

Research Paper



2020; 11(9): 2453-2464. doi: 10.7150/jca.38747

Integrative Analysis of Siglec-15 mRNA in Human Cancers Based on Data Mining

Qiu-ting Li¹, Zao-zao Huang², Yao-bin Chen³, Hong-yi Yao⁴, Zun-hui Ke⁵, Xiao- xiao He¹, Meng-jun Qiu¹, Meng-meng Wang¹, Zhi-fan Xiong¹, Sheng-li Yang⁶

- 1. Division of Gastroenterology, Liyuan Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430077, China.
- 2. Yangchunhu community Hospital, Liyuan Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430077, China.
- 3. Institute of Pathology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430030, China.
- 4. Department of Rehabilitation, Liyuan Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430077, China.
- 5. Wuhan Children's Hospital (Wuhan Maternal and Child Healthcare Hospital), Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science & Technology, Wuhan 430015, China.
- 6. Cancer Center, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 1277 JieFang Avenue, Wuhan 430022, China.

⊠ Corresponding authors: Dr. Sheng-li Yang, Cancer Center, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 1277 JieFang Avenue, Wuhan 430022, China. E-mail: yangshengli@hust.edu.cn; Tel: 15994284798; Fax: 027-65650733 and to Prof. Zhi-fan Xiong, Division of Gastroenterology, Liyuan Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430077, China. E-mail: xiongzhifan@126.com

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2019.07.25; Accepted: 2020.01.19; Published: 2020.02.10

Abstract

Objective: Cancer is expected to be the leading cause of death worldwide within the 21st century and is the single most important obstacle to extending life expectancy. Unfortunately, the most effective approach to combating cancers remains a complex and unsolved problem. Siglec-15 is a member of the Siglec family and plays a conserved regulatory role in the immune system of vertebrates. Previous studies on Siglec-15 have focused on its function in osteoclast regulation. The purpose of this study was to explore the significance of Siglec-15 mRNA in human cancer mainly based on information obtained from online databases.

Method: Data were collected from several online databases. Serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) and Virtual Northern, UALCAN Database Analysis, Catalog of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) analysis, the cBio cancer genomics portal, Cancer Regulome tools and data, Kaplan-Meier Plotter Analysis and the UCSC Xena website were used to analyze the data.

Results: Compared with normal tissues, Siglec-15 up-regulation was widely observed in tuomrs. Differences in Siglec-15 expression were associated with different prognoses. Siglec-15 mutations are widely observed in tumors and interact with different genes in different cancer types.

Conclusion: Siglec-15 is a potential target for the expansion of cancer immunotherapy.

Key words: Siglec-15, cancer, immunotherapy, biomarker

Introduction

With the development of medical science, novel methods for the diagnosis and treatment of many diseases are being discovered and bring greater opportunities and increased hope to patients worldwide. However, the most effective approach to combating cancer remains a complex and unsolved problem for not only doctors but also scientists. According to the Global Cancer Statistics 2018 report, there were an estimated 18.1 million new cancer cases (17.0 million excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer) and 9.6 million cancer deaths (9.5 million excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer) in 2018 [1]. The report also stated that cancer is expected to be the leading cause of death worldwide within the 21st century and is the single most important obstacle to extending life expectancy [1]. Traditional treatments for cancer include surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Immunotherapy and targeted therapies have also

been applied gradually in the clinic, but limitations related to cancer diagnosis and drug resistance remain. To solve these problems, potential biomarkers are needed for the development of novel diagnostic and treatment approaches.

The sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectin (Siglec) family is the known largest group of vertebrate lectins that can recognize sialylated glycans. Originally, Siglec family members were found on immune cells and determined to be coupling glycan recognition involved in to immunological regulation [2]. Siglec-15 is a member of the Siglec family and is considered to play a conserved, regulatory role in the immune system of vertebrates [2]. The extracellular domain of Siglec-15 consists of an immunoglobulin variable region and a type 2 constant region (IgC2), which has more than 30% homology with the B7 gene family. These results indicate that Siglec-15 may have similar immunomodulatory functions with the B7 family [3], which includes the renowned immunological therapy biomarker PD-L1. Siglec-15 has been reported to activate the AKT pathway through DAP12 [4]. The activation of AKT could promote tumor cell proliferation [5-7]. Previous studies on Siglec-15 have focused on its function in osteoclast regulation [4, 8, 9]. However, some recent studies have demonstrated that Siglec-15 is highly expressed in some cancers and might play important roles in tumor immunity [10], [11].

Few studies have focused on the role of Siglec-15 in tumors, and thus, its expression in tumors remains unclear. To elucidate the role of Siglec-15 in tumors, in this study, database tools were used to obtain information from well-known online databases, such as TCGA, GEO and EGA, to examine Siglec-15 mRNA expression in different types of solid tumors.

Material & Methods

Serial Analysis of Gene Expression (SAGE) and Virtual Northern

SAGE is a useful technique developed for the genome-wide analysis of gene expression. It utilizes efficient computational tools to acquire and analyze tag sequences from raw sequence files to compare tag different libraries [12]. abundances between Monochromatic SAGE/cDNA Virtual Northern displays the expression of genes in all human organs. In this study, both SAGE and Monochromatic SAGE/cDNA Virtual Northern tools from the Cancer Genome Anatomy Project (https://cgap.nci.nih.gov/ Genes) were used. The SAGE results revealed the expression of Siglec-15 in cancer and normal tissues, and the Virtual Northern results displayed the levels of Siglec-15 in human tissues.

UALCAN Database

UALCAN (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu) is a comprehensive, user-friendly, and interactive web resource for analyzing cancer OMICS data. The database uses 31 types of cancer RNA-seq and clinical information from TCGA to complete in-depth analyses of TCGA gene expression data, including analysis of the relative expression of a query gene across tumor and normal samples [13]. In this study, the UALCAN database was used to obtain data from the TCGA database and compare the expression of Siglec-15 mRNA in tumors and normal tissues.

Catalog of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC)

COSMIC (https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/) is the world's largest and most comprehensive resource for exploring the impact of somatic mutations in human cancer. It has a collection of data on millions of coding mutations, noncoding mutations, genomic rearrangements, fusion genes, copy number abnormalities and gene expression variants in the human genome all in one database so that researchers can explore these data more easily[14]. In this study, COSMIC was used to investigate the mutations of Siglec-15 in human cancers, and the results are depicted in pie charts.

Mutation Rate and Distribution of Different Exons

The cBio cancer genomics portal (http://cbioportal.org) is an open-access resource that simplifies the molecular analysis of cancer tissues and cell lines into easy-to-understand genetic, epigenetic, gene expression, and proteomic events[15, 16]. We used cBioPortal to analyze Siglec-15 in TCGA pan-cancer data to identify coexpressed genes.

Integrative Data Visualization

The Cancer Regulome tools and data (http:// explorer.cancerregulome.org/) from the TCGA database were used to create circus plots to display the expression of Siglec-15 and its correlation with other genes in tumors. Spearman correlation was used to show the pairwise correlation between two genes. Only genes with P values > – log10 are shown in the circus plots.

Kaplan-Meier Plotter

The Kaplan-Meier Plotter (http://kmplot.com/ analysis/) is an online tool that is typically used to draw survival curves. The system includes gene chip and RNA-seq data, and sources for the databases include GEO, EGA, and TCGA [17]. In this study, the mRNA expression levels of Siglec-15 in each cancer were stratified into high and low groups by the web, and then survival curves were drawn. Hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals and log-rank P values were also calculated.

Genomic Data and Views

The UCSC Xena website (http:// xena.ucsc.edu/) offers tools for the visualization and exploration of TCGA genomic data [18]. According to the coexpression results from Oncomine and an interesting study [11], we performed a search on Siglec-15, SMAD7, ATP5A1 and CD274 (PD-L1) using the Genes viewing mode.

Results

Siglec-15 mRNA in Normal and Tumor Tissues

Normally, Siglec-15 has low expression in nonimmune organs. As shown in the SAGE results in Figure 1a, a higher expression of Siglec-15 was observed in pancreatic cancer, cartilage tumors and normal colon tissues. In the Virtual Northern results, compared with normal tissues, Siglec-15 was upregulated in bone, breast and pancreatic cancer tissues (Figure 1b).

Upregulation of Siglec-15 mRNA in Cancer

To examine Siglec-15 mRNA levels across different cancer types, data from the UALCAN database were used. We chose TCGA data as the data source and compared the Siglec-15 mRNA levels in cancer tissues with those in normal tissues. As shown in Figure 2, the expression of Siglec-15 mRNA in most cancers was obviously different from that in normal tissues. Higher Siglec-15 mRNA levels were found in kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma (KIRP), colon adenocarcinoma (COAD), esophageal carcinoma (ESCA), pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PAAD), bladder urothelial carcinoma (BLCA), pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma (PCPG), kidney chromophobe (KICH), liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC), lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC), rectum adenocarcinoma (READ), thyroid carcinoma (THCA), uterine corpus carcinoma (UCEC) endometrial and cervical squamous cell carcinoma (CESC), while downregulation could be observed in thymoma (THYM), lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC), prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD) and breast invasive (BRCA). However, carcinoma no significant differences were observed in glioblastoma multiforme cholangiocarcinoma (GBM), (CHOL), stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD) and kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC).

Siglec-15 Mutations in Cancer

COSMIC provided information on Siglec-15 mutations in different cancers, which included substitution missense, nonsense and synonymous mutations, and the results are depicted in pie charts. Nonsense substitutions were found in biliary tract cancer (33.33%), breast cancer (25%) and lung cancer (16.67%), while substitution missense mutations were observed in biliary tract cancer (33.33%), breast cancer (75%), central nervous system cancer (33.33%), (100%), hematopoietic and lymphoid cancer endometrial cancer (100%), large intestine cancer (68.42%), liver cancer (25%), lung cancer (83.33%), esophageal cancer (75%), prostate cancer (100%), skin cancer (100%), stomach cancer (75%), thyroid cancer (100%) and upper aerodigestive tract cancer (60%). Additionally, synonymous substitution mutations appeared in biliary tract cancer (33.33%), central nervous system cancer (66.67%), large intestine cancer (36.84%), liver cancer (75%), esophageal cancer (25%), parathyroid cancer (100%), stomach cancer (25%) and upper aerodigestive tract cancer (40%). C>T and G>A mutations were most common in the Siglec-15 coding strand, both of which were observed in eleven cancer types. A>T and T>A mutations in Siglec-15 were not found in the TCGA cancer samples. Other types of base mutations occurred sporadically in different cancers (Figure 3a). With the help of cBioPortal, we found that a total of 22 mutation sites were detected and located between amino acids 0 and 328 (Figure 3b). Figure 3c shows the mutation results from cBioPortal, which shows the Siglec-15 mutation level in the TCGA cancer database. Pancreatic cancer, lung cancer, stomach cancer, uterine cancer, melanoma cancer, colorectal cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, liver cancer and glioblastoma multiforme all had high mutation levels.

Genome-wide Association of Siglec-15 mRNA in Cancer

Using the Regulome Explorer, we further analyzed the relevant human genome location and the correlation between certain genes and Siglec-15 in human cancer. Based on the association among genes, DNA methylation, somatic copy number, somatic mutation and protein level, circus plots were drawn to display the interrelation between Siglec-15 and other genes. According to the data from TCGA, Siglec-15 was associated with other genes that could be detected in colorectal cancer, bladder urothelial carcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, esophageal carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, thyroid carcinoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma, prostate adenocarcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma (Figure 4). Detailed data can be found in Supplementary Tables 1 to 9.

Siglec-15 and the Survival Rate of Cancers

According to the Kaplan-Meier analysis results, higher levels of Siglec-15 mRNA indicated worse

a SAGE Anatomic Viewer Results



b Virtual Northern for siglec15 in different tissues

-	EST Data		SAGE Data		EST Data		SAGE Data	
Tissue	Normal	Cancer	Normal	Cancer	Normal	Cancer	Normal	Cancer
ALL TISSUES					15/	13 /	5/	79 /
					3316537	2498449	114162531	109592465
adrenal cortex						0 / 9928		
adrenal medulla						0 / 247		
bone		0		-	0 / 16077	3 / 57865		5 / 83577
bone marrow	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	0 / 14774	0 / 29891	0 / 5047110	0 / 4978364
brain					2 / 506301	3 / 200215	0 / 729526	11 / 4997811
cartilage	<u> </u>			-	0 / 15965	0 / 37226		55 / 778035
cerebellum					0 / 90353	0/0	0 / 90885	0 / 1495938
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		0 /	-/-	-,	-,
cerebrum					198932	0 / 3422		
cervix					0 / 3888	0 / 44577		
colon			-		0 / 26551	0/	2 / 98089	0 / 643586
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		0.446420	15116/		
ear					0/16130			
embryonic tissue					246043	0 / 38		
endocrine	<u> </u>				0 / 19564	0 / 2857		
esophagus					0 / 270	0 / 17290		
0110	i – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –			i	0/	0 / 46440	1 / 95909	0/
-7-5	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	128826	57 46440	1 03898	12434232
gastrointestinal tract	-				2 / 28803	0 / 12721	0 / 45908	~
heart	 		<u> </u>		0 / 76442	0/1	0 / 83063	
licure			<u> </u>		0 /	0/1	0,05005	
kidney					106627	0 / 89898	0 / 155372	1 / 100281
limb								
liver	_				1 / 96846	0/	0 / 66308	0 / 214987
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		- /	107249		
lung					0 / 128150	0 / 170663	0 / 159917	0 / 159059
lymph node		•		1	0 / 62650	1 / 27007	0 / 5278419	0 / 4863489
lymphoreticular					0 / 17836	0 / 44303		
	<u> </u>		i	i —		2/	0 /	4/
mammary gland		-		_	0 / 46553	104307	43166038	30312656
muscle					0 / 74039	0 / 27691	0 / 107836	0 / 61270
nervous					0 / 10333	0/8		
ovary					0 / 11462	0 / 89988	0 / 94887	0 / 179472
pancreas				-	0 / 7237	1 / 74930	0 / 85728	3 / 189999
pancreatic islet					0 / 96524	0 / 30072		
parathyroid					0 / 24	0 / 20557		
peripheral nervous					0 / 14891	0 / 645		
system								
pineal gland					0 / 6170			
pituitary gland					0/13260	0 / 1358		
placenta					0 / 241088	0 / 39531	0 / 207348	
				i —	0/			
pooled tissue					276455	070		
prostate					0 / 79993	0 /	1 / 266949	0 / 491794
retina					0 / 29438		0 / 820326	0 / 88490
salivary gland			L		0 / 2490	0 / 10368		
Salivory glaria			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	57 1490	3/	0 /	0/
skin		-			0 / 73633	125377	26905847	34522313
soft tissue						0/3		
spleen	-				2 / 52939	0/5		
stem cell							1 / 6230144	
stomach					0 / 26641	0 / 65644	0 / 124767	0 / 448716
synovium					0 / 249	0 / 1650		
testis					0/	0/		0/
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	143630	100058		12372003
thymus	<u> </u>				0 / 4478	0 / 165		
thyroid					0 / 11737	0 / 32606	0 / 115938	0 / 124664
uncharacterized	-				7/	0/	0 / 21833	0 / 51729
ussue	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		207932	4/9819	0.4	
uterus					0 / 38063	133582	10193077	
vascular	-				1/46250		0/	
			<u> </u>		- / +0250		13135050	
white blood cells			<u> </u>				0 / 846268	
whole body								

Figure 1. The SAGE Anatomic Viewer. a. Expression profile for Siglec-15 in human cancers displayed by the SAGE Digital Gene Expression Displayer (DGED). b Monochromatic SAGE/cDNA Virtual Northern results for Siglec-15 in different tissues. carcinoma (P=0.0073). The expression of Siglec-15 mRNA level have no significant influence in kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma (P=0.069), liver hepatocellular carcinoma (P=0.11), ovarian cancer (P=0.29), cervical squamous cell carcinoma (P=0.11), lung adenocarcinoma (P=0.3), rectum adenocarcinoma (P=0.27), stomach adenocarcinoma

(P=0.15), testicular germ cell tumor (P=0.061), lung squamous cell carcinoma (P=0.32), esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (P=0.11), pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma (P=0.063), esophageal adenocarcinoma (P=0.1) and thymoma (P=0.16) (Figure 5).



Figure 2. Siglec-15 mRNA was evaluated in human cancers by UALCAN database analysis.

a Colour Mutation type Number of Discussion	Colour Mutation type Number of Description	Colour Mutation type Number of	Colour Mutation type Number of Haamatopointic and hypobold
Nonsense substitution 1 (33.33%) Missense substitution 1 (33.33%)	Nonsense substitution 1 (25.00%) Misense substitution 3 (75.00%)	Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Missense substitution 1 (33,33%)	Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Missense substitution 1 (100.00%)
Synonymous substitution 1 (33.33%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%)	Synonymous substitution 0 (0.00%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%)	Synonymous substitution 2 (66.67%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%)	Synonymous substitution 0 (0.00%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%)
Frameshift insertion 0 (0.00%) Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Ecomprilie (deletion 0 (0.00%)	Frameshift insertion 0 (0.00%) Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)	Frameshift insertion 0 (0.00%) Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%)	Frameshift Insertion 0 (0.00%) Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)
Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)
Colour Mutation Number of type samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of type samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of type samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of type samples (%)
A>C 0 (0.00%) A>G 0 (0.00%) Biliary tract	A>C 0 (0.00%) A>G 0 (0.00%) Breast	A>C 0 (0.00%) A>G 0 (0.00%) Central nervous system	A>C 0 (0.00%) A>G 0 (0.00%) Haematopoietic and lymphoid
A>T 0 (0.00%) C>A 0 (0.00%)	A>T 0 (0.00%) C>A 0 (0.00%)	A>T 0 (0.00%) C>A 0 (0.00%)	A>T 0 (0.00%) C>A 0 (0.00%)
$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{C} \ge \underline{C} & 1 & (33.33\%) \\ \underline{C} \ge \underline{C} & 1 & (33.33\%) \\ \underline{C} > \underline{A} & 0 & (0.00\%) \end{array}$	$C \ge G$ 1 (25.00%) $G \ge A$ 0 (0.00%)	C>G 0 (0.00%) G>A 1 (33.33%)	C>G 0 (0.00%) G>A 1 (100.00%)
G>C 0 (0.00%) G>T 0 (0.00%)	G>C 0 (0.00%) G≥T 1 (25.00%)	G>C 0 (0.00%) G>T 0 (0.00%)	G>C 0 (0.00%) G>T 0 (0.00%)
T>A 0 (0.00%) $\underline{T} \ge \underline{C}$ 1 (33.33%) T>G 0 (0.00%)	T>A 0 (0.00%) T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 0 (0.00%)	T>A 0 (0.00%) T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 0 (0.00%)	T>A 0 (0.00%) T>C 0 (0.00%)
Colour Mutation type Number of samples (%) Endomotrium	Colour Mutation type Number of samples (%) Largo intesti	Colour Mutation type Number of sampler (%)	Colour Mutation type Number of
Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Missense substitution 1 (100.00%)	Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Missense substitution 13 (68.42%)	Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Missense substitution 2 (25.00%)	Nonsense substitution 1 (16.67%) Missense substitution 5 (83.33%)
Synonymous substitution 0 (0.00%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift insertion 0 (0.00%)	Synonymous substitution 7 (36.84%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%)	Synenymous substitution 6 (75.00%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%)	Synonymous substitution 0 (0.00%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%)
Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshit deletion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)
Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Cother 0 (0.00%)
Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)
A>G 0 (0.00%) Endometrium	A>G 0 (0.00%) Large intest	Liver	A>G 0 (0.00%) Lung A>T 0 (0.00%)
C>A 0 (0.00%) ⊆≥T 1 (100.00%)	C≥A 3 (15.79%) C≥T 6 (31.58%)	C>A 0 (0.00%) C>T 2 (25.00%)	C>A 2 (40.00%) C>T 1 (20.00%)
C>G 0 (0.00%) G>A 0 (0.00%)	C>G 0 (0.00%) G≥A 7 (36.84%)	$\begin{array}{c} C > G & 1 (12.50\%) \\ G > A & 1 (12.50\%) \\ G > C & 0 (0.00\%) \end{array}$	C > G 0 (0.00%) $G \ge A$ 2 (40.00%)
G>T 0 (0.00%) T>A 0 (0.00%)	G>T 0 (0.00%) T>A 0 (0.00%)	G>T 3 (37,50%) T>A 0 (0.00%)	G>T 0 (0.00%) T>A 0 (0.00%)
T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 0 (0.00%)	T≥C 3 (15.79%) T>G 0 (0.00%)	T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 0 (0.00%)	T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 0 (0.00%)
Colour Mutation type Number of samples (%)	Colour Mutation type Number of samples (%)	Colour Mutation type Number of samples (%) Proctate	Colour Mutation type Number of samples (%)
Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Oesophagus Missense substitution 3 (75.00%)	Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Parathyro Missense substitution 0 (0.00%)	MG Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Prostate	Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) OKIT
Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift insertion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift insertion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift insertion 0 (0.00%)	Synonymous subsection 0 (0.00%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift insertion 0 (0.00%)
Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)
Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Cother 0 (0.00%)
Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)
A>G 0 (0.00%) Oesophagus	A>G 0 (0.00%) Parathyro	id A>G 0 (0.00%) Prostate	A>G 0 (0.00%) Skin
C>A 0 (0.00%) ⊆≥I 3 (75.00%)	C>A 0 (0.00%) C≥I 1 (100.00%)	C>A 0 (0.00%) C>T 0 (0.00%)	C>A 0 (0.00%) C≥T 4 (57.14%)
C > G 0 (0.00%) G > A 1 (25.00%) G > C 0 (0.00%)	C>G 0 (0.00%) G>A 0 (0.00%)	C > G 0 (0.00%) G > A 1 (50.00%) C > C 0 (0.00%)	C>G 0 (0.00%) G>A 2 (28.57%) G>C 1 (14.20%)
G>T 0 (0.00%) T>A 0 (0.00%)	G>T 0 (0.00%) T>A 0 (0.00%)	G>T 0 (0.00%) T>A 0 (0.00%)	G>T 0 (0.00%) T>A 0 (0.00%)
T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 0 (0.00%)	T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 0 (0.00%)	T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 1 (50.00%)	T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 0 (0.00%)
Colour Mutation type Number of samples (%) Stomach	Colour Mutation type Number of samples (%) Thyroid	Colour Mutation type Number of samples (%) Upper aerodigestive tract	
Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Missense substitution 3 (75.00%)	Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Missense substitution 3 (100.00%)	Nonsense substitution 0 (0.00%) Missense substitution 3 (60.00%)	
Synenymous substitution 1 (25.00%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%) Fremeshift insertion 0 (0.00%)	Synonymous substitution 0 (0.00%) Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift insertion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe insertion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift insertion 0 (0.00%)	
Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)	Inframe deletion 0 (0.00%) Frameshift deletion 0 (0.00%)	
Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	Complex mutation 0 (0.00%) Other 0 (0.00%)	
Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)	Colour Mutation Number of samples (%)	
A>G 0 (0.00%) Stomach	A>G 0 (0.00%) Thyroid	A>G 0 (0.00%) Upper aerodigestive tract	
C>A 0 (0.00%) C≥T 1 (25.00%)	C>A 0 (0.00%) C>T 0 (0.00%)	C>A 1 (20.00%) C>T 0 (0.00%)	
C>G 0 (0.00%) G≥A 3 (75.00%)	C>G 0 (0.00%) G≥A 2 (66.67%)	C>G 0 (0.00%) G>A 1 (20.00%)	
G>T 0 (0.00%) T>A 0 (0.00%)	G>T 1 (33,33%) T>A 0 (0.00%)	G≥C 1 (20.00%) G≥T 2 (40.00%)	
T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 0 (0.00%)	T>C 0 (0.00%) T>G 0 (0.00%)	T>A 0 (0.00%) T>C 0 (0.00%)	
b va			
²			
- 15 Mu		Q306H/*	
• • • •		• •• • • • • • •	
90	Veet		22 Missense 2 Truncating
	100	200	1 Inframe 1 Other
c	100	200 32088	
5%-			
4%-			
3%-			
ation F			
₽₽ 2701		• M	lutation Amplification Deep Deletion
1%-			- book polonom
		- Co C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
	CONTON CONTON CONTON CONTON CONTON	A TO CHANGE AND CARE	
a les les les les les les	A Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl	\$ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
	State of the second sec	B) BC S	
	** OPTCO		
	3	2	





Figure 4. The correlation between Siglec-15 and other genes from the TCGA database (Regulome program).



Figure 5. Different expression levels of Siglec-15 mRNA will result in different overall survival rates in cancers (Kaplan-Meier analysis).

Correlations among Siglec-15, SMAD7, ATP5A1, and CD274 (PD-L1)

Based on the results of the Oncomine coexpression analysis and a newly published study

[11], we chose SMAD family member 7 (SMAD7), ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F1 complex, α subunit 1, cardiac muscle (ATP5A1), and CD274 (PD-L1) in this study. According to the image

created by UCSC Xena, in the TCGA tumor samples, the DNA copy numbers of Siglec-15, SMAD7 and ATP5A1 showed a similar trend, while Siglec-15 and CD274 (PD-L1) showed the opposite trend.

Discussion

The Siglec family is a group of lectins with a structure containing an N-terminal V-set Ig-like domain and a sialic acid-binding domain, followed by variable numbers of C2-set Ig-like domains. Eleven family members were found to bind sialic acid on glycoconjugates [19]. Although their structures are similar, their binding specificity differs, and they bind specific sialoglycoconjugates [20]. Most Siglec family members are observed on only hematopoietic cells, as they have very low expression in other tissues, but a recent study found that their expression level changed in some tumor cells [21-23]. Several lines of evidence have shown that interactions with sialic acid-binding receptors can influence cancer progression; for example, hypersialylation can induce changes in the physical properties of tumor cells and potentiate the evasion of apoptosis in cancer cells [24, 25]. As the Siglec family has the ability to bind specific sialoglycoconjugates, it was regarded as a potential targeted therapy biomarker [26].

Siglec-15 is a Siglec gene family member with the ability to bind the sialyl-Tn antigen, and it has been used as a targeted therapy biomarker for osteoporosis [4, 9, 27, 28]. Siglec-15 is an I-type transmembrane protein that includes two immunoglobulin-like domains, a transmembrane domain containing lysine residues and a short cytoplasmic tail. It associates with the activating adaptor proteins DNAX activation protein (DAP)12 and DAP10 via its lysine residue in the transmembrane domain, implying that it functions as an activating signaling molecule. Moreover it is the second human Siglec identified to have an activating signaling potential [2]. As Figure 1 shows, Siglec-15 mRNA has very low expression in normal human tissues, including most immune cell types; however, it could be detected in macrophages, bone tumors and pancreatic cancer. A study published in Nature proved that Siglec-15 expression was increased in many human cancers; we also obtained the same results, as shown in Figure 2. Compared with normal tissues, the expression of Siglec-15 was upregulated at different levels in KIRP, COAD, ESCA, PAAD, BLCA, PCPG, KICH, LIHC, LUAD, HNSC, READ, THCA, UCEC and CESC. Moreover, a previous study confirmed that Siglec-15 could suppress antigen-specific T cell responses in vitro and in vivo. Additionally, knockdown of Siglec-15 expression did not cause obvious physical abnormalities but did inhibit tumor growth [11].

Based on these results, Siglec-15 is believed to be a good potential targeted therapy biomarker.

Since no previous studies have focused on Siglec-15 mutations in human cancers, we explored this topic with the help of COSMIC and cBioPortal. As shown in Figure 3, the results from TCGA demonstrated that Siglec-15 mutations occurred widely in human cancers. The most common type of Siglec-15 mutation was missense substitution, which could be observed in all tumors with mutations. At the base-pair level, C>T and G>A mutations were the most widely observed in tumors. Base substitution can lead to abnormal transcription and translation, which might cause changes in both function and phenotype. Figure 5 shows that a higher level of Siglec-15 expression in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, sarcoma and kidney renal clear cell carcinoma indicates worse overall survival, while the opposite result is true in breast cancer, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, thyroid carcinoma, and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma. The reason for this phenomenon is still unclear, but the results shown in Figure 5 suggest that patients with different Siglec-15 expression levels have distinct overall survival rates. Nevertheless, Siglec-15 was found to be a useful biomarker for predicting prognosis. Considering the results presented in Figure 4, we postulate that the reason for the opposing results could be that Siglec-15 interacts with entirely different genes in different types of cancers. Alterations in the expression of Siglec-15 may cause a variety of gene changes across different cancers, which could lead to remarkably different results.

Figure 6 displays the coexpression results of Siglec-15 in different cancers. According to the results, SMAD7 and ATP5A1 show similar trends, while Siglec-15 and CD274 (PD-L1) show the opposite trend. SMAD7 is a nuclear protein that binds the E3 ubiquitin ligase SMURF2 and is closely connected with the TGF- β pathway. For cutaneous melanoma patients, high Siglec-15 expression indicates a high risk of tumor aggressiveness and adverse clinical outcomes [29]. The same conclusion was drawn for colon, ovarian, and endometrial cancers [30-33]. ATP5A1 encodes a subunit of mitochondrial ATP synthase and plays a critical role in catalyzing ATP synthesis, participating in tumor angiogenesis and regulating tumor-related phosphorylation [34]. The upregulation of ATP5A1 is widely observed in clear cell renal cell carcinoma, glioblastoma, colorectal cancer and thyroid cancer [34-37]. In this study, Siglec-15, SMAD7 and ATP5A1 showed the same expression trends in tumors; thus, they may share some pathways that promote tumor aggressiveness. CD274 (PD-LI) is one of the most well-known biomarkers for tumor immunological therapy. Its inhibitor, atezolizumab, is used in bladder cancer and non-small cell lung cancer, as approved by the FDA [38, 39]. In this study, we found that Siglec-15 expression is mutually exclusive from PD-L1. This result is supported by findings reported in a previous study [11]. Therefore, targeting Siglec-15 may be an option for patients who are not candidates for PD-L1 immunotherapy.

Lung Cancer	Breast Cancer	Cervical Cancer
Siglec15 SMAD7 ATP5A1 CD274 OS Sample Type Sample	ID Siglec15 SMAD7 ATP5A1 CD274 OS Sample T	ype Sample ID Siglec15 SMAD7 ATP5A1 CD274 OS Sample Type Sample ID
Colon and Rectal Cancer	Esophageal Cancer	Head and Neck Cancer
	Lines Orenand	
Kidney Clear Cell Carcinoma	Liver Cancer	Mesothelioma
Ocular melanomas	Ovarian Cancor	Pancreatic Cancer
Prostate Cancer	Rectal Cancer	Stomach Cancer
Figure 6. The UCSC Cancer Genomics Browser w	is used to explore the relationships among Siglec-1.	5 and SMAD7, ATP5A1 and PD-L1.

In conclusion, our study suggested that Siglec-15 mRNA is upregulated in many cancers and that the differential expression of Siglec-15 leads to different prognoses. Siglec-15 mutations are widely observed in tumors and interact with different genes in different cancer types, which might explain why high Siglec-15 expression does not necessarily indicate a poor prognosis in all cancers. Siglec-15 represents a potential target for the expansion of cancer immunotherapy in some kinds of cancers.

Abbreviations

TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas; SAGE: Serial analysis of gene expression; COSMIC: Catalog of Somatic Mutations in Cancer; Siglec: sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectin; SMAD7: SMAD family member 7; ATP5A1: ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F1 complex, a subunit 1, cardiac muscle; PD-L1: Programmed cell death 1 ligand 1; GEO: Gene Expression Omnibus; EGA: European Genome-phenome Archive; KIRP: Kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma; COAD: Colon adenocarcinoma; ESCA: Esophageal carcinoma; PAAD: Pancreatic adenocarcinoma; BLCA: Bladder urothelial carcinoma; PCPG: Pheochromocytoma, Paraganglioma; KICH: Kidney chromophobe; LIHC: Liver hepatocellular carcinoma; LUAD: Lung adenocarcinoma; HNSC: Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; READ: Rectum adenocarcinoma; THCA: Thyroid carcinoma; UCEC: Uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma; CESC: Cervical squamous cell carcinoma; THYM: Thymoma; LUSC: Lung squamous cell carcinoma: PRAD: Prostate adenocarcinoma: BRCA: Breast invasive carcinoma; GBM: Glioblastoma multiforme; CHOL: Cholangiocarcinoma; STAD: Stomach adenocarcinoma; KIRC: Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary tables. http://www.jcancer.org/v11p2453s1.xlsx

Acknowledgements

Data Availability

The datasets analyzed in the current study are available in The Cancer Genome Atlas (http://cancergenome.nih.gov), Gene Expression Omnibus (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) and European Genome-phenome Archive (https:// www.ebi.ac.uk/ega/home) repositories.

Contributions

Zhi-fan Xiong and Sheng-li Yang designed the study; Qiu-ting Li and Zao-zao Huang carried out the

research; Qiu-ting Li, Yao-bin Chen, Hong-Yi Yao, Zun-hui Ke, Xiao-xiao He, Meng-jun Qiu and Meng-meng Wang analyzed the data; and Qiu-ting Li wrote the paper.

Funding Statement

This study was supported by The National Key Research and Development Program of China (No: 2018YFC2002000) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities: the Independent Innovation Fund of Huazhong University of Science and Technology (No: 2018KFYYXJJ106).

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2018; 68: 394-424.
- Angata T, Tabuchi Y, Nakamura K, Nakamura M. Siglec-15: an immune system Siglec conserved throughout vertebrate evolution. Glycobiology. 2007; 17: 838-46.
- Ren X. Immunosuppressive checkpoint Siglec-15: a vital new piece of the cancer immunotherapy jigsaw puzzle. Cancer biology & medicine. 2019; 16: 205-10.
- Stuible M, Moraitis A, Fortin A, Saragosa S, Kalbakji A, Filion M, et al. Mechanism and function of monoclonal antibodies targeting siglec-15 for therapeutic inhibition of osteoclastic bone resorption. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2014; 289: 6498-512.
- Yu Y, Fang L, Wang S, Li Y, Guo Y, Sun YP. Amphiregulin promotes trophoblast invasion and increases MMP9/TIMP1 ratio through ERK1/2 and Akt signal pathways. Life sciences. 2019; 236: 116899.
- Zhang C, Gu L, Li X, Wang J. Silencing of Synuclein-gamma inhibits human cervical cancer through the AKT signaling pathway. Cellular & molecular biology letters. 2019; 24: 49.
- Wang Q, Chen X, Hay N. Akt as a target for cancer therapy: more is not always better (lessons from studies in mice). Br J Cancer. 2017; 117: 159-63.
- Kameda Y, Takahata M, Komatsu M, Mikuni S, Hatakeyama S, Shimizu T, et al. Siglec-15 regulates osteoclast differentiation by modulating RANKL-induced phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt and Erk pathways in association with signaling Adaptor DAP12. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. 2013; 28: 2463-75.
- Ishida-Kitagawa N, Tanaka K, Bao X, Kimura T, Miura T, Kitaoka Y, et al. Siglec-15 protein regulates formation of functional osteoclasts in concert with DNAX-activating protein of 12 kDa (DAP12). The Journal of biological chemistry. 2012; 287: 17493-502.
- Takamiya R, Ohtsubo K, Takamatsu S, Taniguchi N, Angata T. The interaction between Siglec-15 and tumor-associated sialyl-Tn antigen enhances TGF-beta secretion from monocytes/macrophages through the DAP12-Syk pathway. Glycobiology. 2013; 23: 178-87.
- Wang J, Sun J, Liu LN, Flies DB, Nie X, Toki M, et al. Siglec-15 as an immune suppressor and potential target for normalization cancer immunotherapy. Nature medicine. 2019; 25: 656-66.
- Silva AP, De Souza JE, Galante PA, Riggins GJ, De Souza SJ, Camargo AA. The impact of SNPs on the interpretation of SAGE and MPSS experimental data. Nucleic acids research. 2004; 32: 6104-10.
- Chandrashekar DS, Bashel B, Balasubramanya SAH, Creighton CJ, Ponce-Rodriguez I, Chakravarthi B, et al. UALCAN: A Portal for Facilitating Tumor Subgroup Gene Expression and Survival Analyses. Neoplasia (New York, NY). 2017; 19: 649-58.
- Forbes SA, Beare D, Gunasekaran P, Leung K, Bindal N, Boutselakis H, et al. COSMIC: exploring the world's knowledge of somatic mutations in human cancer. Nucleic acids research. 2015; 43: D805-11.
- Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, et al. Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. Science signaling. 2013; 6: pl1.
- Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer discovery. 2012; 2: 401-4.

- Nagy A, Lanczky A, Menyhart O, Gyorffy B. Validation of miRNA prognostic power in hepatocellular carcinoma using expression data of independent datasets. Scientific reports. 2018; 8: 9227.
- Liao M, Liu Q, Li B, Liao W, Xie W, Zhang Y. A group of long noncoding RNAs identified by data mining can predict the prognosis of lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer Sci. 2018; 109: 4033-44.
- Crocker PR, Varki A. Siglecs, sialic acids and innate immunity. Trends in immunology. 2001; 22: 337-42.
- Yamaji T, Nakamura K, Amari S, Suzuki A, Hashimoto Y. Application of a multivalent glycoprobe: characterization of sugar-binding specificity of Siglec family proteins. Methods in enzymology. 2003; 363: 104-13.
- Ou C, Liu L, Wang J, Dai S, Qu Y, Xiong Y, et al. Enhancement of Siglec-8 expression predicts adverse prognosis in patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Urologic oncology. 2017; 35: 607.e1-.e8.
- Cao Y, Liu H, Zhang H, Lin C, Li R, Zhang W, et al. Decreased expression of Siglec-8 associates with poor prognosis in patients with gastric cancer after surgical resection. Tumour biology : the journal of the International Society for Oncodevelopmental Biology and Medicine. 2016; 37: 10883-91.
- Madge PD, Maggioni A, Pascolutti M, Amin M, Waespy M, Bellette B, et al. Structural characterisation of high affinity Siglec-2 (CD22) ligands in complex with whole Burkitt's lymphoma (BL) Daudi cells by NMR spectroscopy. Scientific reports. 2016; 6: 36012.
- 24. Schauer R. Achievements and challenges of sialic acid research. Glycoconjugate journal. 2000; 17: 485-99.
- Schultz MJ, Swindall AF, Bellis SL. Regulation of the metastatic cell phenotype by sialylated glycans. Cancer metastasis reviews. 2012; 31: 501-18.
- Adams OJ, Stanczak MA, von Gunten S, Laubli H. Targeting sialic acid-Siglec interactions to reverse immune suppression in cancer. Glycobiology. 2018; 28: 640-7.
- Hiruma Y, Hirai T, Tsuda E. Siglec-15, a member of the sialic acid-binding lectin, is a novel regulator for osteoclast differentiation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2011; 409: 424-9.
- Kameda Y, Takahata M, Mikuni S, Shimizu T, Hamano H, Angata T, et al. Siglec-15 is a potential therapeutic target for postmenopausal osteoporosis. Bone. 2015; 71: 217-26.
- Kaczorowski M, Biecek P, Donizy P, Pieniazek M, Matkowski R, Halon A. SMAD7 is a novel independent predictor of survival in patients with cutaneous melanoma. Translational research : the journal of laboratory and clinical medicine. 2019; 204: 72-81.
- Markowitz S, Wang J, Myeroff L, Parsons R, Sun L, Lutterbaugh J, et al. Inactivation of the type II TGF-beta receptor in colon cancer cells with microsatellite instability. Science. 1995; 268: 1336-8.
- Chen T, Triplett J, Dehner B, Hurst B, Colligan B, Pemberton J, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta receptor type I gene is frequently mutated in ovarian carcinomas. Cancer Res. 2001; 61: 4679-82.
- Lynch MA, Nakashima R, Song H, DeGroff VL, Wang D, Enomoto T, et al. Mutational analysis of the transforming growth factor beta receptor type II gene in human ovarian carcinoma. Cancer Res. 1998; 58: 4227-32.
- Sakaguchi J, Kyo S, Kanaya T, Maida Y, Hashimoto M, Nakamura M, et al. Aberrant expression and mutations of TGF-beta receptor type II gene in endometrial cancer. Gynecologic oncology. 2005; 98: 427-33.
- Yuan L, Chen L, Qian K, Wang G, Lu M, Qian G, et al. A novel correlation between ATP5A1 gene expression and progression of human clear cell renal cell carcinoma identified by coexpression analysis. Oncology reports. 2018; 39: 525-36.
- Xu G, Li JY. ATP5A1 and ATP5B are highly expressed in glioblastoma tumor cells and endothelial cells of microvascular proliferation. Journal of neuro-oncology. 2016; 126: 405-13.
- Eldai H, Periyasamy S, Al Qarni S, Al Rodayyan M, Muhammed Mustafa S, Deeb A, et al. Novel genes associated with colorectal cancer are revealed by high resolution cytogenetic analysis in a patient specific manner. PLoS One. 2013; 8: e76251.
- Onda M, Emi M, Yoshida A, Miyamoto S, Akaishi J, Asaka S, et al. Comprehensive gene expression profiling of anaplastic thyroid cancers with cDNA microarray of 25 344 genes. Endocrine-related cancer. 2004; 11: 843-54.
- Passiglia F, Galvano A, Rizzo S, Incorvaia L, Listi A, Bazan V, et al. Looking for the best immune-checkpoint inhibitor in pre-treated NSCLC patients: An indirect comparison between nivolumab, pembrolizumab and atezolizumab. Int J Cancer. 2018; 142: 1277-84.
- Rittmeyer A, Barlesi F, Waterkamp D, Park K, Ciardiello F, von Pawel J, et al. Atezolizumab versus docetaxel in patients with previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer (OAK): a phase 3, open-label, multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2017; 389: 255-65.