CENPH Inhibits Rapamycin Sensitivity by Regulating GOLPH3-dependent mTOR Signaling Pathway in Colorectal Cancer
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Abstract

Background: Centromere protein H (CENPH) is known as a fundamental component of the active centromere complex, and its overexpression is correlated with poor prognosis in various solid tumors. mTOR inhibitor rapamycin has been shown to possess antitumor activity, as well as prevent intestinal tumorigenesis. However, the prognostic value of CENPH in colorectal cancer (CRC) and the role of CENPH in rapamycin sensitivity remain unknown.

Materials and methods: The effect of CENPH on the cell proliferation, clonogenicity, and cell response to rapamycin in CRC were evaluated by MTT and/or colony formation assays. For the underlying mechanisms, the interaction between CENPH and GOLPH3 were detected by co-immunoprecipitation, GST pull-down, and His-tag pull-down assays, as well as the laser scanning confocal microscopy. The status of kinases in mTOR signaling was determined by Western blot. Finally, the clinical significance of CENPH was analyzed using public CRC datasets with CENPH transcripts and clinical information.

Results: CENPH inhibited CRC malignant phenotypes, conferred reduced sensitivity to rapamycin, and attenuated both mTORC1 and mTORC2 in mTOR signaling pathway through the interaction with golgi phosphoprotein 3 (GOLPH3), which has been identified as a potential oncogene and modulates the response to rapamycin. Moreover, elevated levels of CENPH were detected in CRC tissues, compared with normal colorectal tissues. High levels of CENPH expression gradually decreased according to CRC tumor stages. Patients with high CENPH expression had favorable survival.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that CENPH inhibits rapamycin sensitivity by regulating GOLPH3 dependent mTOR pathway. High CENPH expression is associated with better prognosis in CRC patients. Taken together, CENPH may serve as a potential predictor for rapamycin sensitivity and therapeutic target for CRC patients.
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treatments as well as the development of surgical techniques, the 5-year survival rate of CRC has been improved during the past two decades [3]. Like other solid cancers, the development of CRC is a multistep progression involving the deregulation of oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, which influence various intracellular and extracellular signal transductions responsible for cellular processes including proliferation, apoptosis, invasion, and metastasis [4].

PI3K/AKT signaling pathway plays critical roles in orchestrating multiple cellular functions [5-8]. Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), as downstream effector of PI3K/AKT pathway, consists of two functionally distinct multi-protein complexes, mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and mTOR complex 2 (mTORC2), which were involved in protein synthesis, cell growth, autophagy, metabolism, and survival [5-8]. Since the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is upregulated in almost all cancers, anticancer drugs including LY294002, MK2206, everolimus, rapamycin, and AZD8055 have been developed to target crucial kinases associated with this pathway [7, 8]. Among them, rapamycin inhibits mTOR activity by binding to the protein raptor, resulting in decreased cell proliferation and prevention in intestinal tumorigenesis [9-10]. However, there are no conclusive protein indicators to predict the response to rapamycin nowadays, except golgi phosphoprotein 3 (GOLPH3), which is identified as a potent oncogene and a potential positive predictor for rapamycin sensitivity involving in mTOR signaling regulation [9, 11].

Centromere protein H (CENPH) is a fundamental element of the active centromere complex, which is responsible for linking centromeres to spindle microtubules [12, 13]. CENPH is over-expressed in most CRC, and high levels of CENPH leads to chromosome missegregation and aneuploidy in diploid cell lines [14]. Consequently, continuous chromosome missegregation results in chromosomal instability (CIN), which plays a critical role in the development and progression of cancer [14]. CENPH cooperates with CENPI or CSPP1 on kinetochores and contributes to kinetochore microtubules dynamics for accurate chromosome segregation [15, 16]. However, the role of CENPH in mTOR signaling pathway and rapamycin sensitivity remains less characterized. With regard to the clinical significance of CENPH, previous studies demonstrated that the expression levels of CENPH is elevated in a series of cancers including colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, renal cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, et al [14, 17-25]. However, the prognostic value of CENPH in CRC patients remains largely unclear.

In this study, we investigated the role CENPH played in cancer cell response to rapamycin and the underlying mechanism. Moreover, we determine the clinical significance of CENPH using the public CRC datasets. Our results suggest that high levels of CENPH impaired CRC malignant phenotypes and rapamycin sensitivity through cooperating with GOLPH3 on the modulation of mTOR signaling pathway. Taken together, these findings indicate that CENPH may become a valuable predictor for rapamycin sensitivity.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

The study was approved and supervised by the Research Ethics Committee of Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing, China (No. 2014KT97).

Cell lines and cell culture

All human CRC cell lines (RKO, LoVo, HCT116, SW480) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). Cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 or DMEM medium (HyClone) supplemented with 10% FBS (PAA), penicillin 100 units/mL, and streptomycin 100μg/mL in a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere.

Quantitative Real-time PCR

RNA samples were extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). The isolated total RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the reverse transcription kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). For quantitative Real-time PCR, we used the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix system (Toyobo Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan). The primer sequences of Real-time PCR were: CENPH forward, 5'- TCATACGACAAAACCTACAG -3'; reverse, 5'- TGTCAACATTCTTCTCAAG ATGGA-3'; GOLPH3 forward, 5'- AGTACACACAAATCTACAG ATGGA-3'; reverse, 5'- TGCAACATTCTTCTCAA GCTGC-3'. Primers for GAPDH have been described before [26]. The quantitation of CENPH mRNA relative to an internal control GAPDH was performed by the ΔCt method as previously described [26].

Antibodies and Western blot

Cells were harvested and total protein (100 μg) isolated from cells were separated by electrophoresis in 8%-12% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The protein levels were detected using primary antibody against CENPH (1:100, Cat#HPA036494, Sigma-Aldrich, USA), AKT (1:1000, Cat#9272, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), p-AKT (1:1000, Cat#9271, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), mTOR Substrates Antibody Sampler Kit (1:1000, Cat#9862, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), p70S6K (1:1000, Cat#2708, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), p-p70S6K (1:200, Cat#2708, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), GOLPH3 (1:1000, Cat#19112-1-AP, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), and GAPDH (1:100, Cat#HPA036494, Sigma-Aldrich, USA), mTOR Substrates Antibody Sampler Kit (1:1000, Cat#9862, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), p-AKT (1:1000, Cat#9271, Cell Signaling Technology, USA), and GAPDH (1:100, Cat#HPA036494, Sigma-Aldrich, USA).
Proteintech), and β-actin (1:3000, Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The signal was detected by the ECL Western blot detection kit (Amersham). Densitometric analysis of the Western blot protein was performed using the ImageJ software.

**Plasmids and siRNA transfections**

Full-length human CENPH cDNA were cloned into pCMV-3Tag-1A to construct pCMV-3Tag-1A-CENPH. The small inhibitor RNAs (siRNA) ON-target plus SMARTpool CENPH (human: #L-003254-00-0005) were from Dharmacon. The GOLPH3-targeting siRNA and the negative control siRNA were purchased from Shanghai Gene Pharma (Shanghai, China). Primer sequences of siRNAs have been described previously [26]. RKO and LoVo cells were transiently transfected with plasmids or siRNAs using Lipofectamine™ 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and incubated for another 24 hours before further treatment.

**MTT proliferation assay**

The MTT assay was performed to determine the cell proliferation and cell sensitivity to rapamycin as previously described [26, 27]. Briefly, cells (0.5×10^4 cells/well) transfected with plasmids or siRNAs were seeded in 96-well plates and incubated overnight. For cell proliferation assay, numbers of live cells were determined at 0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, 96 h, for RKO cells, and 0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, for LoVo cells using the MTT reagent by reading at 570 nm. To determine cell sensitivity to rapamycin, on the following day, different concentrations of rapamycin (0, 5, 20, 40, or 50μM) (Cat#S1039, Selleckchem) were added in the culture medium, and then incubated for another 48 h. All treatments were performed in triplicate. The inhibition of cell proliferation at 48 hours was calculated by the formula: [(OD value of control - OD value of the sample)/OD value of control] × 100%. The experiment was repeated three times. All the graphs were generated by GraphPad Prism 5 software.

**Colony formation assay**

Cells transfected with plasmids or siRNAs were seeded 250 cells/well into 6-well plates and incubated at 37°C for 14 days. Colonies were fixed and stained with 0.01% crystal violet, photographed and counted. All relevant assays were performed independently at least three times.

**Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay**

Cells transfected with pCMV-3Tag-1A-CENPH and pCMV-Myc-GOLPH3 [25] were harvested and subjected to co-IP assays. Protein lysates were incubated with 1μg of anti-Flag antibody (Cat#F1804, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) or anti-c-Myc antibody (Cat#631206, Clontech, USA) for 4 h at 4°C under rotation, and then incubated with rProtein A sepharose bead (GE healthcare) for 2 h. The precipitates were washed three times with lysis buffer, and then subjected to Western blot using anti-Flag (1:1000) and anti-c-Myc antibodies (1:500).

**GST pull-down and His-tag pull-down assays**

Human full-length CENPH and GOLPH3 cDNA was cloned into pET-28a(+) and pGEX-4T-1 vector, respectively. The recombinant His-CENPH and GST-GOLPH3 protein were expressed and purified according to manufacturer's instructions (Amersham). GST pull-down and His-tag pull-down assays were performed as described previously [27, 28]. Briefly, E. coli cell lysates containing GST and His-tag fusion protein were prepared in lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 1 mmol/L PMSF, and 1 mg/mL lysozyme in PBS) followed by sonication. After centrifugation, supernatants were incubated with 10μl glutathione sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare) and Ni-NTA agarose (QIAGEN) for 4 h at 4°C, respectively, for GST pull-down and His-tag pull-down assays. The pellets were washed with PBS extensively. The bound proteins were resolved by 12% SDS-PAGE and detected by western blot analysis with anti-GST and anti-His tag antibodies (1:1000, Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Co Ltd, China).

**Confocal microscopy**

Human full-length CENPH and GOLPH3 cDNA was cloned into pDsRed-Express-C1 and pEGFP-C1 vector, respectively. Cells grown in 35-mm glass bottom dishes were cotransfected with pDsRed-Express-C1-CENPH and pEGFP-C1-GOLPH3. After transfection for 48 h, the cells were stained with Hoechst 33342 at 37°C for 10 minutes. A Leica SP5 confocal system (Leica Microsystems, Dresden, Germany) was used to observe the localization of CENPH and GOLPH3.

**Analysis of gene expression datasets**

The gene expression profiles of human CRC for this study were downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). CRC tumor expression data for CENPH mRNA and clinical information were extracted from the public CRC datasets. Differences in CENPH mRNA expression between normal biopsies and tumor tissues were evaluated using paired-sample t-tests. One-way ANOVA method was performed to analyze the differences in expression levels of CENPH between the TNM stages (I-IV). Patients from each dataset were classified into CENPH high and
low-expression groups, according to the levels of CENPH transcript level. Chi-square test was performed to analyze the association between CENPH expression and clinicopathological variables. Survival curves were evaluated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. Disease-free survival (DFS) was calculated as the time from primary surgery to the first of either recurrence or relapse, second cancer, or death. Disease-specific survival (DSS) was estimated as the time from primary surgery to cancer related death. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from primary surgery to death or date of last follow-up. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression models were used to evaluate the predictors for survival. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A two-sided $P$ value of $<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**CENPH inhibited CRC malignant phenotypes**

We first assessed CENPH mRNA and protein levels in four CRC cell lines (RKO, LoVo, HCT116 and SW480) by Real-time PCR and Western blot, respectively (Fig. 1A, B). CENPH was highly expressed in LoVo and SW480 cells at both mRNA and protein levels. In contrast, RKO and HCT116 cells exhibited low expression of CENPH. Thus, LoVo and RKO cells with high and low expression levels of CENPH, respectively, were chosen for further studies in vitro. Moreover, to facilitate the experiments, we used plasmid encoding full length of CENPH and CENPH siRNAs to overexpress and knockdown CENPH levels in both RKO and LoVo cells. The efficiency of CENPH overexpression and knockdown was confirmed in both cell lines by Real-time PCR and Western blot (Fig. S1).

To evaluate the roles of CENPH in the viability of CRC cells, MTT and colony formation assays were performed. The results showed that overexpression of CENPH impaired cellular malignant phenotypes including cell proliferation and colony formation (Fig. 1C, E). However, depletion of CENPH significantly enhanced malignant phenotypes (Fig. 1D, F). These findings suggest that CENPH inhibits the development of CRC.
CENPH modulates rapamycin sensitivity through GOLPH3

The effects of CENPH on rapamycin cytotoxicity to CRC cells were determined by MTT assays. Although the mRNA and protein levels of CENPH and GOLPH3 altered minimally in response to low doses of rapamycin in LoVo cells (Fig. S2), overexpression of CENPH significantly decreased rapamycin-induced inhibition of cell proliferation in a rapamycin-dose-dependent manner in RKO and LoVo cells (Fig. 2A, B). Furthermore, knockdown of CENPH significantly promoted rapamycin-induced cytotoxicity (Fig. 2C, D). The data confirmed the roles of CENPH on tumor cell sensitivity to rapamycin.

GOLPH3 confers increased sensitivity to rapamycin in cancer [9]. To further determine whether CENPH regulates rapamycin sensitivity via GOLPH3 in CRC cells, we knocked down both CENPH and GOLPH3 by siRNAs. As expected, CENPH depletion enhanced rapamycin-induced cytotoxicity. However, knockdown of GOLPH3 significantly attenuated the elevated rapamycin-induced inhibition of cell growth detected with CENPH depletion (Fig. 2E, F). Taken together, the results suggest that CENPH functions partially depend on the expression of GOLPH3.

CENPH interacts with GOLPH3 in vivo and in vitro

To investigate the association between CENPH and GOLPH3, co-IP experiments were performed to determine whether CENPH interacts with GOLPH3 in CRC cells, we knocked down both CENPH and GOLPH3 by siRNAs. As expected, CENPH depletion enhanced rapamycin-induced cytotoxicity. However, knockdown of GOLPH3 significantly attenuated the elevated rapamycin-induced inhibition of cell growth detected with CENPH depletion (Fig. 2E, F). Taken together, the results suggest that CENPH functions partially depend on the expression of GOLPH3.
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Intracellular colocalization of CENPH and GOLPH3

The expression profile of CENPH and GOLPH3 was observed with a laser scanning confocal microscopy. The expression of DsRed-CENPH fusion protein was distributed in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3E, CENPH). It has been well described that GOLPH3 was located to the Golgi apparatus [9]. Consistently, GFP-GOLPH3 fusion protein was mainly located around the nucleus where Golgi network is usually located (Fig. 3E, GOLPH3). The red and green fluorences overlapped in the cytoplasm or around the nucleus, resulting in yellow or orange color in merged images (Fig. 3E, Merge), suggesting the detection of an intense colocalization of CENPH and GOLPH3.

CENPH regulates mTOR signaling pathway via GOLPH3

Since CENPH regulated cancer cell sensitivity to rapamycin, which inhibits the kinase activity of mTORC1, we assessed whether CENPH could modulate mTOR signaling in CRC cells. Firstly, GSEA was performed using the gene expression profiles from GEO dataset GSE38832. mTOR signaling pathway was identified to be significantly associated with CENPH expression in GSE38832 (Fig. S3). To confirm the GSEA analysis of CENPH, the status of molecules associated with mTOR signaling was determined. The results showed that overexpression of CENPH reduced phosphorylation of pAKT and pS6K, which are the direct substrates of mTORC2 and mTORC1, respectively (Fig. 4A). In addition, CENPH overexpression decreased GOLPH3 mRNA and protein levels in RKO and LoVo cells (Fig. 4A, Fig. S1A, B). In contrast, the depletion of CENPH expression by transfection of siRNAs resulted in elevated levels of pAKT and pS6K in CRC cells (Fig. 4B). Meanwhile, CENPH knockdown upregulated the GOLPH3 mRNA and protein levels in CRC cells (Fig. 4B, Fig. S1C, D). Furthermore, due to the role of GOLPH3 in activating mTOR signaling [9], and the binding of CENPH with GOLPH3, we investigated whether GOLPH3 is required for CENPH-mediated mTOR signaling. The phosphorylation of AKT and S6K was examined with reduced expression of GOLPH3 and CENPH by siRNAs. These results suggested that knock down of GOLPH3 attenuated the induction of phosphorylation of pAKT and pS6K upon CENPH suppression (Fig. 4C).

Fig. 3 CENPH interacts with GOLPH3. (A, B) Exogenous CENPH interacts with GOLPH3. The indicated plasmids were expressed in cells and proteins were affinity purified. CENPH and GOLPH3 protein levels were assessed against indicated antibodies, respectively. (C, D) His-CENPH directly interacted with GST-GOLPH3, but not GST, as determined by the in vitro GST pull-down and His-tag pull-down assays, respectively. (E) Colocalization of CENPH and GOLPH3 in CRC cells. Cells cotransfected with pDsRed-Express-C1-CENPH and pEGFP-C1-GOLPH3 were observed under a laser scanning confocal microscope. Colocalization was shown by merge (yellow or orange). Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (blue).
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Table 1. Correlations between CENPH expression and clinicopathological characteristics in CRC patients from dataset GSE39582.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>CENPH expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60 yr</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60 yr</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of Invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1/T2</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3/T4</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p values in bold were statistically significant.

High CENPH expression indicated favorable prognosis in patients with CRC

To determine mRNA levels of CENPH expression in CRC tissues, public available datasets were used. The results showed that CENPH transcripts was significantly increased in CRC tumors compared with matched normal tissues from 2 independent datasets GSE9348 and GSE4183 (*p* < 0.001 and *p* = 0.005, respectively, Fig. 5A). The development of CRC can be divided into four stages (stage I, II, III, and IV) according to depth of tumor invasion (T), metastasis in regional lymph nodes (N), and distant metastasis (M) features. To evaluate the effects of CENPH in CRC progression, we assessed the levels of CENPH according to the stages in the datasets GSE38832 and GSE39582. One-way ANOVA showed CENPH mRNA level was decreased gradually in respect to tumor stages. There was significantly different in the levels of CENPH among different stages (*p* = 0.043, and *p* = 0.041 for GSE38832 and GSE39582, respectively, Fig. 5B).

Patients in each dataset were divided into CENPH high and low expression groups, according to CENPH transcript levels. The correlation between CENPH expression and clinicopathological features in GSE39582 dataset is shown in Table 1. The results revealed that CENPH mRNA expression was significantly associated with lymph node metastasis (*p* < 0.001). However, no significant associations were detected between CENPH expression and other clinicopathological variables including age, gender, tumor location, tumor depth of invasion, distant metastasis, survival or recurrence (*p* > 0.05, Table 1).

Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed to investigate the prognostic relevance of CENPH in CRC. According to datasets of GSE38832, which included disease-free survival (DFS) and disease-specific survival (DSS) information, patients with high levels of CENPH expression had marginally significantly better DFS and DSS than those with low levels of CENPH expression (DFS, *p* = 0.050; DSS, *p* = 0.050; Fig. 5C, D). Similarly, in another CRC dataset GSE39582, patients with CENPH-high tumors had improved DFS and overall survival (OS), compared to those with CENPH-low tumors (DFS, *p* = 0.096; OS, *p* = 0.047; Fig. 5E, F). These results suggest that high levels of CENPH mRNA expression could predict favorable prognosis for patients with CRC.
Moreover, Cox proportional hazard regression analysis was performed to analyze the prognostic value of CENPH expression. In univariate analysis, patients whose tumors have high CENPH expression had a longer period of OS (HR 0.752; 95% CI 0.567-0.988; \( P = 0.048 \)) than those whose tumors have low CENPH expression. All variables that indicated prognostic significance in the univariate analysis were involved in the multivariate analysis. The results revealed that patients with high levels of CENPH expression and superficial tumor invasion had marginally significantly longer periods of OS than those with low levels of CENPH expression (HR 0.765; 95% CI 0.562-1.042; \( P = 0.089 \), and HR 1.980; 95% CI 1.000-3.919; \( P = 0.050 \), respectively, Table 2). As expected, positive lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis were found to be independent predictors for poor OS (HR 1.479; 95% CI 1.037-2.111; \( P = 0.031 \), and HR 4.558; 95% CI 2.976-6.982; \( P < 0.001 \), respectively, Table 2). However, there was no significant association between other clinicopathological features and OS in multivariate analysis (Table 2).

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrated that CENPH inhibited CRC malignant phenotypes, conferred impaired sensitivity to rapamycin, and regulated mTOR signaling through the interaction with GOLPH3. Moreover, high levels of CENPH expression were associated with favorable prognosis in patients with CRC. These findings raised the possibility that the expression levels of CENPH may serve as a predictor of sensitivity to mTOR inhibitor, rapamycin.

Centromere protein H (CENPH), as a crucial component of the active kinetochore complex, was localized in the inner plate together with CENPA and CENPC [12, 13, 29]. It has been well established that CENPH plays a basic role in linking centromeres to the spindle microtubule [12, 13, 29]. Consequently, CENPH contributes to the function of maintaining centromere structure, kinetochore formation, and sister chromatid separation [12, 13, 29]. Since kinetochore proteins were involved in cancer development and progression, previous studies demonstrated that overexpression of CENPH promoted cell growth and proliferation in gastric cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and tongue cancer [17, 18, 23]. However, inconsistent with previous studies, our results suggested that CENPH abrogated cellular malignant phenotypes including cell growth and

---

**Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of CENPH in CRC patients from GSE39582 with respect to OS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (≥60 yr vs &lt;60 yr)</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>0.944-2.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Male vs Female)</td>
<td>1.308</td>
<td>0.980-1.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location (Distal vs Proximal)</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.691-1.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of invasion (T3/T4 vs T1/T2)</td>
<td>2.367</td>
<td>1.211-4.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis (Positive/ Negative)</td>
<td>2.491</td>
<td>1.436-4.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant Metastasis (Positive/ Negative)</td>
<td>5.176</td>
<td>2.044-13.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENPH Expression (High vs Low)</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.567-0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLPH3 Expression (High vs Low)</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.670-1.178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( HR \) hazard ratio, \( CI \) confidence interval, \( P \) values in bold were statistically significant.
colony formation in CRC cells. The completely different function of CENPH in cancer is likely tissue-specific consequence.

The overexpression of CENPH has been validated in in several types of tumors, including colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, esophageal carcinoma, oral squamous cell carcinomas, tongue cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, nonsmall cell lung cancer, breast cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma [14, 17-25]. Although elevated CENPH levels indicates poor prognosis in most types of cancers, the clinical significance of CENPH expression in CRC remains largely unknown. In this study, the analysis of public datasets demonstrated that the levels of CENPH transcript were significantly higher in CRC tissues than those in matched normal tissues. High levels of CENPH transcripts decreased gradually according to tumor stages. However, inconsistent with studies in other types of cancer, our data indicated that patients with high CENPH expression had favorable survival, suggesting better clinical outcome.

It has been well established that mTOR pathway plays an essential role in the development and progression of CRC [30, 31]. As mTOR inhibitors, temsirolimus and everolimus had been approved by FDA for the treatment of patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma [32, 33]. Although rapamycin (sirolimus) was usually used as immunosuppressant for organ transplantation [34], the role of rapamycin in inhibiting the protein kinase activity of mTOR and antiproliferative ability makes it a potential anticancer agent [30, 31]. Preclinical studies have suggested that the combination of rapamycin with a VEGF antibody or trastuzumab exhibited a synergistic antitumor effect in a pancreatic or breast cancer model, respectively [35, 36]. However, many clinical trials did not show the desired pharmacological properties [37]. A phase I study indicated that a combination of sunitinib and rapamycin is unacceptable due to irresistible toxicities in patients with refractory solid malignancies including CRC [34]. Therefore, it is a long way to go before it could be used in the clinic. In this study, the effects of CENPH on rapamycin cytotoxicity to CRC cells were evaluated. The results suggested that change of CENPH expression level significantly regulated rapamycin-induced cytotoxicity.

In this study, CENPH could not only interact with GOLPH3, but also regulate the levels of GOLPH3. GOLPH3 was first identified as a Golgi member protein involved in Golgi trafficking and morphology [38]. It has been reported that GOLPH3, as a potent oncogene, activates mTOR signaling by phosphorylating both mTORC1 and mTORC2 specific substrates. Consequently, GOLPH3 enhances tumor cell sensitivity to rapamycin in vivo [9]. However, Zhu et al. demonstrated that GOLPH3 overexpression is associated with poor response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in rectal cancer [39]. Given the roles of GOLPH3 in cancer, and the association between CENPH and GOLPH3, we investigated the impact of CENPH on mTOR signaling pathway. The results indicated that the alteration of CENPH expression level could regulate mTOR signaling cascade. In addition, GOLPH3 is required for the function of CENPH. The PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway is activated in most cancers, representing a focused issue for cancer drug development. The role of CENPH in the modulation of mTOR signaling and rapamycin sensitivity raised the possibility that the levels of CENPH together with GOLPH3 may predict sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors.

However, there are some limitations of our study. Although in this study we demonstrated the association between CENPH and GOLPH3, as well as their effects on mTOR signaling, the predictive values of CENPH and GOLPH3 as indicators for rapamycin sensitivity remains to be elucidated by randomized clinical cohorts for patients with or without rapamycin treatment. Moreover, to confirm the clinical significance of CENPH expression in patients with CRC, replication cohorts containing detailed clinicopathological parameters are required.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that CENPH regulates CRC cell proliferation and cell sensitivity to rapamycin. CENPH binds to GOLPH3 and modulates both mTORC1 and mTORC2 in mTOR signaling pathway via GOLPH3. Moreover, CRC patients with high CENPH expression indicates improved survival. These findings suggest that CENPH may serve as a potential predictor for rapamycin sensitivity and a therapeutic target for CRC patients.
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