

**Research Paper** 



2024; 15(10): 3199-3214. doi: 10.7150/jca.91687

# Identification of glutamine metabolism-related gene signature to predict colorectal cancer prognosis

Yang Xie<sup>1#</sup>, Jun Li<sup>1#</sup>, Qing Tao<sup>1</sup>, Yonghui Wu<sup>1</sup>, Zide Liu<sup>1</sup>, Chunyan Zeng<sup>1,2<sup>\infty</sup></sup>, Youxiang Chen<sup>1,2<sup>\infty</sup></sup>

1. Department of Gastroenterology, digestive disease Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital, Jiangxi Medical College, Nanchang University, Nanchang China.

2. Jiangxi Clinical Research Center for Gastroenterology, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China.

#### # Contributed equally.

⊠ Corresponding authors: Dr Chunyan Zeng and Dr Youxiang Chen, Department of Gastroenterology, Digestive Disease Hospital, the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, 17 Yongwaizheng Street, Nanchang330006, Jiangxi, China. Phone: +86-791-8869-2540; Fax: +86-791-8869-2540; Email: chenyx102@ncu.edu.cn; zengcy896@ncu.edu.cn.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2023.10.30; Accepted: 2024.03.16; Published: 2024.04.15

#### Abstract

**Backgrounds:** Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a highly malignant gastrointestinal malignancy with a poor prognosis, which imposes a significant burden on patients and healthcare providers globally. Previous studies have established that genes related to glutamine metabolism play a crucial role in the development of CRC. However, no studies have yet explored the prognostic significance of these genes in CRC.

**Methods:** CRC patient data were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), while glutamine metabolism-related genes were obtained from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) database. Univariate COX regression analysis and LASSO Cox regression were utilized to identify 15 glutamine metabolism-related genes associated with CRC prognosis. The risk scores were calculated and stratified into high-risk and low-risk groups based on the median risk score. The model's efficacy was assessed using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. Cox regression analysis was employed to determine the risk score as an independent prognostic factor for CRC. Differential immune cell infiltration between the high-risk and low-risk groups was assessed using the ssGSEA method. The clinical applicability of the model was validated by constructing nomograms based on age, gender, clinical staging, and risk scores. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used to detect the expression levels of core genes.

**Results:** We identified 15 genes related to glutamine metabolism in CRC: NLGN1, RIMKLB, UCN, CALB1, SYT4, WNT3A, NRCAM, LRFN4, PHGDH, GRM1, CBLN1, NRG1, GLYATL1, CBLN2, and VWC2. Compared to the high-risk group, the low-risk group demonstrated longer overall survival (OS) for CRC. Clinical correlation analysis revealed a positive correlation between the risk score and the clinical stage and TNM stage of CRC. Immune correlation analysis indicated a predominance of Th2 cells in the low-risk group. The nomogram exhibited excellent discriminatory ability for OS in CRC. Immunohistochemistry revealed that the core gene CBLN1 was expressed at a lower level in CRC, while GLYATL1 was expressed at a higher level.

**Conclusions:** In summary, we have successfully identified and comprehensively analyzed a gene signature associated with glutamine metabolism in CRC for the first time. This gene signature consistently and reliably predicts the prognosis of CRC patients, indicating its potential as a metabolic target for individuals with CRC.

Keywords: Glutamine metabolism-related genes, Colorectal cancer, Prognosis, Survival analysis, Immune cell infiltration.

#### Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is not only the fourth most common cancer globally, but also the third deadliest, as reported in the latest data in 2020[1]. The incidence and prevalence of CRC have shown a gradual and incremental trend globally from 1999 to 2019, imposing a significant economic burden on

global healthcare [2, 3]. Geographically, the incidence of colorectal cancer varies, with significantly higher cases observed in economically developed countries like Europe and North America compared to less economically developed countries [4]. In China, the overall incidence of CRC has also increased significantly in recent years due to improvements in living standards and changes in dietary patterns [1, 5]. Therefore, in light of the high malignancy and poor prognosis associated with CRC, early identification of high-risk factors and the establishment of a prognostic model for CRC can not only help reduce its morbidity and mortality rates, but also guide clinical treatment more effectively.

Glutamine is one of the most abundant amino acids in human plasma and plays various roles, including the regulation of energy metabolism, maintenance of pH homeostasis, and cellular integrity [6-8]. Glutamine can be hydrolyzed into glutamate and ammonium ions (NH4+) by glutaminase, while glutamate and ammonia (NH3) can be synthesized into glutamine through the catalysis of glutamine synthetase [8]. Several studies have reported significantly lower serum glutamine concentrations in CRC patients compared to the healthy population, possibly due to the increased uptake and utilization of nutrients by cancer cells for proliferation [9-12]. Clinical studies have also demonstrated that serum glutamine levels can serve as a prognostic marker in CRC, as glutamine deficiency promotes recurrence and metastasis [13, 14]. A meta-analysis finds glutamine significantly improves indicators of humoral and T-cell immune function in patients after radical surgery for colorectal cancer 15. Additionally, glutamine is essential for the treatment of CRC patients, as it can reduce complications and improve treatment outcomes [16]. Many genes are closely associated with glutamine metabolism, with glutaminase 1 (GLS1) being an important gene that is up-regulated in CRC patients. Knockdown of the GLS1 gene has been shown to inhibit the proliferation and migration of CRC cells [17]. Yu et al. found that mutations in the oncogene Pik3CA lead to glutamine dependence in CRC, suggesting that targeting glutamine metabolism may be an effective treatment for patients with Pik3CA-mutated CRC [18]. Qi et al. found that HSF1 stimulated acetyltransferase P300-mediated hyper-enhanced activity, promoted LCC00857 expression, and enhanced SLC1A5mediated glutamine transport [19]. In summary, glutamine metabolism and related genes play a significant role in the development of CRC.

However, previous research has primarily focused on the diagnostic value of glutamine in CRC, with limited studies exploring its prognostic value. Hence, this study aims to develop a predictive model for glutamine and glutamate metabolism-related genes to assess the prognosis of CRC. Such a model will provide valuable insights into identifying potential therapeutic targets for CRC.

#### Materials and Methods

#### **Data Collection and Preprocessing**

We obtained the gene expression matrices of the CRC samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data portal (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov) as the training set. We used the GSE17536 and GSE103479 datasets as external validation sets. The inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: (1) CRC patient samples with both mRNA sequencing data and survival status; (2) samples with complete clinical information. The TCGA database provided overall survival status for 433 CRC patients, and we also downloaded their clinical information, including survival time, survival status, age, gender, tumor TNM stage, and clinical classification. For further analysis, we selected 433 CRC patients who had complete clinical information. The GSE17536 and GSE103479 datasets contained 177 and 155 CRC patients, respectively, and were normalized using the R package "limma". We obtained genes related to glutamine metabolism from the MsigDB database (https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb).

## Construction and validation of prognostic signatures of genes related to glutamine metabolism

We used the "edgeR" package in R software to screen for differentially expressed genes between colorectal cancer and normal tissues. Significance was determined by an adjusted p-value < 0.05 and  $|\log_2(FC)|$  value > 1. We then intersected the downloaded glutamine metabolism-related genes with the differential genes to identify those involved in colorectal cancer development. To identify survival-associated glutamine metabolism genes in colorectal cancer patients, we performed univariate Cox proportional hazard regression analyses to explore the relationship between overall survival (OS) and glutamine metabolism-related genes. Genes with a p-value < 0.05 were considered to be associated with survival in colorectal cancer patients. We employed Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) regression analysis to minimize overfitting and identify survival-related genes of glutamine metabolism, using TCGA expression profiles. The R package "glmnet" was used to find the best gene models and calculate individualized risk scores using the coefficients. The risk score was calculated using

the formula: risk score = gene 1 expression \* coefficient + gene 2 expression \* coefficient + ... + gene n expression \* coefficient [20]. Based on the median threshold of the risk score, patients were divided into high-risk and low-risk groups. The R packages "survival" and "survminer" were used to determine the optimal cut-off values of risk scores and plot Kaplan-Meier survival curves. These curves illustrated the difference in OS between the high-risk and low-risk groups, and the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated using the R package "survivalROC" [21] to assess the time-dependent prognostic value of the model [22]. Furthermore, we validated the risk signature in the GSE17536 and GSE103479 datasets separately. Clinical characteristics of colorectal cancer patients, such as gender, age, clinical stage, histological grade, and tumor lymph node metastasis (TNM) status, were obtained from the TCGA database. We conducted univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses to determine whether the model risk scores independently predicted prognoses, considering these clinical characteristics. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Nomogram model construction

The construction of a nomogram involved the use of the "rms" and "hmisc" R packages [23]. To assess the clinical usefulness of the predictive nomogram, a decision curve analysis was conducted to quantify the net benefits across different threshold probabilities. Additionally, calibration curves were generated to evaluate the agreement level between the nomogram and the ideal observations. ROC curve of the clinical prognostic nomogram for predicting 1-, 2-, and 3-year survival in CRC patients was analyzed by MedCalc 20.0 statistic software.

### Estimation of tumor-infiltrating immune cells between high- and low-risk groups

The ssGSEA algorithm is based on 29 immune genomes, which include genes associated with various immune cell types, functions, pathways, and checkpoints. We utilized the ssGSEA algorithm through the R package, namely GSVA, GSEABase, GSVA, ggpubr, reshape2, and limma, to thoroughly evaluate the immunological profile of each sample in the study [24].

### Drug sensitivity prediction analysis of glutamine metabolism-related genes in CRC

We obtained drug sensitivity data from the CellMiner database (https://discover.nci.nih.gov/ cellminer). Subsequently, scatter plots were utilized to display the correlations between the expression of genes associated with glutamine metabolism and the various drug sensitivity scores. These scatter plots were generated using the R/Bioconductor software package, including ggplot2, impute, limma, and ggpubr. The correlations were assessed using Pearson correlation analysis.

#### Immunohistochemistry

Tissue slides from 10 colorectal cancer (CRC) patients were obtained from the Department of Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University. Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining was performed according to standard laboratory protocols as previously described [25]. The GLYATL1 antibody was purchased from Abmart (PHB9276, Shanghai) at a dilution of 1:100, while the CBLN1 antibody was purchased from Abmart (PK30087, Shanghai) at a dilution of 1:100. The GLYATL1 and CBLN1 IHC scores were determined based on the intensity and percentage of staining in tumor cells. Staining intensity was classified as 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate), or 3 (strong), and the percentages were assigned as follows: 1 (0-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (>75%). The total IHC staining scores were calculated as the product of the intensity and percentage. IHC scores less than 6 were defined as the low expression group, while scores greater than 6 were defined as the high expression group. Immunohistochemical staining was independently assessed and examined by two observers (Qing Tao and Yong hui Wu).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were conducted to assess the variables in the study. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SE, while categorical variables were expressed as frequency (n) and proportion (%). Differences between variables were analyzed using t-tests, non-parametric tests, and chi-square tests. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to estimate the predictive power of immune-related risk characteristics and clinical characteristics. Kaplan-Meier analyses were used to estimate the overall survival rates of different groups, and log-rank tests were employed to assess the significance of differences between the groups. Statistical analyses were version 4.3.1[26].

#### Results

### Identification of prognostic genes associated with glutamine metabolism in CRC

The overall workflow of this study is depicted in Fig. 1. Firstly, a total of 573 genes related to glutamine metabolism were obtained from the MSigDB database. Secondly, 10,384 differentially expressed genes in CRC were retrieved from the TCGA database. Lastly, an intersection between glutamine metabolism-related genes and CRC differentially expressed genes was performed, resulting in a matrix of 198 genes associated with glutamine metabolism, as illustrated in Fig. 2A.

### Construction of a prognostic model associated with glutamine metabolism genes in CRC

After further screening the data of 480 CRC patients downloaded from the TCGA database, we found that 433 CRC patients had complete clinical information, including the survival data required for our study (Table 1). The patient demographics and baseline characteristics are presented in Table 2. To investigate the prognostic value of 198 glutamine metabolism-related genes in CRC, we initially conducted univariate Cox regression analyses. This analysis identified 42 genes that were significantly associated with the overall survival of CRC patients (p < 0.05). Further, we performed LASSO-multivariable Cox analysis on these 42 genes and determined that 15 glutamine metabolism-related genes were significantly associated with CRC prognosis (Table 2).

The regression coefficients in the LASSO regression model are displayed in Fig. 2B, while the optimal lambda values are shown in Fig. 2C. Additionally, we conducted multivariate COX regression analyses for the 15-glutamine metabolismrelated genes, and identified GLYATL1 and CBLN1 as statistically significant (P < 0.05) (Fig. 2D). Among them, 11 genes (NLGN1, RIMKLB, UCN, CALB1, SYT4, WNT3A, NRCAM, LRFN4, PHGDH, GRM1, and CBLN1) were classified as high-risk genes (HR>1), and 4 genes (NRG1, GLYATL1, CBLN2, and VWC2) were classified as low-risk genes (HR<1). Lastly, we developed a risk assessment model for CRC based on these 15-glutamine metabolism-related genes and their corresponding coefficients obtained from multivariate Cox regression (Table 1). The formula for calculating the risk score is as follows: Risk score = (0.16316 \* NLGN1) + (0.03212 \* RIMKLB) + (0.16431 \* UCN) + (0.03671 \* CALB1) + (0.07064 \* SYT4) + (0.06297 \* WNT3A) + (0.13404 \* NRCAM) + (0.10988 \* LRFN4) + (-0.13748 \* NRG1) + (0.11606 \* PHGDH) + (-0.15622 \* GLYATL1) + (0.04881 \* GRM1) + (0.12913 \* CBLN1) + (-0.13064 \* CBLN2) + (-0.04510 \* VWC2).



Figure 1. Experimental flowchart of the whole study.



Figure 2. Development of the prognostic signature based on 15 glutamine metabolism related genes (GMRGs). (A) Intersection of DEGs with GMRGs. (B) The variation characteristics of the coefficient of variables; (C) The selection process of the optimum value of the parameter  $\lambda$  in the Lasso regression model by cross-validation method. (D) Assessment of GMRGs in predicting prognosis of CRC exhibited by forest plot. (E) ROC curve of the 15 GMRGs prognostic signature. (F) Overall survival (OS) of CRC patients in high- and low-risk groups. (G) Risk score distribution. (H) survival status in high- and low-risk groups.

<b>I able 1.</b> Patient demographics and baseline characteristic	t demographics and baseline character	istics
-------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------

Characteristic	Risk level	p-value <sup>2</sup>	
	High, N = 2161	Low, N = 217 <sup>1</sup>	
Age			0.586
Mean ± SD	66 ± 13	$67 \pm 12$	
Gender			0.812
MALE	115 (53%)	118 (54%)	
FEMALE	101 (47%)	99 (46%)	
Stage			0.005
Stage I	27 (13%)	46 (21%)	
Stage II	75 (35%)	90 (41%)	
Stage III	76 (35%)	47 (22%)	
Stage IV	34 (16%)	27 (12%)	
unknow	4 (2%)	7 (3%)	
Т			0.002
Tis	0 (0%)	1 (0%)	
T1	3 (1%)	8 (4%)	
T2	29 (13%)	46 (21%)	
T3	149 (69%)	147 (68%)	
T4	35 (16%)	15 (7%)	
М			0.405
M0	155 (72%)	165 (76%)	
M1	34 (16%)	27 (12%)	
MX	25 (12%)	20 (9%)	
unknown	2 (1%)	5 (2%)	
Ν			< 0.001
N0	108 (50%)	146 (67%)	
N1	52 (24%)	50 (23%)	
N2	56 (26%)	21 (10%)	
State of survival			< 0.001
Alive	154 (71%)	192 (88%)	
Death	62 (29%)	25 (12%)	

<sup>1</sup>n (%); <sup>2</sup>Welch Two Sample t-test; Pearson's Chi-squared test; Fisher's exact test

 Table 2. Coefficients and lasso-multivariable Cox model results

 of 15 glutamine metabolism-related genes in the prognostic model

 of CRC.

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	Z	$\Pr(> z )$	
NLGN1	0.16316	1.17723	0.09429	1.730	0.0836	
RIMKLB	0.03212	1.03265	0.10602	0.303	0.7619	
UCN	0.16431	1.17858	0.10880	1.510	0.1310	
CALB1	0.03671	1.03739	0.03599	1.020	0.3077	
SYT4	0.07064	1.07320	0.07732	0.914	0.3609	
WNT3A	0.06297	1.06499	0.10051	0.626	0.5310	
NRCAM	0.13404	1.14343	0.07355	1.822	0.0684	
LRFN4	0.10988	1.11615	0.14315	0.768	0.4427	
NRG1	-0.13748	0.87155	0.07515	-1.829	0.0673	
PHGDH	0.11606	1.12307	0.07012	1.655	0.0979	
GLYATL1	-0.15622	0.85537	0.06102	-2.560	0.0105*	
GRM1	0.04881	1.05002	0.08026	0.608	0.5431	
CBLN1	0.12913	1.13784	0.05466	2.363	0.0182*	
CBLN2	-0.13064	0.87753	0.10100	-1.293	0.1958	
VWC2	-0.04510	0.95591	0.09734	-0.463	0.6432	

#### Internal and external validation of prognostic model efficacy based on 15 glutamine metabolism-related genes

To assess the predictive ability of 15 genes related to glutamine metabolism for CRC, we conducted internal and external validation. In the internal validation, risk scores were calculated for 433 patients based on the risk coefficients. Subsequently, high-risk and low-risk groups were identified using the median risk scores. The two groups were then compared using time-dependent ROC curve and KM curve analyses. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) for risk score prediction was 0.774 (Fig. 2E), indicating that our prediction model, based on the 15 glutamine metabolism genes, has excellent predictive ability for CRC. KM curve analysis (Fig. 2F) demonstrated that overall survival (OS) was worse in the high-risk group compared to the low-risk group (p < 0.0001). Additionally, the distribution of risk scores and survival time in the two groups showed that patients in the low-risk group had longer survival compared to those in the high-risk group (Fig. 2G-H).

For external validation, two datasets from the GEO database (GSE17536, GSE103479) were used as a test set to validate the prognostic value of glutamine metabolism-associated genetic risk profiles in CRC. Similarly, CRC patients in these datasets were divided into high-risk and low-risk groups for Kaplan-Meier analysis and ROC analysis. Kaplan-Meier analysis indicated that CRC patients in the high-risk group had a worse overall prognosis than those in the low-risk group (Fig. 3A, 3C). ROC analysis results showed that the AUCs for 1, 2, and 3 years were 0.682, 0.578, and 0.650 in the GSE17536 dataset (Fig. 3B), and 0.654, 0.638, and 0.602 in the GSE103479 dataset, respectively (Fig. 3D). The above results indicate that the prediction model we constructed has good predictive ability for the survival of CRC patients.

#### Correlation of glutamine metabolism-related prediction models with overall survival in CRC patients

To validate the correlation between the prognostic model and overall survival in patients, a univariate COX regression analysis was performed. The analysis demonstrated that clinical stage (P < 0.001), TNM stage (P < 0.001), and risk score (P < 0.001) were significantly correlated with overall survival (Fig. 4A). Multifactorial COX analysis revealed that clinical stage (P < 0.05) and risk score (P < 0.001) remained as independent prognostic factors associated with overall survival in CRC patients (Fig. 4B). In summary, our prognostic model for glutamine metabolism is an independent and reliable factor for assessing CRC prognosis.

#### Association between glutamine metabolism-related prediction models and clinical features

To investigate the relationship between risk scores and clinical signatures, we analyzed the prognostic model for correlation with patient clinical parameters in 433 patients of CRC. The results showed that risk scores were higher in patients with more advanced clinical staging and T, N, and M staging than in patients with earlier staging (Fig. 5C-F), which demonstrated that the prognostic model was reliable. Regrettably, there was no statistically significant difference in risk scores between age and gender as shown in Fig. 5A-B (p > 0.05).

### Glutamine metabolism-related prediction models with immune correlation analysis

The results indicated that the high-risk group exhibited significantly higher levels of Macrophages and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) compared to the low-risk group. Conversely, the high-risk group demonstrated lower levels of Th2 cells compared to the low-risk group (Fig. 6A). Regarding immune cell function, the high-risk group was primarily associated with T-cell co-stimulation, whereas the low-risk group was predominantly associated with type I interferon (INF) responses (Fig. 6B). In terms of immune subtypes, the risk score for C2 was found to be higher than that of C1 (P < 0.05). However, no significant difference was observed between C3 and C4 (Fig. 6C). Additionally, we further analyzed the correlation between the immunity score and the stromal score with the risk score. As depicted in Fig. 6D-E, the stromal score exhibited a significant positive

correlation with the risk score (P < 0.0001). However, no significant correlation was observed between the immune score and the risk score (P = 0.94).

### Correlation analysis of genes related to glutamine metabolism with drug sensitivity

Drug sensitivity analyses revealed that both CBLN2 and GCYATL1 expression were positively correlated with aloin sensitivity (Fig. 7A-B) (p < 0.001), while GCYATL1 was negatively correlated with Vinorelbine sensitivity (Fig. 7F) (p < 0.001). Additionally, the drug sensitivity of LOXO-101 (Fig. 7C) and NMS-E628 (Fig. 7M) was enhanced with elevated expression levels of CABL1 (p < 0.001). Similarly, the drug sensitivity of Dabrafenib (Fig. 7D), Vemurafenib (Fig. 7E), and Encorafenib (Fig. 7H) showed a strong positive correlation with NLGN1 expression (p < 0.001). As depicted in Fig. 7G and Fig. 7J, higher expression levels of SYT4 and VWC2 were associated with stronger drug sensitivity to norvir (p < 0.001). An intriguing observation was that NRG1 expression demonstrated a significant positive correlation (p < 0.001) with the sensitivity of drugs such as Dasatinib (Fig. 7K), BLU-667 (Fig.7L), and



Figure 3. Validation of glutamine metabolism-related genes associated with overall survival in colorectal cancer in GSE17536 and GSE103479 datasets. Kaplan-Meier analyses suggest that CRC patients with high-risk scores have a worse overall prognosis (A, and C). ROC analyses of risk scores assessed sensitivity and specificity (B, and D).

Ibrutinib (Fig.7O), while exhibiting a highly significant negative correlation (p < 0.001) with the sensitivity of Selumetinib (Fig. 7I) and ARRY-162 (Fig. 7P). Finally, Fig. 7N indicates that higher expression of UCN was associated with greater drug sensitivity to Nelarabine (p < 0.001).

#### **Construction of the Nomogram Model**

To better assess the probabilities of 1-, 2-, and 3-year survival in CRC patients, we developed a nomogram model. This model integrates the scores of clinical features such as age, gender, clinical stage, and glutamine metabolism-related gene features (Fig. 8A). The nomogram model allows us to observe that the survival probability of CRC patients in the low-risk group is higher than that of those in the high-risk group. Additionally, the calibration curves demonstrate that the nomogram effectively predicts 1-, 2- and 3-year overall survival (OS) in line with the actual OS (Fig. 8B-D). As shown in Fig. 8E-G, the nomogram AUC values for 1-, 2-, and 3-year OS were 0.876, 0.838, and 0.838, respectively. This further suggests that the glutamine metabolism-related gene profile exhibits excellent predictive ability for CRC patients.

#### Verification of the expression levels of glutamine metabolism-related core genes in CRC samples

In the previous analysis, as shown in Fig. 2D, we found 15-glutamine that among the metabolism-related genes, CBLN1 and GLYATL1 were independent factors for CRC prognosis according to multifactorial COX regression (P < 0.05). To further assess the expression levels of the genes CBLN1 and GLYATL1, which are important for the construction of CRC prognostic features, we first predicted their expression by downloading from the TGGA database and GEO database (Fig. 9A-C). We observed that CBLN1 was expressed at a low level in normal tissues compared to CRC tissues, while GLYATL1 was highly expressed. Additionally, we performed immunohistochemical (IHC) experiments to assess the expression levels of CBLN1 and GLYATL1 in 10 collected CRC tissues and adjacent normal tissues. As shown in Fig. 9D, CBLN1 was expressed at a low level in CRC tissues compared to normal tissues, whereas GLYATL1 was highly expressed in CRC tissues, consistent with the results from the database.



Figure 4. Association of glutamine metabolism-related characteristics and clinical factors with overall survival in the TCGA dataset. Univariate Cox regression analyses (A) and multivariate Cox regression analyses (B) of glutamine metabolism-related characteristics and clinical factors with overall survival.



Figure 5. Correlation analysis of glutamine metabolism-related characteristics with clinical variables. Association of risk scores with age (A), gender (B), stage (C), T classification (D), and N classification (E) and M classification (F).

#### Discussion

CRC is one of the most common malignant tumors. It has been reported that there were over 1.9 million new cases of CRC and approximately 940,000 deaths among CRC patients worldwide in 2020, with an estimated increase to 3.2 million new cases by 2040 [27]. Despite improvements in the overall survival rate of CRC patients over the past 30 years [28], the 5-year survival rate for CRC remains unsatisfactory, particularly for patients with late-stage CRC and distant metastases [29-31]. Therefore, it is crucial to develop a new prognostic model for assessing the prognosis of CRC patients, which would guide clinicians in early intervention for those at high risk. Several previous studies have highlighted the close relationship between glutamine metabolism and the development of CRC [32-34]. For instance, PIK3CA mutations have been found to increase glutamine

dependence in CRC cells, suggesting that inhibiting glutamine metabolism could be a potential therapeutic approach for CRC [18]. However, only a limited number of genes related to glutamine metabolism have been extensively studied in CRC. This study is the first to investigate the prognostic value of glutamine metabolism-related genes in CRC, offering new insights into the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of CRC.



Figure 6. Association of glutamine metabolism-related characteristics with immune cells in the TCGA dataset. (A) Differential fractions of immune cells in low- and high-risk groups. (B) Different immune cell functions in low- and high-risk groups. (C) Relationship between immune subtype and risk score. (D) Correlation analysis between immune score and risk score.



Figure 7. Correlation analysis of glutamine metabolism-related characteristics with drug sensitivity. (A) CBLN2 was positively correlated with aloin sensitivity. (B) GLYATL1 was positively correlated with aloin sensitivity. (C) CALB1 was positively correlated with LOXO-101 sensitivity. (D) NLGN1 was positively correlated with dabrafenib sensitivity. (E) NLGN1 was positively correlated with vemurafenib sensitivity. (F) GLYATL1 was negatively correlated with vinorelbine sensitivity. (G) SYT4 was positively correlated with norvir sensitivity. (H) NLGN1 was positively correlated with encorafenib sensitivity. (I) NRG1 was negatively correlated with sensitivity. (J) VWC2 was positively correlated with norvir sensitivity. (K) NRG1 was positively correlated with dasatinib sensitivity. (L) NRG1 was positively correlated with BLU-667 sensitivity. (M) CALB1 was positively correlated with NMS-E628 sensitivity. (N) UCN was positively correlated with Nelarabine sensitivity. (O) NRG1 was positively correlated with brutinib sensitivity. (P) NRG1 was negatively correlated with ARRY-162 sensitivity.

In this study, we identified a total of 198 genes related to glutamine metabolism in colorectal cancer (CRC) from the MSigDB and TCCA databases. Subsequently, the 198 genes underwent Univariate Cox regression and lasso-multivariate Cox regression analyses, leading us to select 15 genes associated with glutamine metabolism for the development of prognostic models for CRC. Out of these 15 genes, 11 (NLGN1, RIMKLB, UCN, CALB1, SYT4, WNT3A, NRCAM, LRFN4, PHGDH, GRM1, and CBLN1) were identified as high-risk genes, while 4 genes (NRG1, GLYATL1, CBLN2, and VWC2) were classified as low-risk genes.

The majority of these 15 key genes have been reported to be closely associated with the development and prognosis of CRC or other malignant tumors. Reportedly, NLGN1 facilitates CRC development by mediating the APC/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway [35]. Most of these 15 key genes have previously been implicated in the development and prognosis of CRC and other malignant tumors. For example, NLGN1 has been reported to facilitate CRC

development by mediating the APC/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway [32]. Additionally, studies have shown that upregulation of NLGN1, RIMKLB, and CALB1 is associated with poor prognosis in CRC patients [36-38]. WNT signaling pathway is known to play a crucial role in the development of many cancers, and WNT3A, a classical WNT ligand, has been shown to activate the WNT/ $\beta$ -collagen pathway, thereby promoting CRC progression [39, 40]. NRCAM, a gene encoding a neuronal cell adhesion molecule, promotes CRC through the beta-catenin/LEF-1 pathway [41]. It has also been demonstrated that NRCAM, LRFN4, and PHGDH are upregulated in CRC tissues compared to normal samples around the tumor and serve as predictors of poor clinical outcomes in advanced CRC patients [42-44]. Tumor genome sequencing data have indicated that GRM1 is one of the pivotal driving genes for CRC stage II progression [45]. NRG1 gene fusions have been identified as oncogenic drivers in various solid tumors, including CRC, gallbladder, pancreatic, and bladder cancers [46]. Low expression of GLYATL1 can

serve as a predictor of poor prognosis in patients with clear cell renal carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma [47, 48]. Moreover, overexpression of VWC2 has been shown to inhibit the proliferation of HCT-116 and HT29 cells [49]. Although the roles of genes such as UCN, SYT4, CBLN1, CBLN2, and GLYATL1 in CRC remain unclear, our findings suggest that they can still serve as potential biomarkers for CRC prognosis.



Figure 8. The nomogram constructed to predict overall survival (OS) in the clinical setting presents good prediction ability. (A) A nomogram created by the combination of the risk score and TNM stage to predict the OS of CRC. (B–D) Calibration charts predicting 1-, 2- and 3-year survival in TCGA dataset. The horizontal axis and vertical axis represent the predicted survival probability and the actual survival probability. (E-G) ROC curve of the clinical prognostic nomogram for predicting 1-, 2-, and 3-year survival in CRC patients.



Figure 9. Expression analysis of CBLN1 and GLYATL1. (A) The expression of CBLN1 and GLYATL1 in the normal and tumor tissues based on the TCGA dataset. (B) Validation of the expression of GLYATL1 in tumor and normal tissues in GSE20916 dataset. (C) Validation of the expression of CBLN1 in tumor and normal tissues in GSE77953 dataset. (D) The immunohistochemical staining results showed significant differences of CBLN1 and GLYATL1 at the protein expression between normal and tumor tissues.

To further validate the efficacy of the glutamine metabolism-related model, we conducted internal and external validation. A total of 433 CRC samples with complete clinical information were divided into high-risk and low-risk groups based on the median risk score. In the internal validation, the ROC analysis suggested that the model had an AUC of 0.74, indicating excellent sensitivity and specificity. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses revealed that the high-risk group of CRC patients had a significantly lower survival rate compared to the low-risk group of CRC patients. External validation of the model using the GSE17536 and GSE103479 datasets similarly confirmed that the overall survival of CRC patients in the low-risk group was higher than in the high-risk group. These results demonstrate the stability of using glutamine metabolism-related genes for assessing the prognosis of CRC patients. Univariate and multivariate COX regressions showed that the risk score was an independent factor in the prognosis of CRC, further confirming the reliability of this predictive model. In terms of clinical relevance, the risk score was significantly correlated with clinical stage and TNM stage, suggesting a potentially higher risk score in patients with advanced CRC.

In recent years, there has been increasing evidence linking immune cell infiltration with the development and progression of CRC, suggesting it can serve as a prognostic marker [50, 51]. To comprehensively explore the correlation between risk scores and immune cell infiltration, we utilized the ssGSEA algorithm to compare the variability of immune cells in the high- and low-risk groups. Our findings revealed that the high-risk group had a predominant enrichment of macrophages and pDCs, while the low-risk group had a predominant enrichment of Th2 cells. It has been reported that high infiltration of macrophages exacerbates the progression of ulcerative colitis to colon cancer and is considered a poor prognostic marker [52, 53].

Similarly, extensive infiltration of pDCs predicts a poor prognosis in breast and ovarian cancer [54, 55]. Conversely, increasing Th2 cell infiltration is considered a marker of good prognosis in CRC [56]. In our study, we observed a higher frequency of T-cell co-stimulation in the high-risk group. Enhanced T-cell co-stimulation is often observed to generate a stronger immune response against tumors, which may explain its increased occurrence in the high-risk group [57]. Interestingly, we found that type I interferon responses were more likely to occur in the low-risk group. This may be attributed to the fact that type I interferon responses enhance T-cell responses, leading to anti-tumor effects [58]. Moreover, we observed a positive correlation between the risk score and the stromal score, which is consistent with previous reports indicating that a low stromal score is protective against CRC [59]. Furthermore, our analysis suggests that glutamine metabolism-related genes are closely associated with immune cell infiltration, and the poor prognosis observed in high-risk CRC patients may be the result of a disturbed tumor immune microenvironment. To correlation glutamine explore the between metabolism-related genes and antitumor drug sensitivity, we utilized the CellMiner database. We found that most glutamine metabolism-related genes demonstrated a positive correlation with drug sensitivity. For instance, higher expression levels of CBLN2 and GCYATL1 were associated with increased sensitivity to aloin drugs. Aloin has been reported to inhibit the proliferation and induce apoptosis of CRC cells, making it a potential candidate for CRC treatment [60].

In our study, the training cohort and two validation cohorts (GSE17536, GSE103479) predicted 1-year OS with AUC values of 0.733, 0.682, and 0.654, which were higher than those with other similar prognostic features (AUC=0.585) [61], suggesting that our developed prediction model performed better. As part of our study, we also developed a nomogram model that is based on age, gender, clinical stage, and risk score. The results of our study have confirmed that the nomogram exhibits good stability and reliability in predicting the 1-, 2-, and 3-year OS in CRC patients. We developed nomograms predicting 1- and 3-year OS with AUC values of 0.876,0.838, which were higher than other similar prognostic features previously developed [62-64]. Additionally, we collected tissue specimens from CRC patients, as well as adjacent normal tissue specimens, from a total of 10 surgical patients. IHC was used to verify the expression levels of the two most significant genes, CBLN1 and GLYATL1, in а glutamine metabolism-related profile. Our findings indicate that

CBLN1 expression was lower and GLYATL1 expression was higher in CRC tissues compared to normal tissues, which is consistent with the predictions made in the TCCA and GEO databases. However, it should be noted that our study is retrospective in nature and is based on a database. In order to enhance the credibility of our findings, further multi-centric and prospective studies need to be conducted. Additionally, the mechanism of action of glutamine metabolism-related genes in CRC requires further validation through *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, we have successfully identified and comprehensively analyzed a gene signature related to glutamine metabolism. This signature has shown excellent reliability and stability in predicting the prognosis of CRC patients. The findings from this study systematically highlight the role of glutamine metabolism-related genes in CRC and provide new insights for the clinical diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of CRC.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the funders for their support.

#### Funding

This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82060448 and 82360112, PI: YXC)8), the Foundation of Jiangxi provincial department of Science and Technology (Grant No. 20202BAB206051, PI: CYZ) and the project of clinical research program of the first affiliated hospital of Nanchang University (Grant No. YFYLCYJPY202301, PI: CYZ).

#### Data availability

The data that endorse the results of this research are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author (CYZ and YXC).

#### **Ethics** approval

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University.

#### **Author contributions**

CYZ and YXC designed the study. YX carried out the data analysis and plotting. JL was responsible for manuscript writing. QT and YHW carried out the immunohistochemistry experiments. ZDL carried out the language revisions. YXC and CYZ were responsible for revising the article and directing the study.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

#### References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2021; 71: 209-49.
- Global, regional, and national burden of colorectal cancer and its risk factors, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2022; 7: 627-47.
- Li N, Lu B, Luo C, Cai J, Lu M, Zhang Y, et al. Incidence, mortality, survival, risk factor and screening of colorectal cancer: A comparison among China, Europe, and northern America. Cancer Lett. 2021; 522: 255-68.
- Arnold M, Sierra MS, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global patterns and trends in colorectal cancer incidence and mortality. Gut. 2017; 66: 683-91.
- Li Q, Wu H, Cao M, Li H, He S, Yang F, et al. Colorectal cancer burden, trends and risk factors in China: A review and comparison with the United States. Chin J Cancer Res. 2022; 34: 483-95.
- Darmaun D, Matthews DE, Bier DM. Glutamine and glutamate kinetics in humans. Am J Physiol. 1986; 251: E117-26.
- Curi R, Lagranha CJ, Doi SQ, Sellitti DF, Procopio J, Pithon-Curi TC, et al. Molecular mechanisms of glutamine action. J Cell Physiol. 2005; 204: 392-401.
- Cruzat V, Macedo Rogero M, Noel Keane K, Curi R, Newsholme P. Glutamine: Metabolism and Immune Function, Supplementation and Clinical Translation. Nutrients. 2018; 10.
- Tan B, Qiu Y, Zou X, Chen T, Xie G, Cheng Y, et al. Metabonomics identifies serum metabolite markers of colorectal cancer. Journal of proteome research. 2013; 12: 3000-9.
- Zhu J, Djukovic D, Deng L, Gu H, Himmati F, Chiorean EG, et al. Colorectal cancer detection using targeted serum metabolic profiling. J Proteome Res. 2014; 13: 4120-30.
- Bertini I, Cacciatore S, Jensen BV, Schou JV, Johansen JS, Kruhøffer M, et al. Metabolomic NMR fingerprinting to identify and predict survival of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 2012; 72: 356-64.
- 12. Kim H. Glutamine as an immunonutrient. Yonsei Med J. 2011; 52: 892-7.
- Sun H, Zhang C, Zheng Y, Liu C, Wang X, Cong X. Glutamine deficiency promotes recurrence and metastasis in colorectal cancer through enhancing epithelial-mesenchymal transition. J Transl Med. 2022; 20: 330.
- Ling HH, Pan YP, Fan CW, Tseng WK, Huang JS, Wu TH, et al. Clinical Significance of Serum Glutamine Level in Patients with Colorectal Cancer. Nutrients. 2019; 11.
- Yang T, Yan X, Cao Y, Bao T, Li G, Gu S, et al. Meta-analysis of Glutamine on Immune Function and Post-Operative Complications of Patients With Colorectal Cancer. Frontiers in nutrition. 2021; 8: 765809.
- 16. Xiong K, Li G, Zhang Y, Bao T, Li P, Yang X, et al. Effects of glutamine on plasma protein and inflammation in postoperative patients with colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. International journal of colorectal disease. 2023; 38: 212.
- Liu HY, Zhang HS, Liu MY, Li HM, Wang XY, Wang M. GLS1 depletion inhibited colorectal cancer proliferation and migration via redox/Nrf2/autophagy-dependent pathway. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2021; 708: 108964.
- Hao Y, Samuels Y, Li Q, Krokowski D, Guan BJ, Wang C, et al. Oncogenic PIK3CA mutations reprogram glutamine metabolism in colorectal cancer. Nature communications. 2016; 7: 11971.
- Shen Q, Wang R, Liu X, Song P, Zheng M, Ren X, et al. HSF1 Stimulates Glutamine Transport by Super-Enhancer-Driven IncRNA LINC00857 in Colorectal Cancer. Cancers (Basel). 2022; 14.
- Chen HY, Yu SL, Chen CH, Chang GC, Chen CY, Yuan A, et al. A five-gene signature and clinical outcome in non-small-cell lung cancer. The New England journal of medicine. 2007; 356: 11-20.
- Lorent M, Giral M, Foucher Y. Net time-dependent ROC curves: a solution for evaluating the accuracy of a marker to predict disease-related mortality. Statistics in medicine. 2014; 33: 2379-89.
- Blanche P, Dartigues JF, Jacqmin-Gadda H. Estimating and comparing time-dependent areas under receiver operating characteristic curves for censored event times with competing risks. Statistics in medicine. 2013; 32: 5381-97.
- Wells BJ, Lenoir KM, Diaz-Garelli JF, Futrell W, Lockerman E, Pantalone KM, et al. Predicting Current Glycated Hemoglobin Values in Adults: Development of an Algorithm From the Electronic Health Record. JMIR medical informatics. 2018; 6: e10780.
- Hänzelmann S, Castelo R, Guinney J. GSVA: gene set variation analysis for microarray and RNA-seq data. BMC bioinformatics. 2013; 14: 7.
   Yu W, Ren X, Hu C, Tan Y, Shui Y, Chen Z, et al. Glioma SOX2 expression
- Yu W, Ren X, Hu C, Tan Y, Shui Y, Chen Z, et al. Glioma SOX2 expression decreased after adjuvant therapy. BMC Cancer. 2019; 19: 1087.
- 26. Chan BKC. Data Analysis Using R Programming. Advances in experimental medicine and biology. 2018; 1082: 47-122.

- 27. Xi Y, Xu P. Global colorectal cancer burden in 2020 and projections to 2040. Transl Oncol. 2021; 14: 101174.
- Jiang Y, Yuan H, Li Z, Ji X, Shen Q, Tuo J, et al. Global pattern and trends of colorectal cancer survival: a systematic review of population-based registration data. Cancer Biol Med. 2021; 19: 175-86.
- Nassar FJ, Msheik ZS, Itani MM, Helou RE, Hadla R, Kreidieh F, et al. Circulating miRNA as Biomarkers for Colorectal Cancer Diagnosis and Liver Metastasis. Diagnostics (Basel). 2021; 11.
- Su YM, Liu W, Yan XL, Wang LJ, Liu M, Wang HW, et al. Five-year survival post hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastases in a real-world Chinese cohort: Recurrence patterns and prediction for potential cure. Cancer Med. 2023; 12: 9559-69.
- Mangone L, Marinelli F, Bisceglia I, Braghiroli MB, Damato A, Pinto C. Five-year relative survival by stage of breast and colon cancers in northern Italy. Front Oncol. 2022; 12: 982461.
- Chen P, Liu XQ, Lin X, Gao LY, Zhang S, Huang X. Targeting YTHDF1 effectively re-sensitizes cisplatin-resistant colon cancer cells by modulating GLS-mediated glutamine metabolism. Mol Ther Oncolytics. 2021; 20: 228-39.
- Frodyma DE, Troia TC, Rao C, Svoboda RA, Berg JA, Shinde DD, et al. PGC-1β and ERRα Promote Glutamine Metabolism and Colorectal Cancer Survival via Transcriptional Upregulation of PCK2. Cancers (Basel). 2022; 14.
- Cohen AS, Grudzinski J, Smith GT, Peterson TE, Whisenant JG, Hickman TL, et al. First-in-Human PET Imaging and Estimated Radiation Dosimetry of I-[5-(11)C]-Glutamine in Patients with Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. J Nucl Med. 2022; 63: 36-43.
- Pergolizzi M, Bizzozero L, Maione F, Maldi E, Isella C, Macagno M, et al. The neuronal protein Neuroligin 1 promotes colorectal cancer progression by modulating the APC/β-catenin pathway. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2022; 41: 266.
   Yu O, Wang X, Yang Y, Chi P, Huang I, Oiu S, et al. Uprevulated NLGN1
- Yu Q, Wang X, Yang Y, Chi P, Huang J, Qiu S, et al. Upregulated NLGN1 predicts poor survival in colorectal cancer. BMC Cancer. 2021; 21: 884.
- Cao Y, Deng S, Yan L, Gu J, Mao F, Xue Y, et al. The Prognostic Significance of RIMKLB and Related Immune Infiltrates in Colorectal Cancers. Front Genet. 2022; 13: 818994.
- Yang W, Lu S, Peng L, Zhang Z, Zhang Y, Guo D, et al. Integrated analysis of necroptosis-related genes for evaluating immune infiltration and colon cancer prognosis. Front Immunol. 2022; 13: 1085038.
- Tufail M, Wu C. Wnt3a is a promising target in colorectal cancer. Med Oncol. 2023; 40: 86.
- Qi L, Sun B, Liu Z, Cheng R, Li Y, Zhao X. Wnt3a expression is associated with epithelial-mesenchymal transition and promotes colon cancer progression. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2014; 33: 107.
- Conacci-Sorrell ME, Ben-Yedidia T, Shtutman M, Feinstein E, Einat P, Ben-Ze'ev A. Nr-CAM is a target gene of the beta-catenin/LEF-1 pathway in melanoma and colon cancer and its expression enhances motility and confers tumorigenesis. Genes Dev. 2002; 16: 2058-72.
- Chan JY, Ong CW, Salto-Tellez M. Overexpression of neurone glial-related cell adhesion molecule is an independent predictor of poor prognosis in advanced colorectal cancer. Cancer Sci. 2011; 102: 1855-61.
- Zheng F, Zhai XL, Wang WJ, Guo KP, Xiao CC, Ni QC. [Expression and clinical significance of LRFN4 in colorectal cancer tissue]. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2020; 100: 1745-9.
- Jia XQ, Zhang S, Zhu HJ, Wang W, Zhu JH, Wang XD, et al. Increased Expression of PHGDH and Prognostic Significance in Colorectal Cancer. Transl Oncol. 2016; 9: 191-6.
- Palaniappan A, Ramar K, Ramalingam S. Computational Identification of Novel Stage-Specific Biomarkers in Colorectal Cancer Progression. PLoS One. 2016; 11: e0156665.
- Jonna S, Feldman RA, Swensen J, Gatalica Z, Korn WM, Borghaei H, et al. Detection of NRG1 Gene Fusions in Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 2019; 25: 4966-72.
- Deng L, Jiang H. Decreased Expression of GLYATL1 Predicts Poor Prognosis in Patients with Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. Int J Gen Med. 2023; 16: 3757-68.
- Guan R, Hong W, Huang J, Peng T, Zhao Z, Lin Y, et al. The expression and prognostic value of GLYATL1 and its potential role in hepatocellular carcinoma. Journal of gastrointestinal oncology. 2020; 11: 1305-21.
- Cheng XH, Xu TT, Zhou LB, Li FY, Wang S, Liang HR, et al. SUMO1-modified DNA methyltransferase 1 induces DNA hypermethylation of VWC2 in the development of colorectal cancer. Neoplasma. 2022; 69: 1373-85.
- Ye L, Zhang T, Kang Z, Guo G, Sun Y, Lin K, et al. Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cells Act as a Marker for Prognosis in Colorectal Cancer. Front Immunol. 2019; 10: 2368.
- Picard E, Verschoor CP, Ma GW, Pawelec G. Relationships Between Immune Landscapes, Genetic Subtypes and Responses to Immunotherapy in Colorectal Cancer. Front Immunol. 2020; 11: 369.
- Zhang M, Li X, Zhang Q, Yang J, Liu G. Roles of macrophages on ulcerative colitis and colitis-associated colorectal cancer. Front Immunol. 2023; 14: 1103617.
- Wang H, Tian T, Zhang J. Tumor-Associated Macrophages (TAMs) in Colorectal Cancer (CRC): From Mechanism to Therapy and Prognosis. Int J Mol Sci. 2021; 22.
- Labidi-Galy SI, Sisirak V, Meeus P, Gobert M, Treilleux I, Bajard A, et al. Quantitative and functional alterations of plasmacytoid dendritic cells contribute to immune tolerance in ovarian cancer. Cancer Res. 2011; 71: 5423-34.

- Treilleux I, Blay JY, Bendriss-Vermare N, Ray-Coquard I, Bachelot T, Guastalla JP, et al. Dendritic cell infiltration and prognosis of early stage breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2004; 10: 7466-74.
- Ray AL, Nofchissey RA, Khan MA, Reidy MA, Lerner MR, Wu X, et al. The role of sex in the innate and adaptive immune environment of metastatic colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer. 2020; 123: 624-32.
- Kumari A, Cacan E, Greer SF, Garnett-Benson C. Turning T cells on: epigenetically enhanced expression of effector T-cell costimulatory molecules on irradiated human tumor cells. J Immunother Cancer. 2013; 1: 17.
- Snell LM, McGaha TL, Brooks DG. Type I Interferon in Chronic Virus Infection and Cancer. Trends Immunol. 2017; 38: 542-57.
- Jia J, Dai Y, Zhang Q, Tang P, Fu Q, Xiong G. Stromal Score-Based Gene Signature: A Prognostic Prediction Model for Colon Cancer. Front Genet. 2021; 12: 655855.
- Pan Q, Pan H, Lou H, Xu Y, Tian L. Inhibition of the angiogenesis and growth of Aloin in human colorectal cancer *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Cancer Cell Int. 2013; 13: 69.
- Qiu C, Shi W, Wu H, Zou S, Li J, Wang D, et al. Identification of Molecular Subtypes and a Prognostic Signature Based on Inflammation-Related Genes in Colon Adenocarcinoma. Front Immunol. 2021; 12: 769685.
- Liu C, Liu D, Wang F, Xie J, Liu Y, Wang H, et al. Identification of a glycolysisand lactate-related gene signature for predicting prognosis, immune microenvironment, and drug candidates in colon adenocarcinoma. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2022; 10: 971992.
- Liu C, Liu D, Wang F, Xie J, Liu Y, Wang H, et al. The Interferon Gamma-Related Long Noncoding RNA Signature Predicts Prognosis and Indicates Immune Microenvironment Infiltration in Colon Adenocarcinoma. Front Oncol. 2022; 12: 876660.
- Liu C, Liu D, Wang F, Liu Y, Xie J, Xie J, et al. Construction of a novel choline metabolism-related signature to predict prognosis, immune landscape, and chemotherapy response in colon adenocarcinoma. Front Immunol. 2022; 13: 1038927.