

A *BAP1* Mutation-specific MicroRNA Signature Predicts Clinical Outcomes in Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma Patients With Wild-type *BAP1*

Yu-Zheng Ge^{1*}, Lu-Wei Xu^{1*}, Chang-Cheng Zhou^{1*}, Tian-Ze Lu², Wen-Tao Yao¹, Ran Wu¹, You-Cai Zhao³, Xiao Xu⁴, Zhi-Kai Hu¹, Min Wang¹, Xiao-Bing Yang³, Liu-Hua Zhou¹, Bing Zhong⁵, Zheng Xu¹, Wen-Cheng Li¹, Jia-Geng Zhu¹, Rui-Peng Jia^{1¶}

1. Department of Urology, Nanjing First Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, 68 Changle Road, Nanjing 210006, China.
2. Department of Urology, Nantong Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 41 Jianshe Road, Nantong 226006, China.
3. Department of Pathology, Nanjing First Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, 68 Changle Road, Nanjing 210006, China.
4. Department of Radiation Oncology, JiangSu Armed Police General Hospital, 8 Jiangdu South Road, Yangzhou 225003, China
5. Department of Urology, Huaian First People's Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, 6 Beijing West Road, Huaian 223300, China.

***These three authors (Yu-Zheng Ge, Lu-Wei Xu, and Chang-Cheng Zhou) contributed equally to this work.**

Short Running Title: *BAP1* Mutation and MicroRNAs in ccRCC

¶ Correspondence author:

Rui-Peng Jia, M.D., Ph.D.,

Professor of Urology, Chief of Department of Urology
Nanjing First Hospital, Nanjing Medical University
68 Changle Road, Nanjing 210006, China.

E-mail: urojiarp@126.com OR urojiarp@njmu.edu.cn

Tel: +86-25-52271061

Fax: +86-25-52271061

Legends of supporting information:

Table S1. Mutation status of *BAP1* in 35 patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma

Table S2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of parameters associated with overall survival in 350 ccRCC patients

Table S3. Summary of miRNAs expressed differentially between *BAP1* mutant and wild-type tumors

Table S4. miRNAs associated with overall survival of ccRCC patients with wild-type *BAP1*

Table S5. Summary of miRNA target genes and enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways

Table S1. Mutation status of *BAP1* in 35 patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma

Amino acid change	No.	Type	FIS	Validation
D311_splice	1	Splice		V
D407fs	1	FS del		V
D74fs	1	FS del		V
D75G	1	Missense	Neutral	V
E283fs	1	FS del		V
E31A3D	1	Missense	High	V
E54K	1	Missense	Low	I
F170C	1	Missense	High	V
F170V	1	Missense	High	V
G109V	1	Missense	High	V
G128*	1	Nonsense		V
G23_splice3D	1	Splice		V
G41_splice	1	Splice		V
I662N	1	Missense	Low	V
K626*	1	Nonsense		V
K711fs	1	FS del		V
L17P3D	1	Missense	Medium	I
L49V	1	Missense	High	I
M1I	2	Missense		I
M1V	1	Missense		I
N229H	1	Missense	Medium	V
N78S	2	Missense	Neutral	V
Q156*	1	Nonsense		V
Q253*	1	Nonsense		V
Q40*	1	Nonsense		V
R60*	1	Nonsense		V
R699fs	1	FS del		V
R717fs	1	FS del		V
S279*	1	Nonsense		V
S460*	1	Nonsense		V
V335fs	1	FS del		I
V77fs	1	FS del		V
Y627*	1	Nonsense		V

BAP1, *BRCA1 associated protein-1*; No., number; FIS, Functional Impact Score for the missense mutations (via Mutation Assessor); FS del, frame-shift deletion; V, validated; I, invalidated.

Table S2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of parameters associated with overall survival in 350 ccRCC patients

Variables	HR	95% CI	P value
Univariate Cox regression analysis			
Age (≥ 60 vs. <60)	2.699	1.772-4.111	<0.001
Gender (male vs. female)	0.749	0.512-1.095	0.136
Ethnicity (Caucasians vs. non-Caucasians)	1.446	0.458-4.561	0.530
AJCC stage (III+IV vs. I+II)	4.890	3.158-7.571	<0.001
Tumor size (T3+T4 vs. T1+T2)	3.489	2.345-5.192	<0.001
Metastasis status (M1 vs. M0)	4.674	3.185-6.858	<0.001
Fuhrman grade (G3+G4 vs. G1+G2)	2.686	1.706-4.230	<0.001
Surgical type (RN vs. PN)	4.098	1.799-9.335	0.001
<i>BAP1</i> status (Mutant vs. Wild-type)	1.909	1.175-3.102	0.009
Multivariate Cox regression analysis ^a			
Age (≥ 60 vs. <60)	2.148	1.402-3.291	<0.001
AJCC stage (III+IV vs. I+II)	2.533	1.504-4.267	<0.001
Metastasis status (M1 vs. M0)	2.495	1.606-3.875	<0.001

ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidential interval; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; RN, radical nephrectomy; PN, partial nephrectomy; *BAP1*, *BRCA1* associated protein-1.

^a The final results after stepwise analysis with Backward (LR) method

Table S3. Summary of miRNAs expressed differentially between *BAP1* mutant and wild-type tumors

MicroRNA	Expression Level ^a		Fold Change ^b	P value	FDR
	WT (n=315)	MUT (n=35)			
hsa-miR-653	5.99 ± 1.77	4.97 ± 1.45	0.49	0.0010523	0.0122
hsa-miR-100	11.70 ± 1.14	10.83 ± 1.49	0.55	4.26E-05	0.000898
hsa-miR-3074	3.11 ± 0.82	2.29 ± 0.90	0.56	< 1e-07	5.80E-06
hsa-miR-139	6.57 ± 1.13	5.78 ± 1.17	0.58	0.0001043	0.00186
hsa-miR-10b	17.35 ± 0.64	16.63 ± 0.64	0.61	< 1e-07	< 1e-07
hsa-miR-126	13.52 ± 0.86	12.84 ± 0.98	0.63	1.58E-05	0.000407
hsa-miR-503	5.04 ± 1.31	4.38 ± 1.26	0.63	0.0042028	0.0348
hsa-miR-1468	3.16 ± 0.90	2.53 ± 0.93	0.65	9.72E-05	0.00186
hsa-miR-181d	3.23 ± 0.90	2.63 ± 1.26	0.66	0.0003426	0.00497
hsa-miR-424	8.55 ± 1.11	7.95 ± 1.01	0.66	0.0022575	0.0218
hsa-miR-10a	14.53 ± 0.71	13.94 ± 0.63	0.66	3.10E-06	0.00012
hsa-miR-181b-2	2.51 ± 0.77	1.93 ± 0.83	0.67	3.25E-05	0.000754
hsa-miR-362	3.36 ± 0.88	2.78 ± 0.85	0.67	0.0002722	0.00421
hsa-miR-181a-1	10.56 ± 0.50	10.06 ± 0.78	0.70	2.00E-07	9.28E-06
hsa-miR-181a-2	9.59 ± 0.55	9.14 ± 0.75	0.73	1.31E-05	0.00038
hsa-miR-181b-1	8.30 ± 0.59	7.90 ± 0.92	0.76	0.0003929	0.00506
hsa-miR-125a	9.68 ± 0.52	9.34 ± 0.62	0.79	0.0003858	0.00506
hsa-miR-99b	15.02 ± 0.51	14.77 ± 0.56	0.84	0.0068379	0.0512
hsa-let-7i	9.00 ± 0.48	9.25 ± 0.53	1.19	0.0030514	0.0283
hsa-miR-30e	14.06 ± 0.49	14.32 ± 0.55	1.20	0.0031995	0.0285
hsa-miR-374a	9.73 ± 0.49	10.03 ± 0.35	1.23	0.0005426	0.00663
hsa-miR-22	15.89 ± 0.55	16.20 ± 0.53	1.25	0.0012788	0.0141
hsa-miR-29b-2	8.38 ± 0.65	8.70 ± 0.90	1.25	0.0079739	0.0561
hsa-miR-1307	9.68 ± 0.65	10.02 ± 0.63	1.27	0.0037618	0.0323
hsa-miR-1301	3.32 ± 0.72	3.68 ± 0.81	1.28	0.0060719	0.0486
hsa-miR-21	17.29 ± 0.66	17.71 ± 0.45	1.35	0.0002278	0.00377
hsa-miR-671	1.95 ± 0.89	2.39 ± 0.95	1.35	0.006821	0.0512
hsa-miR-365-1	4.86 ± 0.88	5.36 ± 0.91	1.41	0.0014623	0.0154
hsa-miR-365-2	4.86 ± 0.92	5.37 ± 0.90	1.41	0.0021703	0.0218
hsa-miR-200c	4.67 ± 1.10	5.19 ± 0.94	1.45	0.007096	0.0514
hsa-miR-149	2.67 ± 1.18	3.64 ± 1.24	1.96	1.02E-05	0.000338
hsa-miR-182	11.07 ± 1.43	12.91 ± 1.44	3.57	< 1e-07	< 1e-07
hsa-miR-183	8.97 ± 1.49	10.91 ± 1.49	3.85	< 1e-07	< 1e-07

BAP1, *BRCA1 associated protein-1*; WT, wild-type; MUT, mutant; FDR, false discovery rate.

^a log2 transformed expression data

^b geometric mean of the ratio between miRNAs derived from *BAP1* mutant and wild-type tumors

Table S4. miRNAs associated with overall survival of ccRCC patients with wild-type *BAP1*

MicroRNA	HR	95% CI	Coefficient (<i>B</i>)	P value ^a
hsa-miR-10b	0.555	0.363-0.849	-0.588	0.007
hsa-miR-139	0.387	0.247-0.607	-0.949	< 0.001
hsa-miR-181a-2	0.608	0.399-0.926	-0.497	0.02
hsa-miR-149	1.701	1.110-2.607	0.531	0.015
hsa-miR-29b-2	1.876	1.221-2.882	0.629	0.004
hsa-miR-182	1.937	1.262-2.975	0.661	0.002
hsa-miR-183	2.046	1.327-3.156	0.716	0.001
hsa-miR-21	2.092	1.356-3.228	0.738	0.001
hsa-miR-365-2	2.178	1.393-3.406	0.778	0.001
hsa-miR-671	2.321	1.492-3.612	0.842	<0.001
hsa-miR-365-1	2.462	1.559-3.886	0.901	<0.001

ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; *BAP1*, *BRCA1* associated protein-1; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidential interval;

^a P values generated by univariate Cox regression analysis