



2020; 11(22): 6700-6702. doi: 10.7150/jca.51792

Short research communication

Negative Effects On Cancer Care Due To COVID-19 Implications: Observations From A Cancer Center In The UAE

Shaheenah Dawood¹, Zulfaqqar Jaffar², Zakir K Mohamed³, Annett Al-Hamadi⁴, Zakaullah Khan⁵ □

- 1. Department of Medical Oncology, Mediclinic City Hospital, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences, Dubai, UAE
- 2. Department of Medical Oncology, Mediclinic Abu Dhabi, UAE
- 3. Department of GI Surgery, Mediclinic Parkview, Dubai, UAE
- 4. Department of Breast Surgery, Mediclinic City Hospital, Dubai, UAE
- 5. Mediclinic Health Care, Dubai, UAE

🖂 Corresponding author: Shaheenah Dawood, FACP, FRCP (Glasgow), MPH, CPH, Shaheenah@post.harvard.edu; Tel: 97150-7289797

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2020.08.10; Accepted: 2020.09.07; Published: 2020.09.24

In 2019, the World Health Organization declared the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) to be a public health emergency of international concern that rapidly escalated to pandemic status in 2020 (1). As of August 2, 2020, there have been approximately 17.6 million confirmed cases worldwide, with over 680,000 deaths from this disease (1). A particularly vulnerable cohort due to immunosuppression are those that suffer from cancer. On the 14th of February 2020 a nationwide analysis was published of patients suffering from COVID-19 in China that indicated that 18 of 1590 patients (1%) had a history of cancer and had higher risk of respiratory complications, more time spent in the intensive care unit, and a shorter time to deterioration compared to patients who did not have cancer (2). On the 28th of May 2020 we saw the results of the COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium (CCC19) database that reported a 30 day all-cause mortality of 13 % among patients with either active or previous history of cancer who had contracted COVID-19 with higher rates observed among those who were older, had poor performance status or were receiving active cancer related therapy (3). Various groups have made recommendations that include modifications in adjuvant therapy, delay in surgery and chemotherapy if feasible, and de-prioritizing patients with metastatic cancer and delayed screening strategies (4). Although these recommendations were sensible in light of the pandemic, the clinical care pathways for patients with cancer that have been built

over the years in an effort to ensure early detection and therapy have been severely disrupted. As we pass the peak of the pandemic, the negative impact of our decisions will now become more visible.

The UAE Experience: Building a cancer fortress

Our group runs one of the largest comprehensive cancer centers in the UAE that caters to approximately 20% of the 9.8 million of the national population. Recognizing the need to be able to protect without adequately was paramount, compromising their care in either the adjuvant or metastatic setting - which could cause long term negative consequences. In addition to the general recommendations of screening patients for fever and symptoms related to COVID-19 and minimizing clinic visits by actively adopting telemedicine consultations, we were in a unique position to mandate COVID-19 screening for all patients with cancer who were actively getting chemotherapy, targeted therapy, immunotherapy and or radiation therapy, at baseline and at the start of each cycle, throughout the course of their treatment. For patients on regular follow up after completion of all therapy, COVID-19 testing was only required in the presence of symptoms or history of exposure. COVID-19 screening was also mandated prior to any cancer-related surgery. COVID-19 testing was done by Reverse Transcriptase PCR assay on

specimens derived from a nasopharyngeal swab. Between March 20th and May 7th of 2020, 353 patients with current or prior history of cancer were screened with the majority (83.6%) complying with the protocol mandate. Of the 295 patients tested, fourteen (4.7%) tested positive, representing 0.5% of all patients who tested positive with and without a history of cancer (n=2685) at our institution. Eight (57.1%) of those 14 patients were actively receiving or had recently completed therapy (Table 1). Four patients did not have symptoms, one of whom tested positive prior to breast surgery, while one patient actively receiving chemotherapy for ovarian cancer had recent travel history. One patient with breast cancer receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy, who tested positive for COVID-19 after exposure to a positive family member, required time in the intensive care unit and was successfully treated with plasma exchange therapy. Two patients (15.4%) who initially tested negative were re-tested and found to be positive indicating that the true prevalence may be higher than that reported due to the false negative results for tests to detect COVID-19 (5). One patient (7%) with metastatic advanced colorectal cancer immunotherapy who had failed multiple lines of therapy died in the intensive cancer unit after testing positive for COVID-19. Increased and early use of GCSF support and antibiotics was also observed.

The Dilemma we now face

Routine testing of all patients with cancer who are actively receiving therapy provided physicians with more confidence to adhere to guideline-based protocols as highlighted by minimal protocol violation observed among patients who were actively being treated, with therapy delivered largely on schedule. Our experience also reflects the results of the recent prospective observational study from the Coronavirus Cancer Monitoring (UKCCMP) that patients with cancer receiving anti-cancer therapy did not appear to be at higher risk of mortality from COVID-19 (6). We were confident that we were treating our patients well and we were not going to negatively impact long term prognostic outcome. However, as we entered the plateau in our pandemic curve and services opened up, we observed four very disturbing facts. First, a number of patients who were on active anti-cancer therapy, most with curative intent, abandoned therapy during the peak of the pandemic due to fear of COVID-19 exposure. Second, during the peak of the pandemic, elective surgeries including a number of cancer related surgeries had to be postponed with patients now returning with evidence of locoregional progression

and distant metastatic disease. Third, screening programs (a critical first step in the cancer care pathway) including those for breast and GI had seen a sharp dip during the pandemic due either to closure or restriction of services to the most urgent cases. As such now that that these services had re opened, we were picking up more advanced cases. Fourth, patients with symptoms such as breast lumps or rectal bleeding were simply too scared during the peak of the pandemic to seek medical advice and evaluation and were now presenting with more advanced stages of disease. In an epidemiological study deriving data from weekly diagnostic referral and chemotherapy treatments until April 2020 in England, an estimated 20% increase in cancer mortality has been predicted due to delays in either diagnosis or treatment administration due to the pandemic (7).

Next steps forward

Multiple guidelines have been published in 2020 highlighting the need to consider modifications to treatment regimens based on the current pandemic we are facing. The guidelines are not based on definitive data but rather on consensus opinion. The drawback is that we may impact long term prognostic outcome negatively albeit inadvertently, in an attempt acquiring decrease risk of COVID-19. Intensification of testing strategies, in addition to symptom-screening strategies and minimization of clinic visits for patients with history of cancer, can aid and inform early intervention strategies, to treat this vulnerable group and reduce associated morbidity mortality significantly. However, guidelines have not addressed the chaos that the pandemic has thrown health care workers and patients into. The years of intensive screening programs and patient education that has been effective in decreasing mortality rates associated with cancer has just taken a major step backwards. At our center we have taken active measures to decrease lag time associated with consultations, screening and diagnostics, and enhance patient confidence to get back to seeking these services by ensuring that the environment for these procedures is safe with active cleaning protocols and COVID-19 testing protocols in place for both patients and associated health care providers. Is this going to stop the rise of advanced cancer cases that we anticipate? Perhaps, but not completely. It is however an important first step in treating patients as quickly and efficiently as we can. We are going to have to work as a team to proactively reformulate our strategy moving forward - the storm may have passed; a tsunami is about to arrive.

Table 1: Characteristics of COVID positive patients with recent or prior history of Cancer (N=14)

Case	Diagnosis	Age (years)	Active treatment for cancer	Symptoms	Initial COVID test	Treatment for COVID
1	Ovarian cancer	56	Chemotherapy	Asymptomatic (recent travel history)	Positive	Yes , hydroxychloroquine
2	Breast cancer	47	No	Asymptomatic Tested prior to surgery	Positive	No
3	Transitional cell carcinoma of the kidney	67	Chemotherapy	Asymptomatic Family member positive for COVID	Negative	Yes, hydroxychloroquine
4	Breast cancer	56	No	Symptomatic Found to have a brain abscess secondary to sinus surgery	positive	Yes, hydroxychloroquine
5	Breast Cancer	62	Chemotherapy	Symptomatic Cough and fever	positive	Yes, received plasma exchange
6	Breast cancer	51	Adjuvant endocrine therapy	Symptomatic Cough and fever	positive	Yes, ritonavir/lopinavir
7	Prostate cancer	69	Adjuvant radiation therapy	Symptomatic Cough and fever	positive	No
8	Lymphoma	59	Recent completion of therapy	Symptomatic Cough, SOB and fever	Negative	Yes, Ritonavir/Iopinavir
9	CLL	80	yes	Symptomatic Sore throat	Positive	No
10	Lymphoma	41	No	Symptomatic Fever	Positive	No
11	Breast Cancer	42	No	Asymptomatic Tested as part of screening program	positive	No
12	Colorectal cancer	70	Nivolumab + Regorafenib	Symptomatic Fever	positive	Yes, hydroxychloroquine
13	Breast Cancer	39	No	Symptomatic Fever	Positive	Yes
14	Breast Cancer	60	Palbociclib + Fulvestrant	Symptomatic Fever	Positive	Yes, hydroxychloroquine

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. https://www.who.int.
- Liang W, Guan W, Chen R et al. Cancer Patients in SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A Nationwide Analysis in China. Lancet Oncol 2020;21:335-337
- Kuderer NM, Choueiri TK, Shah DP, Shyr Y, Rubinstein SM, et al. Clinical impact of COVID-19 on patients with cancer (CCC19): a cohort study. Lancet. 2020; 395:1907-1918.
- 4. American Society of Clinical Oncology. COVID-19 patient care information. American Society of Clinical Oncology Web Site. https://www.asco.org/asco-coronavirus-information/care-individuals-cance r-during-covid-19. 2020
- Ai T, Yang Z, Hou H, et al. Correlation of chest CT and RT-PCR testing in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: a report of 1014 cases. Radiology 2020; 296: E32-E40
- Lee LYW, Cazier JB, Starkey T et al. UK Coronavirus Cancer Monitoring Project Team, Kerr R, Middleton G. COVID-19 mortality in patients with cancer on chemotherapy or other anticancer treatments: a prospective cohort study. Lancet 2020; 395:1919-1926.
- Lai Á, Pasea L, Banerjee A, et al. Estimating excess mortality in people with cancer and multimorbidity in the COVID-19 emergency. [e-pub ahead of print]. medRxiv. Accessed July 4, 2020