$ Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/dut $(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ Salo300 (15.08)101 (10.78) $(\%)$ Salo300 (15.08)101 (10.78) $(\%)$ Subsing29 (1.46)20 (2.77) $(\%)$ Salo300 (15.08)101 (10.78) $(\%)$ Salo300 (15.08)	No	649 (32.63)	229 (24.44)	< 0.001
Missing21 (1.06)6 (0.64)Sum of missing and filled teeth, \mathbf{n} (%)0.038None500 (25.14)195 (20.81)0.038<6	Yes	1319 (66.31)	702 (74.92)	
Sum of missing and filled teeth, n (%) 0.038 Some of missing and filled teeth, n (%) 195 (20.81) 0.038 >6 717 (36.05) 317 (33.83) 0.038 >6 749 (37.66) 380 (40.55) Missing 23 (1.16) 45 (4.80) Daily frequency of brushing teeth, n (%) >2 1290 (64.86) 749 (79.94) <0.001	Missing	21 (1 06)	6 (0.64)	
Some500 (25.14)195 (20.81)0.038 <6 717 (36.05)317 (33.83)	Sum of missing and filled teeth	(%)	0 (0.01)	
Note 500 (22.14) 153 (20.81) 0.036 <6	None	500 (25 14)	105 (20.81)	0.029
56 $71/(36.05)$ $317/(35.83)$ ≥ 6 $749(37.66)$ $380(40.55)$ Missing $23(1.16)$ $45(4.80)$ >20 $1290(64.86)$ $749(79.94)$ <0.001 ≥ 2 $677(34.04)$ $152(16.22)$ Missing $22(1.11)$ $36(3.84)$ >20 $677(34.04)$ $152(16.22)$ Missing $22(1.11)$ $36(3.84)$ Tea drinking, $n(\%)$ Yes $526(26.45)$ $238(25.40)$ Missing $28(1.41)$ $49(5.23)$ Smoking, $n(\%)$, pack-yearsNever $884(44.44)$ $369(39.38)$ 0.215 ≤ 30 $542(27.25)$ $250(26.68)$ >30 $535(26.90)$ $264(28.18)$ Missing $28(1.41)$ $54(5.76)$ Alcohol drinking, $n(\%)$, g/dayNever $1158(58.22)$ $479(51.12)$ 0.034 ≤ 80 $404(20.31)$ $211(22.52)$ >80 $398(20.01)$ $198(21.13)$ Missing $29(1.46)$ $49(5.23)$ 500 11 $65(3.27)$ $23(2.45)$ 0.009 2 $185(9.30)$ $79(8.43)$ $79(8.43)$ 3 $300(15.08)$ $101(10.78)$ $40(426(71.69))$ $78(5.56)$ 10 $130(.65)$ $26(2.77)$ $50(24.77)$ $101(12,78)$ 4 $426(71.69)$ $79(8.43)$ $71(71,82,5)$ 20 $130(0.65)$ $219(23.37)$ $71(12,21)$ 20 $130(6.5)$ $219(23.37)$ $71(12,21)$ 20 $398(20.01)$ </td <td>None</td> <td>500 (25.14)</td> <td>195 (20.81)</td> <td>0.038</td>	None	500 (25.14)	195 (20.81)	0.038
≥ 6 $749 (37.66)$ $380 (40.55)$ Missing $23 (1.16)$ $380 (40.55)$ Daily frequency of brushing teeth, $n (\%)$ < 2 $1200 (64.86)$ $749 (79.94)$ < 0.001 ≥ 2 $1290 (64.86)$ $236 (63.84)$ $1435 (72.15)$ $650 (69.37)$ 0.991 Yes $526 (26.45)$ $238 (25.40)$ 0.991 $753 (26.90)$ $24 (25.3)$ $556 (26.00)$ $24 (28.18)$ $530 (26.68)$ >30 $352 (26.90)$ $264 (28.18)$ $530 (26.68)$ >30 $352 (26.90)$ $264 (28.18)$ $530 (26.68)$ >30 $352 (26.90)$ $264 (28.18)$ $530 (26.66)$ $>300 (25.70)$ $264 (28.18)$ $530 (26.66)$ $>300 (25.70)$ $24 (25.70)$ $24 (25.70)$ $23 (2.45)$ 0.003 Alcohol drinking, $n (\%)$ $29 (1.46)$ $49 (5.23)$ $52 (26.03)$ $29 (2.13)$ $300 (15.08)$ $101 (10.78)$ 4 Alcohol drinking, $n (\%)$ $132 (26.5)$ $23 (2.45)$ 0.009 $22 (2.77)$ $23 (2.45)$ 0.009 $2 \le N0$ $390 (15.08)$ $101 (10.78)$ $4 (26.71.69)$ $79 (8.43)$ $79 (8.43)$ $70 (75.56)$ $130 (65)$ $26 (2.77)$ <	<6	717 (36.05)	317 (33.83)	
Missing 23 (1.16) 45 (4.80) Daily frequency of brushing teeth, n (%) <2	≥6	749 (37.66)	380 (40.55)	
Daily frequency of brushing teeth, n (%)<2	Missing	23 (1.16)	45 (4.80)	
<2 1290 (64.86) 749 (79.94) <0.001 ≥2 677 (34.04) 152 (16.22) Missing 22 (1.11) 36 (3.84) Tea drinking, n (%) No 1435 (72.15) 650 (69.37) 0.991 Yes 526 (26.45) 238 (25.40) Missing 28 (1.41) 49 (5.23) Smoking, n (%), pack-years Never 884 (44.44) 369 (39.38) 0.215 ≤30 542 (27.25) 250 (26.68) >30 535 (26.90) 264 (28.18) Missing 28 (1.41) 54 (5.76) Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day Never 1158 (58.22) 479 (51.12) 0.034 ≤80 404 (20.31) 211 (22.52) >80 399 (20.01) 198 (21.13) Missing 29 (1.46) 49 (5.23) Job intensity, n (%) 2 (1.46) 49 (5.23) Job intensity, n (%) 1 65 (3.27) 23 (2.45) 0.009 2 (3.46) 404 (20.31) 211 (22.52) 80 399 (20.01) 198 (21.13) Missing 29 (1.46) 49 (5.23) Job intensity, n (%) 2 185 (9.30) 79 (8.43) 3 300 (15.08) 101 (10.78) 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) Missing 13 (0.65) 26 (2.77) Family wealth score, n (%) Q1 the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) Vo 1752 (88.08) 727 (75.9) <0.001 Yes 217 (10.91) 170 (18.14) Missing 20 (1.01) 40 (4.27)	Daily frequency of brushing teet	h, n (%)		
≥2677 (34.04)152 (16.22)Missing22 (1.11)36 (3.84)Tea drinking, n (%)1435 (72.15)650 (69.37)0.991Yes526 (26.45)238 (25.40)191Missing28 (1.41)49 (5.23)191Smoking, n (%), pack-years192250 (26.68)192Never884 (44.44)369 (39.38)0.215S30542 (27.25)250 (26.68)192>30535 (26.90)264 (28.18)102Missing28 (1.41)54 (5.76)40001 drinking, n (%), g/dayNever1158 (58.22)479 (51.12)0.034<80398 (20.01)198 (21.13)0.034≤80404 (20.31)211 (22.52)200>80398 (20.01)198 (21.13)0.009J 165 (3.27)23 (2.45)0.0092138 (59.30)79 (8.43)33300 (15.08)101 (10.78)441426 (71.69)708 (75.56)144Missing13 (0.65)26 (2.77)19Family wealth score, n (%)21204 (21.77)0.171Q2415 (20.86)219 (23.37)19Q3382 (19.21)171 (18.25)144Q4429 (21.57)199 (21.24)20Q4429 (21.57)199 (21.24)20Q5-the highest365 (18.35)144 (15.37)Family history of gastric cancer727 (77.59)<0.011Yes217 (10.91)170 (18.14)Missing	<2	1290 (64.86)	749 (79.94)	<0.001
Missing22 (1.11)36 (3.84)Tea drinking, n (%)No1435 (72.15)650 (69.37)0.991Yes526 (26.45)238 (25.40)49 (5.23)Missing28 (1.41)49 (5.23)500 (39.38)0.215Smoking, n (%), pack-years542 (27.25)250 (26.68)530Salo542 (27.25)250 (26.68)530535 (26.90)264 (28.18)Salo535 (26.90)264 (28.18)54576)Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day28 (1.41)54 (5.76)0.034Salo29 (1.41)211 (22.52)0.034Salo398 (20.01)198 (21.13)0.034Salo29 (1.46)49 (5.23)500Salo398 (20.01)198 (21.13)0.009Job intensity, n (%)23 (2.45)0.0092185 (9.30)79 (8.43)3300 (15.08)101 (10.78)41426 (71.69)708 (75.56)Missing13 (0.65)26 (2.77)Family wealth score, n (%)2123.37Q3382 (19.21)171 (18.25)Q4429 (21.57)199 (21.24)Q5-the highest365 (18.35)144 (15.37)Family history of gastric cancer = reg first-degree ret/see, n (%)YesYes217 (10.91)70 (18.14)Yes20 (1.01)40 (4.27)	≥2	677 (34.04)	152 (16.22)	
Tea drinking, n (%) 1435 (72.15) 650 (69.37) 0.991 Yes 526 (26.45) 238 (25.40) 1 Missing 28 (1.41) 49 (5.23) 1 Smoking, n (%), pack-years 250 (26.68) 535 250 (26.68) 1 Salo 542 (27.25) 250 (26.68) 1 1 >30 535 (26.90) 264 (28.18) 1 Missing 28 (1.41) 54 (5.76) 1 Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/dat 211 (22.52) 404 (20.31) 211 (22.52) >80 308 (20.01) 198 (21.13) 1 ≤80 404 (20.31) 11 (22.52) 1 >80 398 (20.01) 198 (21.3) 1 ≤80 398 (20.01) 198 (21.3) 1 1 65 (3.27) 23 (2.45) 0.009 2 185 (9.30) 79 (8.43) 1 3 101 (10.78) 1 1 4 1426 (71.69) 79 (8.43) 1 3 101 (10.78) 1 1 4 1426 (71.69) 24 (27.77) 1	Missing	22 (1.11)	36 (3.84)	
No1435 (72.15)650 (69.37)0.991Yes526 (26.45)238 (25.40)1Missing28 (1.41)49 (5.23)5Smoking, n (%), pack-years884 (44.44)369 (39.38)0.215 ≤ 30 542 (27.25)250 (26.68)1 ≥ 30 535 (26.90)264 (28.18)1Missing28 (1.41)54 (5.76)1Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day28 (1.41)21 (22.52) ≥ 80 398 (20.01)198 (21.13) ≤ 80 404 (20.31)211 (22.52) ≥ 80 398 (20.01)198 (21.13)Missing29 (1.46)49 (5.23)Job intensity, n (%)15 (5 (3.27)23 (2.45) 2 185 (9.30)79 (8.43) 3 300 (15.08)101 (10.78) 4 1426 (71.69)798 (75.56) 4 1426 (71.69)708 (75.56) 4 1426 (71.69)204 (21.77) 2 415 (20.86)219 (23.37) 2 415 (20.86)219 (23.37) 2 415 (20.86)219 (23.37) 2 415 (20.86)219 (23.37) 2 415 (20.86)219 (23.37) 2 415 (20.86)219 (23.47) 2 415 (20.86)219 (23.71) 2 415 (20.86)144 (15.37) 3 382 (19.21)171 (18.25) 4 429 (21.57)199 (21.24) 2 415 (20.86)24 (21.77) 3 3 3 4 429 (21.57)199 (21.24) <td< td=""><td>Tea drinking, n (%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Tea drinking, n (%)			
Yes526 (26.45)238 (25.40)Missing28 (1.41)49 (5.23)Smoking, n (%), pack-years 49 (5.23)Never884 (44.44)369 (39.38)0.215 ≤ 30 542 (27.25)250 (26.68) > 30 535 (26.90)264 (28.18)Missing28 (1.41)54 (5.76)Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day 24 (20.31)211 (22.52)>80398 (20.01)198 (21.13)Missing29 (1.46)49 (5.23)Job intensity, n (%) 29 (1.46)49 (5.23)Job intensity, n (%) 211 (22.52) 300 (15.08)165 (3.27)23 (2.45) 0.009 2185 (9.30)79 (8.43)3300 (15.08)101 (10.78)41426 (71.69)708 (75.56)Missing13 (0.65)26 (2.77)Family wealth score, n (%)219 (23.37)Q1-the lowest398 (20.01)204 (21.77)Q2415 (20.86)219 (23.37)Q3382 (19.21)171 (18.25)Q4429 (21.57)199 (21.24)Q5-the highest365 (18.35)144 (15.37)Family history of gastric cancer arrow first-degreer traver, n (%)YesYes217 (10.91)70 (18.14)Missing20 (1.01)40 (4.27)	No	1435 (72.15)	650 (69.37)	0.991
Missing28 (1.41)49 (5.23)Smoking, n (%), pack-years $369 (39.38)$ 0.215 ≤ 30 $542 (27.25)$ $250 (26.68)$ >30 $535 (26.90)$ $264 (28.18)$ Missing $28 (1.41)$ $54 (5.76)$ Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day $158 (58.22)$ $479 (51.12)$ 0.034 ≤ 80 $404 (20.31)$ $211 (22.52)$ > 80 $398 (20.01)$ $198 (21.13)$ Missing $29 (1.46)$ $49 (5.23)$ Job intensity, n (%) $211 (25.52)$ $300 (15.08)$ $101 (10.78)$ 2 $185 (9.30)$ $79 (8.43)$ $79 (8.43)$ 3 $300 (15.08)$ $101 (10.78)$ $4126 (71.69)$ $708 (75.56)$ 4 $1426 (71.69)$ $708 (75.56)$ $710 (171)$ 2 $415 (20.86)$ $219 (23.37)$ $710 (22 (415 (20.86))$ $219 (23.37)$ 20 $415 (20.86)$ $219 (23.37)$ $70 (75.59) (20.57)$ $700 (75.59) (77.57) (75.59) (77.57)$ 20 $415 (20.86)$ $219 (23.37)$ $71 (18.25)$ $72 (77.59) (77.59) (70.57) (75.59) (77.57) (75.59) (77.57) (75.59) (77.57) (75.59) (77.57) (75.59) (77.57) (75.59) (77.57) (75.50) (77.57) (75.59) (77.57) (75.59) (77.57) (75.59) (77.57) (75.59) (77.57) (75.50) (75.50) (75.50) (77.57) (75.50) (75.50) (77.57) (75.50) (75.50) (77.57) (75.50) (75.50) (77.57) (75.50) (75.50) (75.50) (75.50$	Yes	526 (26.45)	238 (25.40)	
Smoking, n (%), pack-yearsNever884 (44.44) 369 (39.38) 0.215 ≤ 30 542 (27.25) 250 (26.68) >30 535 (26.90) 264 (28.18) >30 535 (26.90) 264 (28.18) >364 >364 (5.76)Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day 28 (1.41) 54 (5.76) >121 (22.52)>80 404 (20.31) 211 (22.52) >80 >80 398 (20.01) 198 (21.13) >1009 ≤ 80 404 (20.31) 211 (22.52) >80 >80 398 (20.01) 198 (21.13) >1009 $S1$ 65 (3.27) 23 (2.45) 0.009 2 185 (9.30) 79 (8.43) >101 (10.78) 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) >101 (10.78) 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) >101 (112) 2 13 (0.65) 204 (21.77) 0.171 $Q2$ 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) >102 $Q3$ 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) $Q4$ $Q4$ 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) >20 $Q5$ -the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) $Family history of gastric cancer =mog first-degree retarces n (\%)No1752 (88.08)727 (77.59)<0.001Yes217 (10.91)70 (18.14)$	Missing	28 (1.41)	49 (5.23)	
Never 884 (44.4) 369 (39.38) 0.215 ≤30 542 (27.25) 250 (26.68) > >30 535 (26.90) 264 (28.18) Missing 28 (1.41) 54 (5.76) Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day 0.034 ≤80 404 (20.31) 211 (22.52) > >80 398 (20.01) 198 (21.13) Missing 29 (1.46) 49 (5.23) Job intensity, n (%) 1 65 (3.27) 23 (2.45) 0.009 2 185 (9.30) 79 (8.43) 3 300 (15.08) 101 (10.78) 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) Missing 13 (0.65) 26 (2.77) Family wealth score, n (%) Q1-the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) Q3 382 (19.21)	Smoking, n (%), pack-years			
Action $300 (1717)$ $300 (05.68)$ ≤ 30 $542 (27.25)$ $250 (26.68)$ >30 $535 (26.90)$ $264 (28.18)$ Missing $28 (1.41)$ $54 (5.76)$ Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day $1128 (58.22)$ $479 (51.12)$ 0.034 ≤ 80 $404 (20.31)$ $211 (22.52)$ 80 >80 $398 (20.01)$ $198 (21.13)$ $1122.52)$ >80 $398 (20.01)$ $198 (21.13)$ $1158 (58.22)$ $49 (5.23)$ Job intensity, n (%) 11 $65 (3.27)$ $23 (2.45)$ 0.009 2 $185 (9.30)$ $79 (8.43)$ $300 (15.08)$ $101 (10.78)$ 4 $1426 (71.69)$ $708 (75.56)$ $144 (15.76)$ Family wealth score, n (%) $204 (21.77)$ 0.171 Q2 $415 (20.86)$ $219 (23.37)$ $204 (21.27)$ Q3 $382 (19.21)$ $171 (18.25)$ $Q4$ Q4 $429 (21.57)$ $199 (21.24)$ $Q5$ -the highest $365 (18.35)$ $144 (15.37)$ Family history of gastric cancer armog first-degree relatives, n (%) No $752 (88.08)$ $727 (77.59)$ <0.001 Yes $217 (10.91)$ $170 (18.14)$ $40 (4.27)$ $30 (1.01)$ $40 (4.27)$	Never	884 (44 44)	369 (39 38)	0 215
So0 $342 (27.25)$ $250 (28.06)$ >30 $535 (26.90)$ $264 (28.18)$ Missing $28 (1.41)$ $54 (5.76)$ Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/dayNever $1158 (58.22)$ $479 (51.12)$ 0.034 ≤ 80 $404 (20.31)$ $211 (22.52)$ >80 $398 (20.01)$ $198 (21.13)$ > 80 $398 (20.01)$ $198 (21.13)$ 0.009 > 80 $398 (20.01)$ $49 (5.23)$ 0.009 2 $185 (9.30)$ $79 (8.43)$ $300 (15.08)$ $101 (10.78)$ 4 $1426 (71.69)$ $708 (75.56)$ $788 (75.56)$ Missing $13 (0.65)$ $26 (2.77)$ $788 (20.01)$ $204 (21.77)$ 21 -the lowest $398 (20.01)$ $204 (21.77)$ 0.171 $Q2$ $415 (20.86)$ $219 (23.37)$ $79 (23.37)$ $Q3$ $382 (19.21)$ $717 (18.25)$ $74 (29 (21.57))$ $99 (21.24)$ $Q5$ -the highest $365 (18.35)$ $144 (15.37)$ $75 (58.08)$ $727 (77.59)$ 40.01 Yes $217 (10.91)$ $700 (18.14)$ Yes $90 (1.01)$ $40 (4.27)$	~20	542 (27.25)	2E0 (26.68)	0.215
>30 $>353 (26.90)$ $264 (28.18)$ Missing $28 (1.41)$ $54 (5.76)$ Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day $=$ Never $1158 (58.22)$ $479 (51.12)$ 0.034 ≤ 80 $404 (20.31)$ $211 (22.52)$ $>$ >80 $398 (20.01)$ $198 (21.13)$ $=$ Missing $29 (1.46)$ $49 (5.23)$ $=$ Job intensity, n (%) $=$ $=$ $=$ 1 $65 (3.27)$ $23 (2.45)$ 0.009 2 $185 (9.30)$ $79 (8.43)$ $=$ 3 $300 (15.08)$ $101 (10.78)$ $=$ 4 $1426 (71.69)$ $708 (75.56)$ $=$ Missing $13 (0.65)$ $26 (2.77)$ $=$ Family wealth score, n (%) $=$ $=$ $=$ $Q1$ -the lowest $398 (20.01)$ $204 (21.77)$ 0.171 $Q2$ $415 (20.86)$ $219 (23.37)$ $=$ $Q3$ $382 (19.21)$ $171 (18.25)$ $=$ $Q4$ $429 (21.57)$ $199 (21.24)$ $=$ $Q5$ -the highest $365 (18.35)$ $144 (15.37)$ $=$ Family history of gastric cancer $=$ morg first-degree $=$ Litves, n (%) $=$ $=$ No $1752 (88.08)$ $727 (77.59)$ $<$ $<$ No $1752 (80.08)$ $727 (77.59)$ $<$ $<$ Missing $20 (1.01)$ $40 (4.27)$ $=$	≥30 ≥30	542 (27.25) 525 (26.00)	250 (20.00)	
Missing 28 (1.41) 54 (5.76) Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day Never 1158 (58.22) 479 (51.12) 0.034 ≤80 404 (20.31) 211 (22.52) > >80 398 (20.01) 198 (21.13) Missing 29 (1.46) 49 (5.23) Job intensity, n (%) 49 (5.23) 1 65 (3.27) 23 (2.45) 0.009 2 185 (9.30) 79 (8.43) 3 300 (15.08) 101 (10.78) 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) Missing 13 (0.65) 26 (2.77) Family wealth score, n (%) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer sitres-degree relives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.01	230 NG	535 (26.90)	264 (28.18)	
Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day Never 1158 (58.22) 479 (51.12) 0.034 \$80 404 (20.31) 211 (22.52) > >80 398 (20.01) 198 (21.13) Missing 29 (1.46) 49 (5.23) Job Job intensity, n (%) 53 (2.45) 0.009 2 146 (5 (3.27) 23 (2.45) 0.009 2 185 (9.30) 79 (8.43) 50 (10.78) 3 300 (15.08) 101 (10.78) 4 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) 50 (2.77) Family wealth score, n (%) 26 (2.77) 508 (75.56) 50 (2.77) G1-the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) 20 (2.77) Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) 50 (13.27) Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) 20 (2.57) Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) 50 (13.37) Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer 518 (38.5) 727 (77.59) <0.01	Missing	28 (1.41)	54 (5.76)	
Never1158 (58.22)479 (51.12)0.034≤80404 (20.31)211 (22.52)>80398 (20.01)198 (21.13)Missing29 (1.46)49 (5.23)Job intensity, n (%)73 (23 (2.45)0.0092185 (9.30)79 (8.43)3300 (15.08)101 (10.78)41426 (71.69)708 (75.56)41426 (71.69)708 (75.56)41426 (71.69)708 (75.56)41426 (71.69)204 (21.77)0.1710.171Q2415 (20.86)219 (23.37)Q3382 (19.21)171 (18.25)Q4429 (21.57)199 (21.24)Q5-the highest365 (18.35)144 (15.37)Family history of gastric cancer armog first-degree relives, n (%)No1752 (88.08)727 (77.59)Yes217 (10.91)170 (18.14)Missing20 (1.01)40 (4.27)	Alcohol drinking, n (%), g/day			
≤80404 (20.31)211 (22.52)>80398 (20.01)198 (21.13)Missing29 (1.46)49 (5.23)Job intensity, n (%) $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	Never	1158 (58.22)	479 (51.12)	0.034
>80 398 (20.01) 198 (21.13) Missing 29 (1.46) 49 (5.23) Job intensity, n (%) 1 65 (3.27) 23 (2.45) 0.009 2 185 (9.30) 79 (8.43) 1 3 300 (15.08) 101 (10.78) 4 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) 1 Missing 13 (0.65) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q1-the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer arroug first-degree traitives, n (%) 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) Yes 217 (10.91) 170 (18.14)	≤80	404 (20.31)	211 (22.52)	
Missing 29 (1.46) 49 (5.23) Job intensity, n (%)	>80	398 (20.01)	198 (21.13)	
Job intensity, n (%) 23 (2.45) 0.009 1 65 (3.27) 23 (2.45) 0.009 2 185 (9.30) 79 (8.43) 142 3 300 (15.08) 101 (10.78) 4 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) 14 Missing 13 (0.65) 26 (2.77) 14 Pathe lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) 19 Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) 14 Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) 14 Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) 14 Family history of gastric cancer arg first-degree retarces, n (%) 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001	Missing	29 (1.46)	49 (5.23)	
1 65 (3.27) 23 (2.45) 0.009 2 185 (9.30) 79 (8.43) 1 3 300 (15.08) 101 (10.78) 1 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) 1 Missing 13 (0.65) 26 (2.77) 1 Q1-the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) 1 Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) 1 Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) 1 Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) 1 Family history of gastric cancer arrong first-degree relives, n (%) 1 1 No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0001	Job intensity, n (%)			
2 185 (9.30) 79 (8.43) 3 300 (15.08) 101 (10.78) 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) Missing 13 (0.65) 26 (2.77) Family wealth score, n (%) Q1-the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) 203 Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) 204 Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) 205-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer amorg first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001	1	65 (3.27)	23 (2.45)	0.009
3 300 (15.08) 101 (10.78) 4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) Missing 13 (0.65) 26 (2.77) Family wealth score, n (%) Q1-the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) 0.171 Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) Q4 Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer amorg first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001	2	185 (9.30)	79 (8.43)	
4 1426 (71.69) 708 (75.56) Missing 13 (0.65) 26 (2.77) Family wealth score, n (%) Q1-the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) 0.171 Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) 0.171 Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) 0.171 Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer among first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001	3	300 (15.08)	101 (10.78)	
Missing 13 (0.65) 26 (2.77) Family wealth score, n (%) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q1-the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) 20 Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) 20 Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) 25-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer among first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001	4	1426 (71 69)	708 (75.56)	
Family wealth score, n (%) 20 (2.77) Q1-the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer among first-degree relatives, n (%) No No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001	Missing	13 (0 65)	26 (2 77)	
Q1-the lowest 398 (20.01) 204 (21.77) 0.171 Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer among first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001	Eamily wealth score n (%)	10 (0.00)	20 (2.77)	
Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer among first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001	O1 the lowest	208 (20.01)	204 (21 77)	0 171
Q2 415 (20.86) 219 (23.37) Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer among first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001		41E (20.01)	204 (21.77)	0.171
Q3 382 (19.21) 171 (18.25) Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer among first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001	Q2	415 (20.86)	219 (23.37)	
Q4 429 (21.57) 199 (21.24) Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer among first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001 Yes 217 (10.91) 170 (18.14) Missing 20 (1.01) 40 (4.27)	Q3	382 (19.21)	1/1 (18.25)	
Q5-the highest 365 (18.35) 144 (15.37) Family history of gastric cancer among first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001 Yes 217 (10.91) 170 (18.14) Missing 20 (1.01) 40 (4.27)	Q4	429 (21.57)	199 (21.24)	
Family history of gastric cancer among first-degree relatives, n (%) No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001 Yes 217 (10.91) 170 (18.14) Missing 20 (1.01) 40 (4.27)	Q5-the highest	365 (18.35)	144 (15.37)	
No 1752 (88.08) 727 (77.59) <0.001 Yes 217 (10.91) 170 (18.14) Missing 20 (1.01) 40 (4.27)	Family history of gastric cancer as	mong first-degree re	latives, n (%)	
Yes 217 (10.91) 170 (18.14) Missing 20 (1.01) 40 (4.27)	No	1752 (88.08)	727 (77.59)	< 0.001
Missing 20 (1.01) 40 (4.27)	Yes	217 (10.91)	170 (18.14)	
	Missing	20 (1.01)	40 (4.27)	

Abbreviations: GC, gastric cancer; SD, standard deviation; *Hp*, *Helicobacter pylori*. Note: Boldface indicates significant difference (*P*-value < 0.05).

^a P-values were derived from Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous variables, and Chi-squared test or Fisher exact test for categorical variables after excluding the corresponding missing values.

 Table 2. The ORs and 95% CIs for BMI and body shape in association with risk of GC cases

Anthropometric	Controls N	GC N (%)	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR
parameters	(%)	()	(95% CI)	(95% CI) ^a
BMI at age 20				
Underweight	221 (11.11)	92 (9.82)	0.87 (0.67~1.13)	0.94 (0.71~1.25)
Normal	1343 (67.52)	641 (68.41)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Overweight	375 (18.85)	179 (19.10)	1.00 (0.82~1.22)	1.03 (0.83~1.28)
Obesity	50 (2.51)	25 (2.67)	1.05 (0.64~1.71)	1.09 (0.65~1.85)
P for trend			0.455	0.536
BMI 10 years be	fore intervie	w		
Underweight	110 (5.53)	93 (9.93)	1.72 (1.28~2.30)	1.53 (1.11~2.11)
Normal	1208 (60.73)	594 (63.39)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Overweight	542 (27.25)	214 (22.84)	0.80 (0.67~0.97)	0.82 (0.67~1.00)
Obesity	129 (6.49)	36 (3.84)	0.57 (0.39~0.83)	0.54 (0.36~0.83)
P for trend			<0.001	< 0.001
Body shape at ag	ge 20			
Shape 1	110 (5.53)	53 (5.66)	1.01 (0.71~1.43)	1.10 (0.76~1.60)
Shape 2	458 (23.03)	202 (21.56)	0.92 (0.75~1.14)	0.92 (0.74~1.16)
Shape 3	744 (37.41)	355 (37.89)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Shape 4	496 (24.94)	230 (24.55)	0.97 (0.79~1.19)	0.97 (0.78~1.21)
Shape 5	143 (7.19)	75 (8.00)	1.10 (0.81~1.49)	1.29 (0.93~1.79)
Shape 6/7	38 (1.91)	22 (2.35)	1.21 (0.71~2.08)	1.31 (0.74~2.33)
P for trend			0.378	0.232
Body shape 10 y	ears before i	nterview		
Shape 1	50 (2.51)	69 (7.36)	2.97 (2.02~4.37)	3.14 (2.08~4.76)
Shape 2	318 (15.99)	185 (19.74)	1.25 (1.00~1.57)	1.21 (0.95~1.54)
Shape 3	695 (34.94)	323 (34.47)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Shape 4	587 (29.51)	217 (23.16)	0.80 (0.65~0.98)	0.85 (0.69~1.06)
Shape 5	243 (12.22)	119 (12.70)	1.05 (0.82~1.36)	1.19 (0.91~1.57)
Shape 6/7	96 (4.83)	24 (2.56)	0.54 (0.34~0.86)	0.58 (0.35~0.97)
P for trend			<0.001	<0.001

Abbreviations: GC, gastric cancer; BMI, body mass index; ORs, odd ratios; CIs, confidence intervals.

Note: Boldface indicates that *P* for trend < 0.05.

^a Adjusted for age, sex, education, marital status, occupation, sum of missing and filled teeth, daily frequency of brushing teeth, tea drinking, smoking, alcohol drinking, *Hp* infection, job intensity, family wealth score and family history of GC among first-degree relatives.

Table 3 presents results of a stratified analysis by Hp infection. In the Hp+ stratum, compared with those with normal BMI 10 years before interview, underweight subjects had an increased risk of GC, while overweight or obese subjects had a lower risk of GC (*P* for trend < 0.001). The relative risk pattern was similar for body shape 10 years before interview (compared with body shape 3, OR for those with body shape 1 was 3.25, while for those with body shape 6 or 7, OR was 0.57; P for trend < 0.001). No significant associations were found between BMI or body shape at age 20 and the risk of GC. However, in the Hpstratum, relative risk patterns were different. Although there was no clear trend of ORs in association with BMI, higher body shape at age 20 was associated with an increased risk of GC (P for trend = 0.018). Compared with those with body shape 3 10 years before interview, ORs was 2.62 (95% CI, 1.10-6.25) for body shape 1, and 2.12 (95% CI, 1.26-3.59) for body shape 5.

We further explored the effect of change in BMI and body shape stratified by Hp infection (Table 4). In the Hp+ stratum, when subjects were underweight at

age 20, those with normal, overweight or obese BMI 10 years before interview had a 60% decreased risk of GC (OR=0.40; 95% CI, 0.17-0.93) compared with those keeping underweight all the time. Compared with those who had a normal BMI both at age 20 and 10 years before interview, those with decreased BMI 10 years before interview had an almost doubled risk of GC (OR=1.94; 95% CI, 1.13-3.31), while those with increased BMI had a 41% decreased risk of GC (OR=0.59; 95% CI, 0.44-0.80). For change in body shape, the relative risk patterns were almost similar. Compared with those with stable body shape 1/2, those with body shape 1/2 at age 20 but higher body shape 10 years before interview had a more than 50% decreased risk of GC (OR=0.48; 95% CI, 0.32-0.73). And compared with those with stable body shape 3/4, those with lower body shape later had an almost doubled risk of GC (OR=1.96; 95% CI, 1.30-2.94). In the *Hp*- stratum, the direction of most point estimates was consistent, although almost all results were not statistically significant, except that those with lower body shape later had an increased risk of GC (OR=2.01; 95% CI, 1.06-3.82) compared with those with stable body shape 3/4 from age 20.

Besides, analyses of possible effect modification by family wealth, smoking, alcohol drinking, and job intensity were performed. The results were consistent and likelihood ratio tests did not detect any statistically significant interaction (data not shown; all *p*-value of interaction >0.05). Finally, sensitivity analysis was respectively performed by excluding 60 male cases and 21 female cases collected only from the local Cancer Registry, and by excluding subjects older than 75. The results did not change materially (data not shown).

Discussion

In this large population-based case-control study, we examined the associations between body fatness and risk of GC. Higher BMI and body shape 10 years before interview was negatively associated with risk of GC, regardless of anatomical subsite, Laurén's classification, and sex. And it was found that higher BMI and body shape 10 years before interview was a significant protective factor of GC in the Hp+ stratum, while in the Hp- stratum, higher body shape at age 20 was positively associated with the risk of GC. Furthermore, weight gain after age 20 could decrease risk of GC, while weight loss could increase the risk for normal-weight or underweight persons, although this association was not statistically significant in the Hp- stratum.

It remained controversial for the association between body fatness and risk of GC, when GC was separated into EGJC and TGC by anatomical subsite

[9-16,26-28]. A recent meta-analysis with 24 prospective studies found that higher BMI is positively associated with the risk of EGJC [26]. In our study, however, it was found that higher BMI 10 years before interview was negatively associated with risk of EGIC. A cohort study from Linxian of China reported that higher BMI was associated with a decreased risk of TGC (hazard ratio, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.51-0.83) [16], as was also found in our study. A population-based cohort study of 5-24 million UK adults reported similar results, that the lower BMI group (BMI < 22 kg/m^2) had a higher risk of overall GC [29]. Only a few studies explored the effect of body fatness at age 20 [11,30]. Merry et al found a higher risk of EGJC with increasing BMI at age 20 [11], while Wu et al found the same trends for TGC [30]. However, we found no significant association between BMI at age 20 and these two subtypes.

Meanwhile, we explored two other subtypes, intestinal type and diffuse type, according to Laurén's classification [17]. These two subtypes had some biological differences [17], and the epidemiological and clinicopathological characteristics tend to be different [18]. However, we found that the effects of body fatness showed similar patterns on these two subtypes.

Hp was classified as a Group I carcinogen for GC by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) [3], and we conducted a stratified analysis by *Hp* infection. The protective effect of higher BMI 10

years before interview was just found in the Hp+ stratum. A possible explanation was that weight loss could be caused by Hp infection. Lender *et al* found a negative association between Hp infection and BMI level [31]. Hp infection could cause a series of gastric diseases, such as chronic gastritis [32], and then lead to GC eventually [33]. When infected with Hp, subjects might suffer from chronic gastritis and other gastric diseases for a long time, even more than 10 years, which could cause their weight loss by affecting food intake or digestion.

In our study, among subjects with *Hp* infection, those underweight or with normal-BMI (BMI < 24 kg/m²) at age 20 had a higher risk of GC in association with a decreased BMI 10 years before interview, and a lower risk with gain in BMI. There were few studies considering the effects of changes in body fatness [11,21]. Audrey *et al* calculated as BMI at baseline minus BMI at age 20 years and found that subjects with a BMI gain of >8.0 kg/m² had a 2.07 times higher risk (95% CI, 1.08-3.97) of EGJC compared to subjects with 0-3.9 kg/m² change in BMI [11], while Petrick et al only found modestly association of weight change with EGJC [21]. Nevertheless, the same BMI change might have different impacts with different baseline BMI. Subjects in our study were divided into 3 groups by baseline BMI, and we found the effects were differential by baseline BMI.

Anthropometric	Hp infection (+) Hp i				<i>Hp</i> infection (-)			
parameters	Controls	GC	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR	Controls	GC	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR
	N (%)	N (%)	(95% CI)	(95% CI) ^a	N (%)	N (%)	(95% CI)	(95% CI) ^a
BMI at age 20								
Underweight	139 (10.54)	66 (9.40)	0.87 (0.63~1.18)	0.93 (0.67~1.30)	79 (12.17)	25 (10.92)	0.92 (0.57~1.50)	0.95 (0.56~1.61)
Normal	894 (67.78)	490 (69.80)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	434 (66.87)	149 (65.07)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Overweight	252 (19.11)	127 (18.09)	0.92 (0.72~1.17)	0.96 (0.74~1.25)	120 (18.49)	49 (21.40)	1.19 (0.81~1.74)	1.23 (0.81~1.87)
Obesity	34 (2.58)	19 (2.71)	1.02 (0.58~1.81)	1.03 (0.56~1.91)	16 (2.47)	6 (2.62)	1.09 (0.42~2.84)	1.27 (0.45~3.55)
P for trend			0.682	0.909			0.366	0.307
BMI 10 years before inte	erview							
Underweight	68 (5.16)	70 (9.97)	1.80 (1.26~2.56)	1.60 (1.09~2.34)	40 (6.16)	22 (9.61)	1.57 (0.90~2.73)	1.43 (0.76~2.68)
Normal	785 (59.51)	449 (63.96)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	411 (63.33)	144 (62.88)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Overweight	372 (28.20)	155 (22.08)	0.73 (0.58~0.91)	0.76 (0.60~0.96)	165 (25.42)	55 (24.02)	0.95 (0.66~1.36)	1.01 (0.69~1.49)
Obesity	94 (7.13)	28 (3.99)	0.52 (0.34~0.81)	0.55 (0.34~0.88)	33 (5.08)	8 (3.49)	0.69 (0.31~1.53)	0.57 (0.23~1.45)
P for trend			< 0.001	< 0.001			0.113	0.198
Body shape at age 20								
Shape 1	74 (5.61)	47 (6.70)	1.17 (0.79~1.73)	1.28 (0.84~1.95)	35 (5.39)	6 (2.62)	0.50 (0.20~1.24)	0.51 (0.20~1.33)
Shape 2	295 (22.37)	150 (21.37)	0.93 (0.73~1.19)	0.92 (0.71~1.20)	158 (24.35)	52 (22.71)	0.97 (0.65~1.45)	0.86 (0.55~1.34)
Shape 3	499 (37.83)	272 (38.75)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	238 (36.67)	81 (35.37)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Shape 4	332 (25.17)	166 (23.65)	0.92 (0.72~1.16)	0.96 (0.74~1.24)	158 (24.35)	61 (26.64)	1.13 (0.77~1.67)	1.01 (0.66~1.54)
Shape 5	92 (6.97)	51 (7.26)	1.02 (0.70~1.48)	1.15 (0.77~1.72)	50 (7.70)	23 (10.04)	1.35 (0.78~2.35)	1.66 (0.90~3.05)
Shape 6/7	27 (2.05)	16 (2.28)	1.09 (0.58~2.05)	1.13 (0.57~2.22)	10 (1.54)	6 (2.62)	1.76 (0.62~5.00)	1.76 (0.58~5.36)
P for trend			0.779	0.929			0.036	0.018
Body shape 10 years bef	ore interview							
Shape 1	34 (2.58)	57 (8.12)	3.03 (1.93~4.77)	3.25 (2.01~5.26)	16 (2.47)	12 (5.24)	2.46 (1.10~5.46)	2.62 (1.10~6.25)
Shape 2	198 (15.01)	136 (19.37)	1.24 (0.95~1.62)	1.23 (0.92~1.64)	117 (18.03)	48 (20.96)	1.35 (0.79~2.07)	1.27 (0.79~2.03)
Shape 3	458 (34.72)	253 (36.04)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	230 (35.44)	70 (30.57)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Shape 4	391 (29.64)	156 (22.22)	0.72 (0.57~0.92)	0.80 (0.62~1.04)	189 (29.12)	57 (24.89)	0.99 (0.69~1.48)	1.07 (0.69~1.66)

Table 3. The ORs and 95% Cls for BMI and body shape in association with risk of GC cases, stratified by Hp infection

II infaction ()		

3095

Anthropometric	Hp infection	(+)		Hp infection (-)					
parameters	Controls	GC	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR	Controls	GC	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR	
	N (%)	N (%)	(95% CI)	(95% CI) ^a	N (%)	N (%)	(95% CI)	(95% CI) ^a	
Shape 5	170 (12.89)	81 (11.54)	0.86 (0.64~1.17)	1.00 (0.72~1.39)	72 (11.09)	37 (16.16)	1.69 (1.26~2.72)	2.12 (1.26~3.59)	
Shape 6/7	68 (5.16)	19 (2.71)	0.51 (0.30~0.86)	0.57 (0.32~1.01)	25 (3.85)	5 (2.18)	0.66 (0.24~1.78)	0.61 (0.20~1.88)	
P for trend			<0.001	<0.001			0.378	0.938	

Abbreviations: GC, gastric cancer; BMI, body mass index; ORs, odd ratios; CIs, confidence intervals; Hp, Helicobacter pylori.

Note: Boldface indicates that *P* for trend < 0.05.

^a Adjusted for age, sex, education, marital status, occupation, sum of missing and filled teeth, daily frequency of brushing teeth, tea drinking, smoking, alcohol drinking, *Hp* infection, job intensity, family wealth score and family history of GC among first-degree relatives.

Table 4. The ORs and 95% CIs for change of BMI and bo	y shape in association with risk	of GC cases, stratified by Hp infection
---	----------------------------------	---

Change in Anthropometric	Hp infection	(+)			Hp infection	(-)		
parameters	Controls N (%)	GC N (%)	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)ª	Controls N (%)	GC N (%)	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)ª
Change in BMI								
From age 20 to 10 years before a	interview							
Underweight								
Underweight	36 (2.73)	28 (3.99)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	22 (3.39)	10 (4.37)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Normal/overweight/obesity	103 (7.81)	38 (5.41)	0.47 (0.26~0.88)	0.40 (0.17~0.93)	57 (8.78)	15 (6.55)	0.58 (0.23~1.48)	0.74 (0.12~4.44)
Normal								
Underweight	28 (2.12)	38 (5.41)	2.26 (1.36~3.74)	1.94 (1.13~3.31)	17 (2.62)	10 (4.37)	1.65 (0.73~3.71)	1.42 (0.55~3.64)
Normal	606 (45.94)	364 (51.85)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	311 (47.92)	111 (48.47)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Overweight/obesity	260 (19.71)	88 (12.54)	0.56 (0.43~0.74)	0.59 (0.44~0.80)	106 (16.33)	28 (12.23)	0.74 (0.46~1.18)	0.75 (0.44~1.29)
Overweight/obesity								
Underweight/normal	101 (7.66)	59 (8.40)	1.24 (0.82~1.87)	1.24 (0.77~1.99)	55 (8.47)	24 (10.48)	1.14 (0.61~2.15)	1.10 (0.52~2.34)
Overweight/obesity	185 (14.03)	87 (12.39)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	81 (12.48)	31 (13.54)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Change in body shape								
From age 20 to 10 years before a	interview							
Shape 1/2								
Shape 1/2	168 (12.74)	121 (17.24)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	90 (13.87)	35 (15.28)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Shape 3/4/5/6/7	201 (15.24)	76 (10.83)	0.52 (0.37~0.75)	0.48 (0.32~0.73)	103 (15.87)	23 (10.04)	0.57 (0.32~1.04)	1.00 (0.45~2.23)
Shape 3/4								
Shape 1/2	60 (4.55)	70 (9.97)	2.26 (1.56~3.27)	1.96 (1.30~2.94)	41 (6.32)	23 (10.04)	1.68 (0.96~2.94)	2.01 (1.06~3.82)
Shape 3/4	623 (47.23)	322 (45.87)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	306 (47.15)	102 (44.54)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Shape 5/6/7	148 (11.22)	46 (6.55)	0.60 (0.42~0.86)	0.71 (0.48~1.04)	49 (7.55)	17 (7.42)	1.04 (0.57~1.89)	1.07 (0.54~2.12)
Shape 5/6/7								
Shape 1/2/3/4	54 (4.09)	26 (3.70)	0.76 (0.41~1.40)	0.79 (0.38~1.65)	26 (4.01)	11 (4.80)	0.80 (0.32~1.98)	0.58 (0.13~2.62)
Shape 5/6/7	65 (4.93)	41 (5.84)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	34 (5.24)	18 (7.86)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)

Abbreviations: GC, gastric cancer; BMI, body mass index; ORs, odd ratios; CIs, confidence intervals; Hp, Helicobacter pylori.

Note: Boldface indicates significant associations.

^a Adjusted for age, sex, education, marital status, occupation, sum of missing and filled teeth, daily frequency of brushing teeth, tea drinking, smoking, alcohol drinking, *Hp* infection, job intensity, family wealth score and family history of GC among first-degree relatives.

There were other commonly used measurements of body fatness besides BMI, such as abdominal diameter [34], waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio [12]. In order to control for recall bias, we finally chose body shape as another measurement of body fatness, considering that the majority of participants had low educational level and might have a better photographic memory about their body shape. Participants were asked to choose one of the nine pictorial body shape for women (7 for men, modified as few men had a body shape > 7 there) developed by Stunkard et al to depict their body shape [25]. Although the relative risk patterns were almost similar for body shape, there were some different results in the *Hp*- stratum. It was found that higher body shape at age 20 was positively associated with risk of GC, which was consistent with the findings of Merry et al and Wu et al [11,30]. Besides, a cohort study found that there was a J-shaped association

between body fatness (measured by BMI) and GC [35], and we found that subjects with body shape 1 or 5 10 years before interview had a higher risk of GC, when subjects with body shape 3 were chosen as reference.

Discrepancies between our results with those of previous studies might be due to different study populations. Several studies in Asian countries showed a borderline significant or no associations between BMI and risk of GC [27,36-38]. A meta-analysis of cohort studies conducted a subgroup analysis on the basis of race, and there was a statistically significant link between excess body weight and GC among non-Asians, but not among Asians [27]. Moreover, birth periods of our study population were about 1950s, when a famine plagued nearly all over China. And a study found that Chinese famine then would increase the risk of GC [39]. The biological mechanism is unclear for the association between body fatness and risk of GC. It has been realized that adipose tissue is not only a reservoir for energy storage, but also an essential metabolic and endocrine organ [40,41]. Most adipose tissue can express and secrete adipocytokines and other mediators with important immune and endocrine functions, which exert autocrine, paracrine or endocrine effects on neighboring cells, remote tissues and organs [41]. Weight loss may impact on body's metabolism and immune function, further to impact on health and even cause cancer. This hypothesis needs to be verified in future studies.

Besides the use of both BMI and body shape to assess body fatness, there are some other strengths in our study. First, we explored the associations for different classifications of GC. Second, our trained staff interviewed cases before they knew their cancer diagnoses, in order to control recall bias. Furthermore, all cases were carefully verified by a pathologist and a high response rate for both cases and controls was also the strength.

Our study also has several limitations. We did not gather information of BMI and body shape at more time points, so that the current results only indicate the association between a relative long-term change and risk of GC. Furthermore, recall bias cannot be absolutely eliminated. But we made efforts to control it in aforementioned ways and found no material change when conducting a sensitivity analysis by excluding cases only from the local Cancer Registry.

In summary, body fatness is associated with GC risk, especially in the time point closer to cancer development. Changes of body fatness also have differential effects on GC risk by baseline body fatness and *Hp* infection status. Further studies are needed to clarify whether the observed effect of body fatness on GC risk is due to the development of precancerous lesions, or on the pathway between *Hp* infection to cancer development, or other to-be-proven mechanisms.

Abbreviations

GC: gastric cancer; *Hp*: *Helicobacter pylori*; EGJC: esophagogastric-junction cancer; TGC: true gastric cancer; BMI: body mass index; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; IARC: the International Agency for Research on Cancer.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary figures and tables. http://www.jcancer.org/v12p3089s1.pdf

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank personnel in Fudan-Taizhou Institute of Health Sciences for their contributions with the recruitment of subjects. We would also like to thank the staffs of Taixing Center for Disease Control and Prevention for the assistance of sample collection. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81973116, 81573229, 82073637and 91846302) and National Key Research and Development program of China (2017YFC0907002 and 2017YFC0907003). And W. Y. was also supported by a grant from European Research Council (Consolidator grant, no.: 682663).

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68:394-424.
- Feng RM, Zong YN, Cao SM, Xu RH. Current cancer situation in China: good or bad news from the 2018 Global Cancer Statistics. Cancer Commun. 2019;39:22.
- Smyth EC, Nilsson M, Grabsch HI, van Grieken NC, Lordick F. Gastric cancer. Lancet. 2020;396:635-648.
- Ordóñez-Mena JM, Schöttker B, Mons U, et al. Quantification of the smoking-associated cancer risk with rate advancement periods: meta-analysis of individual participant data from cohorts of the CHANCES consortium. BMC Med. 2016;14:62.
- Thapa S, Fischbath LA, Delongchamp R, Faramawi MF, Orloff M. Association between Dietary Salt Intake and Progression in the Gastric Precancerous Process. Cancers. 2019;11:467.
- Song H, Ekheden IG, Ploner A, Ericsson J, Nyren O, Ye W. Family history of gastric mucosal abnormality and the risk of gastric cancer: a population-based observational study. Int J Epidemiol. 2018;47:440–449.
- Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet. 2014;384:766-781.
- Yu Z, Han S, Chu J, Xu Z, Zhu C, Guo X. Trends in overweight and obesity among children and adolescents in China from 1981 to 2010: a meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2012;7:e51949.
- Rice TW, Ishwaran H, Ferguson MK, Blackstone EH, Goldstraw P. Cancer of the Esophagus and Esophagogastric Junction: An Eighth Edition Staging Primer. J Thorac Oncol. 2017;12:36-42.
- Lauby-Secretan B, Scoccianti C, Loomis D, et al. Body Fatness and Cancer Viewpoint of the IARC Working Group. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:794-798.
- Merry AH, Schouten LJ, Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA. Body mass index, height and risk of adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus and gastric cardia: a prospective cohort study. Gut. 2007;56:1503-1511.
- O'Doherty MG, Freedman ND, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, Abnet CC. A prospective cohort study of obesity and risk of oesophageal and gastric adenocarcinoma in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. Gut. 2012;61:1261-1268.
- Lin XJ, Wang CP, Liu XD, et al. Body mass index and risk of gastric cancer: a meta-analysis. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2014;44:783-791.
- Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, Heller RF, Zwahlen M. Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. Lancet. 2008;371:569-578.
- Levi Z, Kark JD, Twig G, et al. Body mass index at adolescence and risk of noncardia gastric cancer in a cohort of 1.79 million men and women. Cancer. 2018;124:356-363.
- Fan JH, Wang JB, Wang SM, Abnet CC, Qiao YL, Taylor PR. Body mass index and risk of gastric cancer: A 30-year follow-up study in the Linxian general population trial cohort. Cancer Sci. 2017;108:1667-1672.
- Laurén P. The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: Diffuse and so-called intestinal-type carcinoma. An attempt at a histo-clinical classification. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand. 1965;64:31-49.
- Waldum HL, Fossmark R. Types of Gastric Carcinomas. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19:4109.

- Okorodudu DO, Jumean MF, Montori VM, et al. Diagnostic performance of body mass index to identify obesity as defined by body adiposity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Obes (Lond). 2010;34:791-799.
- Must A, Willett WC, Dietz WH. Remote recall of childhood height, weight, and body build by elderly subjects. Am J Epidemiol. 1993;138:56–64.
- Petrick JL, Kelly SP, Liao LM, Freedman ND, Graubard BI, Cook MB. Body weight trajectories and risk of oesophageal and gastric cardia adenocarcinomas: a pooled analysis of NIH-AARP and PLCO Studies. Br J Cancer. 2017;116:951-959.
- Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66:115-132.
- Suo C, Yang Y, Yuan Z, et al. Alcohol Intake Interacts with Functional Genetic Polymorphisms of Aldehyde Dehydrogenase (ALDH2) and Alcohol Dehydrogenase (ADH) to Increase Esophageal Squamous Cell Cancer Risk. J Thorac Oncol. 2019;14:712-725.
- Ekheden I, Yang X, Chen H, et al. Associations Between Gastric Atrophy and Its Interaction With Poor Oral Health and the Risk for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma in a High-Risk Region of China: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2020;189:931-941.
- Stunkard AJ, Sorensen T, Schulsinger F. Use of the Danish Adoption Register for the Study of Obesity and Thinness. Res Publ Assoc Res Nerv Ment Dis. 1983;60:115-120.
- Chen Y, Liu L, Wang X, et al. Body mass index and risk of gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of a population with more than ten million from 24 prospective studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2013;22:1395-1408.
- Yang P, Zhou Y, Chen B, et al. Overweight, obesity and gastric cancer risk: results from a meta-analysis of cohort studies. Eur J Cancer. 2009;45:2867-2873.
- Ryan AM, Rowley SP, Fitzgerald AP, Ravi N, Reynolds JV. Adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus and gastric cardia: Male preponderance in association with obesity. Eur J Cancer. 2006;42:1151-1158.
- Bhaskaran K, Douglas I, Forbes H, dos-Santos-Silva I, Leon DA, Smeeth L. Body-mass index and risk of 22 specific cancers: a population-based cohort study of 5 24 million UK adults. Lancet. 2014;384:755-765.
- Wu AH, Wan P, Bernstein L. A multiethnic population-based study of smoking, alcohol and body size and risk of adenocarcinomas of the stomach and esophagus (United States). Cancer Causes Control. 2001;12:721-732.
- Lender N, Talley NJ, Enck P, et al. Review article: Associations between Helicobacter pylori and obesity--an ecological study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2014;40:24-31.
- Roszczenko-Jasińska P, Wojtyś MI, Jagusztyn-Krynicka EK. Helicobacter pylori treatment in the post-antibiotics era-searching for new drug targets. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2020;104:9891-9905.
- Cover TL. Helicobacter pylori Diversity and Gastric Cancer Risk. mBio. 2016;7: e01869-15.
- Corley DA, Kubo A, Zhao W. Abdominal obesity and the risk of esophageal and gastric cardia carcinomas. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2008;17:352-358.
- Bhaskaran K, Dos-Santos-Silva I, Leon DA, Douglas IJ, Smeeth L. Association of BMI with overall and cause-specific mortality: a population-based cohort study of 3.6 million adults in the UK. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2018;6:944-953.
- Kuriyama S, Tsubono Y, Hozawa A, et al. Obesity and risk of cancer in Japan. Int J Cancer. 2005;113:148-157.
- Oh SW, Yoon YS, Shin SA. Effects of excess weight on cancer incidences depending on cancer sites and histologic findings among men: Korea National Health Insurance Corporation Study. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23:4742-4754.
- Tran GD, Sun XD, Abnet CC, et al. Prospective study of risk factors for esophageal and gastric cancers in the Linxian general population trial cohort in China. Int J Cancer. 2005;113:456-463.
- Xie SH, Lagergren J. A possible link between famine exposure in early life and future risk of gastrointestinal cancers: Implications from age-period-cohort analysis. Int J Cancer. 2017;140:636-645.
- O'Rourke RW. Adipose tissue and the physiologic underpinnings of metabolic disease. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2018;14:1755-1763.
- Oikonomou EK, Antoniades C. The role of adipose tissue in cardiovascular health and disease. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2019;16:83-99.