

Research Paper



Improved diagnostic value by combining plasma PON1 level with tumor biomarkers in Colorectal Cancer patients

Jingdan Zhang^{1,2}, Xiangling Yang^{1,2}, Lili Wei¹, Huiliu Tan¹, Junxiong Chen^{1,2}, Weiqian Li^{1,2}, Kawo Chan^{1,2}, Yixi Su^{1,2}, Lu Zhao¹, Suhua Hu¹, Shuoxian Zhong¹, Yanhong Xiao^{1 \boxtimes} and Huanliang Liu^{1,2 \boxtimes}

1. Department of Clinical Laboratory, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510655, China.

2. Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Colorectal and Pelvic Floor Diseases, Guangdong Institute of Gastroenterology, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510655, China.

🖂 Corresponding authors: Prof. Huanliang Liu, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, 26 Yuancun Er Heng Road, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510655, China, E-Mail: liuhuanl@mail.sysu.edu.cn; Dr. Yanhong Xiao, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, 26 Yuancun Er Heng Road, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510655, China, E-Mail: xiaoyh3@mail.sysu.edu.cn.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2020.02.22; Accepted: 2020.08.10; Published: 2020.09.17

Abstract

The incidence of colorectal cancer (CRC) ranks third among all cancers in China and improvements in screening for CRC have an important impact on prevention and control of the disease. Paraoxonase 1 (PON1) is a calcium ion-dependent hydrolase that is widely distributed in tissue. Its diagnostic value in colorectal cancer has been reported, but the diagnostic value of combining PON1 with carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9), carbohydrate antigen 12-5 (CA12-5) in colorectal cancer has not been evaluated. Experiments were carried out in a total of 284 CRC patients and 90 healthy controls. The primary cohort was randomly divided into training and validation sets. The levels of PON1 in plasma of CRC patients were significantly lower than that in the healthy controls (P < 0.001). It showed excellent diagnostic value with the AUC reaching 0.750 for the training set and 0.742 for the validation set. Furthermore, combining PON1 with CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 could better classify CRC patients (AUC rising from 0.821, 0.716, 0.712 to 0.875, 0.817 and 0.814, respectively, in the training set, from 0.818, 0.581, 0.593 to 0.854, 0.770, and 0.772 in the validation set). In conclusion, PON1 can serve as a diagnostic biomarker for CRC and raise the sensitivity and specificity when incorporated with traditional tumor biomarkers.

Key words: Paraoxonase 1; biomarker; colorectal cancer; diagnostic value

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most prevalent cancers. The incidence of CRC ranks third among all cancers and the incidence is still rising [1-3]. Most CRC patients are in advanced stages at the time of diagnosis. Studies have shown that screening individuals with risk factors can diagnose CRC at an early stage, reduce mortality, and even reduce the incidence by detecting and removing adenomas. The United States has begun to promote early screening for CRC since the 1980s. At present, the 5-year survival rate of CRC patients in the United States has reached 90%. This shows that screening for colorectal cancer has an important impact on morbidity and mortality [4].

In China, the two-step approach is commonly used in screening for CRC. The first step in screening is to identify the patient's level of risk for CRC, while the second step is to take a diagnostic screening for high-risk patients. Fecal occult blood test and highrisk questionnaire of medical history are used as screening methods at present, however their sensitivity and specificity are low [5,6]. Colonoscopy remains the golden standard to diagnose CRC whose advantage is accurate and accessible to biopsy for pathological examination. Blood-based test is a new option. For example, although SEPT9 DNA in plasma has become a marker for CRC [7,8], its penetration still at a low rate due to its high price. Therefore, there is a need for methods with high sensitivity, high specificity and patient compliance.

Paraoxonase 1 (PON1) is an aromatic esterase that catalyzes the hydrolysis of phosphate linkages. It is mainly synthesized by the liver and released into the blood. High density lipoprotein (HDL) is used as a carrier in the blood, which works by tightly binding to ApoAI [9]. Some of altered lipid molecules may be potential biomarkers of CRC risk, development and progression [10]. This enzyme inhibits low density lipoprotein (LDL) peroxidation and decomposes LDL peroxidation products into non-toxic small molecules, thereby attenuating oxidative stress in macrophages [11,12]. The levels of PON1 in plasma may be related to CRC [13]. LDL peroxidation product increases when PON1 is of low level, resulting in an excess of reactive oxygen species, causing imbalance between antioxidant system and oxidant system. Studies have reported the significance of PON1 in diagnosing CRC in European populations, and revealed the relationship between PON1 gene polymorphism and PON1 enzyme activity [14,15]. However, research on the significance of PON1 and the combination of PON1 and commonly used biomarkers CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 to diagnose CRC in Chinese population is still unclear. Therefore, this study focused on the plasma PON1 levels in Chinese population and the value of PON1 combined with tumor biomarkers in the diagnosis of colorectal cancer.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

Blood samples from 284 CRC patients and 90 healthy controls were collected from the Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University between September 2018 and June 2019. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University (ethical approval number 2018ZSLYEC-008). The research was done according to the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients were included according to the medical and pathology reports. Patients with missing data and who accepted any chemotherapy or radiotherapy were excluded in our study. Healthy controls were selected based on their age and gender to match CRC patients to the greatest extent. Plasma samples in EDTA tubes were collected within 6 h from drawn blood. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3,000 r/min for 2 min, then transfer the supernatants into 1.7 mL EP tubes and immediately stored at -80 °C. Plasma samples were centrifuged at 130,000 r/min for 10 min at 4 °C before experiment.

Methods

Clinical and pathological data were investigated. Serum tumor biomarkers were measured by chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (CLEIA) on an Architect (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL, USA). PON1 was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using reagent kits (RayBiotech, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China). ELISA was performed according to the manufacture's instruction.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 26.0, MedCalc 19.0 and GraphPad Prism 8.0. The comparisons of CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9, and PON1 between the Training set and the Validation set were assessed using Mann-Whitney U test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted and the areas under the curve (AUC) were calculated. The efficacy of PON1 for diagnosis was evaluated by AUC. Cut-off value was defined as the value with the maximization of the Youden index. Furthermore, sensitivity (Sen), specificity (Spe), positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were used to compare the diagnostic value among PON1, CEA, CA19-9, CA12-5. Logistic regression model was binomial fitted to combine diagnostic performance of biomarkers. All statistical tests were two-sided and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of the subjects

We have collected several clinicopathologic features of the 284 CRC patients, including age, gender, TNM stage and tumor location (**Table 1**). According to P values, the levels of PON1 were not obviously correlated with age, T classification, N classification, metastasis, clinical stage and tumor location in CRC patients. There could be a significant association between gender and the levels of PON1 (P = 0.014), which was lower in males than in females. Baseline characteristics were compared in training and validation sets (**Table 2 & Table 3**). All *P* values > 0.05 indicated that grouping was valid and able to be analyzed in the next step.

Correlation of PON1 and CRC according to plasma levels

To assess the relationship between plasma PON1 levels and CRC, we calculated Spearman's correlation coefficient. For PON1, the correlation coefficient constant r was -0.510 (P < 0.001). For CEA, CA12-5

and CA19-9, the correlation coefficient constant r's were 0.364 (P < 0.001), 0.182 (P = 0.001) and 0.198 (P < 0.001). These data suggested that CEA and PON1 are most likely to diagnose CRC.

 Table 1. Relationship between PON1 and the clinicopathological variables in 284 CRC patients

Variable	n	PON1 (ng/mL), median (P25-P75)	P value
Gender			
Male	180	1,181 (1,020-1,471)	0.014
Female	104	1,273 (1,109-1,607)	
Age (y)			
<60	134	1,217 (1,071-1,485)	0.747
≥60	150	1,239 (1,021-1,542)	
T classification			
T1+T2	52	1,279 (1,110-1,576)	0.126
T3+T4	232	1,205 (1,041-1,493)	
N classification			
No	116	1,245 (1,098-1,529)	0.182
Yes	168	1,211 (999-1,528)	
Metastasis			
No	229	1,227 (1,064-1,491)	0.465
Yes	55	1,214 (961-1,556)	
Stage			
I+II	103	1,219 (1,069-1,477)	0.718
III+IV	181	1,243 (1,039-1,544)	
Location			
Colon	135	1,200 (1,030-1,484)	0.078
Rectum	149	1,273 (1,068-1,561)	

 Table 2. Baseline characteristics of CRC patients in both training and validation sets

Variable	Training set (n = 142)	Validation set (n = 142)	P value
Age (y)	61 (28-86)	60 (22-88)	0.478
Gender			
Male/Female	93/49	87/55	0.539
T classification			
T1/T2/T3/T4	7/17/79/39	9/19/88/26	0.328
CEA (ng/mL)	3.6 (0.5-4,185.8)	4.6 (0.9-3,602.6)	0.333
CA12-5 (U/mL)	13.5 (2.6-398.1)	10.5 (3.8-235.5)	0.061
CA19-9 (U/mL)	8.4 (2.0-70,923.8)	10.8 (2.0-5,674.6)	0.446
PON1 (ng/mL)	1,237 (463-2,285)	1,222 (645-2,258)	0.470

 Table 3. Baseline characteristics of healthy controls in both training and validation sets

Variable	Training set (n = 45)	Validation set (n = 45)	Р
	-		value
Age (y)	55 (27-78)	58 (29-80)	0.234
Gender (Male/Female)	24/21	24/21	1.000
CEA (ng/mL)	1.7 (0.5-4.5)	1.7 (0.7-4.7)	0.488
CA12-5 (U/mL)	8.7 (4.7-28.5)	9.7 (4.7-20.5)	0.411
CA19-9 (U/mL)	5.8 (2.0-33.4)	4.9 (2.0-34.6)	0.931
PON1 (ng/mL)	1,869 (646-2,552)	1,820 (729-2,388)	0.818

Decreased plasma PON1 levels in colorectal cancer patients

ELISA was performed to evaluate plasma PON1 levels in 284 CRC patients and 90 healthy controls. The median plasma concentration of PON1 was 1,226 (1,049-1,528) ng/mL in CRC patients and 1,840 (1,651-2,111) ng/mL in control subjects (**Figure 1D**). In order to reduce the variation among all the values, we have transformed the raw data by logarithmic function. The median serum concentration of CEA was 3.9 (2.2-10.3) ng/mL in CRC patients and 1.7 (1.0-2.8) ng/mL in control subjects (**Figure 1A**). The median serum concentration of CA12-5 was 11.6 (8.0-18.0) U/mL in CRC patients and 9.0 (6.5-12.4) U/mL in control subjects (**Figure 1B**). The median serum concentration of CA19-9 was 10.3 (3.6-26.2) U/mL in CRC patients and 5.5 (2.7-9.5) U/mL in control subjects (**Figure 1C**).



Figure 1. Plasma PON1 levels are decreased in colorectal cancer patients. CEA (A) CA12-5 (B), CA19-9 (C) levels in serum were measured in 284 cancer patients and part of healthy controls. (D)The plasma PON1 levels were measured in 284 cancer patients and 90 healthy controls.

Diagnostic value of individual PON1, CEA, CA12-5 and CA19-9 levels for CRC

To evaluate the diagnostic value of PON1 levels, we plotted ROC curves and calculated the sensitivity and specificity of PON1, CEA, CA12-5 and CA19-9. Based on the training set as shown in **Figure 2A**, the AUCs of PON1 and CEA reached 0.750 (95% CI: 0.624-0.876), and 0.821 (95% CI: 0.745-0.896), respectively, whereas the AUCs for CA12-5 and CA19-9 were only 0.716 (95% CI: 0.612-0.820) and 0.712 (95% CI: 0.627-0.796). **Table 4** demonstrated the sensitivity and specificity of PON1 was 0.775 and 0.727 based on the optimal cut-off (1,556). The optimal cut-offs of CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 were 2.2, 9.7 and 10.0. In the validation set (**Figure 2B**), the AUCs of CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9, PON1 were 0.818 (95% CI:

0.725-0.910), 0.581 (95% CI: 0.462-0.700), 0.593 (95% CI: 0.476-0.711) and 0.742 (95% CI: 0.607-0.877) which could lead to the same conclusion as the training set. The diagnostic value of the validation set is shown in **Table 5.**

Table 4. The diagnostic value of CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 andPON1 in the training set

	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	AUC	P value
CEA	0.746	0.828	0.930	0.507	0.821	< 0.001
CA12-5	0.662	0.727	0.888	0.407	0.716	0.001
CA19-9	0.479	0.955	0.986	0.373	0.712	0.001
PON1	0.775	0.727	0.902	0.508	0.750	< 0.001

 Table 5. The diagnostic value of CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 and PON1 in the validation set

	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	AUC	P value
CEA	0.782	0.600	0.860	0.466	0.818	< 0.001
CA12-5	0.585	0.500	0.790	0.280	0.581	0.275
CA19-9	0.535	0.780	0.884	0.347	0.593	0.209
PON1	0.911	0.423	0.832	0.594	0.742	0.001

Diagnostic value of PON1, CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 levels combination for CRC

CEA, CA12-5 and CA19-9 are the most commonly used clinical index to screen cancer, so we also assessed the diagnostic value of CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 in combination with PON1 in CRC. As shown in **Figure 3**, by combining with PON1, the overall





Figure 2. ROC curves of using CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 and PON1 alone in training and validation sets. To certify the utility of PON1 in the diagnosis of colorectal cancer, we plotted ROC curves and determine cut-off values. (A) Diagnostic outcomes for PON1, CEA, CA12-5 and CA19-9 alone in Training set. (B) Diagnostic outcomes for PON1, CEA, CA12-5 and CA19-9 alone for the validation set.



Figure 3. ROC curves of using CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 and PON1 in combination in training and validation sets. (A) Best diagnostic outcomes for PON1, CEA, CA12-5 and CA19-9 in combination in Training set. (B) Best diagnostic outcomes for PON1, CEA, CA12-5 and CA19-9 in combination in Validation set.

AUCs increased. In the training set, the AUC was 0.821 for CEA and 0.875 for combination of PON1 and CEA. The specificity reached 0.852 and NPV reached 0.611 which is higher than combining CEA with CA12-5 or CA19-9. The results in the validation set confirm the performance of PON1 as a plasma biomarker to increase the diagnostic value of CRC detection, and suggest that the levels of CEA and PON1 combination could be better screened CRC than either CEA alone or combined with CA12-5 or CA19-9. The sensitivity and specificity of CEA reached 0.859 and 0.750 in the validation set when combined with PON1.

Table 6. The diagnosis value of CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 and PON1 in combination to diagnose CRC in training set

Combination	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	AUC	P value
CA12-5+CA19-9	0.606	0.955	0.977	0.434	0.796	< 0.001
CEA+CA19-9	0.648	0.957	0.979	0.462	0.851	< 0.001
CEA+CA12-5	0.796	0.864	0.950	0.574	0.867	< 0.001
PON1+CEA	0.852	0.739	0.910	0.611	0.875	< 0.001
PON1+CA12-5	0.894	0.682	0.901	0.674	0.817	< 0.001
PON1+CA19-9	0.838	0.762	0.915	0.596	0.814	< 0.001
PON1+CA12-5+CA19-9	0.761	0.818	0.931	0.521	0.864	< 0.001
PON1+CEA+CA19-9	0.768	0.870	0.948	0.542	0.898	< 0.001
PON1+CEA+CA12-5	0.894	0.773	0.927	0.700	0.893	< 0.001
CEA+CA12-5+CA19-9	0.824	0.864	0.951	0.609	0.884	< 0.001
PON1+CEA+CA12-5	0.817	0.864	0.951	0.600	0.909	< 0.001
+CA19-9						

 Table 7. The diagnosis value of CEA, CA12-5, CA19-9 and PON1

 in combination to diagnose CRC in validation set

Combination	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	AUC	P value
CA12-5+CA19-9	0.648	0.722	0.876	0.390	0.669	0.023
CEA+CA19-9	0.542	1.000	1.000	0.413	0.829	< 0.001
CEA+CA12-5	0.894	0.647	0.888	0.659	0.831	< 0.001
PON1+CEA	0.859	0.750	0.917	0.630	0.854	< 0.001
PON1+CA12-5	0.838	0.722	0.902	0.582	0.770	< 0.001
PON1+CA19-9	0.852	0.854	0.945	0.644	0.772	< 0.001
PON1+CA12-5+CA19-9	0.894	0.722	0.907	0.681	0.792	< 0.001
PON1+CEA+CA19-9	0.838	0.722	0.902	0.582	0.860	< 0.001
PON1+CEA+CA12-5	0.570	1.000	1.000	0.425	0.861	< 0.001
CEA+CA12-5+CA19-9	0.845	0.706	0.902	0.593	0.840	< 0.001
PON1+CEA+CA12-5	0.761	0.824	0.931	0.521	0.867	< 0.001
+CA19-9						

Discussion

Liquid biopsy is one of the most promising screening methods because of its simplicity, convenience, and minimally invasiveness. It provides a faster route for diagnosis, monitoring and prognosis of colorectal cancer [16]. At present, clinical practice mainly uses CEA and CA19-9 for CRC screening. The increment of CA12-5 is commonly observed in epithelial tumors. Due to their low sensitivity and specificity, there is an urgent need to explore new biomarker or validate most potential biomarkers.

Previous studies have confirmed that PON1 is a

calcium ion-dependent hydrolase that is widely distributed in tissue. It mainly expresses in the liver and assembled with HDL. The significant association between gender and the levels of PON1 (P = 0.014) may related to the positive correlation between PON1 and HDL, and the difference in HDL between male and female [17]. Recent studies have shown that low PON1 activity is associated with increased risk of breast cancer [18] and risk of gastric cancer metastasis [19]. The antioxidant capacity of PON1 is related to its peroxidase activity [9]. Low activity of PON1 will result in excess reactive oxygen species expose individuals to higher oxidative stress and increases risk of cancer [20,21]. Changes in plasma PON1 levels are also associated with liver cell destruction and chronic inflammation [22]. Its diagnostic value in endometrial cancer, colorectal cancer, etc. has also been reported [23,24]. In this study, we found that PON1 also has diagnostic value for CRC in the Chinese population which is consistent with previous research.

When CEA, CA19-9, CA12-5 are combined with PON1, their AUCs are improved to various extents. The AUC of CEA improved from 0.821 to 0.875 in Training set and from 0.818 to 0.854 in Validation set. Improvement in specificity can reduce the rate of misdiagnosis without sacrificing sensitivity. These indicated that common biomarkers findings combined with PON1 could be better screened for CRC than used alone. We inferred the results may be related to obesity and lipid metabolism. Obesity demonstrates a major public health issue because of its derived risk factors which are strongly associated with many diseases including CRC [25]. Studies have shown that decreased HDL is an important determinant of lipid peroxidation and it is of lower level in obese people than in others [26]. Reverse cholesterol transport mechanism is defined as the removal of cholesterol from peripheral macrophage foam cells, via HDL, and cholesterol transportation to the liver for excretion [27]. Oxidative modification of LDL is involved in the production of inflammatory cytokines which associated with the cancer development [28]. PON1 inhibits LDL peroxidation and decomposes LDL peroxidation products into non-toxic small molecules in which way related to cancer occurrence [11,12].

Here, the limitations of this study have to be mentioned. Firstly, all cases of colorectal cancer are from a hospital with well reputation for gastrointestinal diseases. The findings need further confirmation in multi-center though an internal validation was performed. Secondly, part of the tumor biomarker data was missing in the healthy control group. Finally, this study is a retrospective study that requires further design of a prospective study to demonstrate that PON1 combined with tumor biomarkers can improve the diagnostic efficacy of colorectal cancer.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 81672413, 81772573); National Postdoctoral Program for Innovative Talents (grant number BX201700297); Guangdong Provincial Department of Science and Technology Program Projects (grant number 2017A050501055); Overseas Excellent Professor Project, Ministry of Education of China (grant number MS2016ZSDX041); Young Teacher Foundation of Sun Yat-sen University (grant number 17ykpy69) and National Key Clinical Discipline.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018; 68: 7-30.
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018; 68: 394-424.
- Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016; 66: 115-32.
- Provenzale D, Gupta S, Ahnen DJ, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: colorectal cancer screening, version 1.2018. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2018; 16: 939-49.
- Rubeca T, Rapi S, Confortini M, et al. Evaluation of diagnostic accuracy of screening by fecal occult blood testing (FOBT). Comparison of FOB Gold and OC Sensor assays in a consecutive prospective screening series. Int J Biol Markers. 2006; 21: 157-61.
- Liao Y, Li S, Chen C, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer in Tianhe, Guangzhou: results of combining fecal immunochemical tests and risk factors for selecting patients requiring colonoscopy. Gastroenterol Rep. 2018; 6: 132-6.
- deVos T, Tetzner R, Model F, et al. Circulating methylated SEPT9 DNA in plasma is a biomarker for colorectal cancer. Clin Chem. 2009; 55: 1337-46.
- He N, Song L, Kang Q, et al. The pathological features of colorectal cancer determine the detection performance on blood ctDNA. Technol Cancer Res Treat. 2018; 17: 1-9.
- Mackness M, Mackness B. Human paraoxonase-1 (PON1): gene structure and expression, promiscuous activities and multiple physiological roles. Gene. 2015; 567: 12-21.
- 10. Pakiet A, Kobiela J, Stepnowski P, et al. Changes in lipids composition and metabolism in colorectal cancer: a review. Lipids Health Dis. 2019; 18: 29.
- Soran H, Schofield JD, Durrington PN. Antioxidant properties of HDL. Front Pharmacol. 2015; 6: 222.
- Soran H, Schofield JD, Liu Y, et al. How HDL protects LDL against atherogenic modification: paraoxonase 1 and other dramatis personae. Curr Opin Lipidol. 2015; 26: 247-56.
- Ahmed NS, Shafik NM, Elraheem OA, et al. Association of paraoxonase-1(Q192R and L55M) gene polymorphisms and activity with colorectal cancer and effect of surgical intervention. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2015; 16: 803-9.
- Li Y, Liang G, Shi L, et al. Paraoxonase-1 (PON1) rs662 polymorphism and its association with serum lipid levels and longevity in the Bama Zhuang population. Med Sci Monit. 2016; 22: 5154-62.
- Hu P, Ma Y, Zhang L, et al. PON1 L55M polymorphism might contribute to the risk of cancer. Panminerva Med. 2017; 59: 107-13.
- Yiu AJ, Yiu CY. Biomarkers in colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res. 2016; 36: 1093-102.
- Kim HJ, Park HA, Cho YG, et al. Gender difference in the level of HDL cholesterol in korean adults. Korean J Fam Med. 2011; 32: 173-81.
- Hussein YM, Gharib AF, Etewa RL, et al. Association of L55M and Q192R polymorphisms in paraoxonase 1 (PON1) gene with breast cancer risk and their clinical significance. Mol Cell Biochem. 2011; 351: 117-23.

- Atay AE, Kaplan MA, Evliyaoglu O, et al. The predictive role of paraoxonase 1 (PON1) activity on survival in patients with metastatic and nonmetastatic gastric cancer. Clin Ter. 2014; 165: e1-5.
- Bacchetti T, Ferretti G, Sahebkar A. The role of paraoxonase in cancer. Semin Cancer Biol. 2019; 56: 72-86.
- Kim BK, Yoo HI, Lee AR, et al. Decreased expression of VLDLR is inversely correlated with miR-200c in human colorectal cancer. Mol Carcinog. 2017; 56: 1620-9.
- Keskin M, Dolar E, Dirican M, et al. Baseline and salt-stimulated paraoxonase and arylesterase activities in patients with chronic liver disease: relation to disease severity. Intern Med J. 2009; 39: 243-8.
- Ose J, Botma A, Balavarca Y, et al. Pathway analysis of genetic variants in folate-mediated one-carbon metabolism-related genes and survival in a prospectively followed cohort of colorectal cancer patients. Cancer Med. 2018; 7: 2797-807
- Galczynski K, Beltowski J, Nowakowski L, et al. Serum paraoxonase 1 activity and protein N-homocysteinylation in primary human endometrial cancer. Tumour Biol. 2018; 40: 1-8.
- Rim CH, Kim CY, Yang DS, et al. Clinical significance of gender and body mass index in asian patients with colorectal cancer. J Cancer. 2019; 10: 682-8.
- Zelzer S, Fuchs N, Almer G, et al. High density lipoprotein cholesterol level is a robust predictor of lipid peroxidation irrespective of gender, age, obesity, and inflammatory or metabolic biomarkers. Clin Chim Acta. 2011; 412: 1345-9.
- Badeau RM, Metso J, Kovanen PT, et al. The impact of gender and serum estradiol levels on HDL-mediated reverse cholesterol transport. Eur J Clin Invest. 2013; 43: 317-23.
- Yui S, Sasaki T, Miyazaki A, et al. Induction of murine macrophage growth by modified LDLs. Arterioscler Thromb. 1993; 13: 331-7.