

**Research Paper** 





# Association between APE1 Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (rs1760944) and Cancer Risk: a Meta-Analysis Based on 6,419 Cancer Cases and 6,781 Case-free Controls

Zhi-Jun Dai<sup>1<sup>III</sup></sup>, Xi-Jing Wang<sup>1\*</sup>, An-Jing Kang<sup>2\*</sup>, Xiao-Bin Ma<sup>1</sup>, Wei-Li Min<sup>1</sup>, Shuai Lin<sup>1</sup>, Yang Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Peng-Tao Yang<sup>1</sup>, Meng Wang<sup>1</sup>, and Hua-Feng Kang<sup>1<sup>III</sup></sup>

1. Department of Oncology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710004, China;

2. Department of Pathology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710004, China;

\* These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author: Email: dzj0911@126.com (Z-J. D.) or kanghf73@126.com (H.-F. K.) Tel.: +86-29-8767-9226 (Z-J. D.) Fax: +86-29-8767-9282(Z-J. D.).

© Ivyspring International Publisher. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/). Reproduction is permitted for personal, noncommercial use, provided that the article is in whole, unmodified, and properly cited.

Received: 2013.11.08; Accepted: 2014.02.01; Published: 2014.03.13

#### Abstract

Apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease I (APEI) is an essential enzyme in the base excision repair pathway. Epidemiological studies have suggested associations between APEI rs1760944 polymorphism and cancer risk. This study was aimed to evaluate the relationship between APEI rs I 760944 polymorphism and cancer risk. We searched Pubmed, ISI Web of Knowledge, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) databases until September 2013 to identify eligible studies. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) were used to estimate the strength of the associations. 12 studies from 11 articles on APE1 rs1760944 genotypes and cancer risk were identified, including a total of 6,419 cancer cases and 6,781 case-free controls. Overall, APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism was significantly associated with the decreased risk of cancer in any genetic models (G vs. T: OR = 0.86, 95% CI = 0.82–0.90; homozygote comparison: OR = 0.74, 95% CI = 0.67-0.82; heterozygote comparison: OR =0.88, 95%CI = 0.81-0.95; dominant model TG+GG vs. TT: OR = 0.82, 95% CI = 0.76-0.89; recessive model GG vs. TT+TG: OR = 0.81, 95%Cl = 0.75–0.88). In the stratified analysis by populations, the effect was remain in studies of Asian population (homozygote comparison: OR = 0.71, 95%CI = 0.63-0.79; heterozygote comparison: OR = 0.86, 95 %CI = 0.79- 0.94; dominant model: OR = 0.80, 95% CI = 0.74 -0.87 and recessive model: OR = 0.78, 95%CI = 0.71-0.86). Moreover, a significantly decreased risk was found in lung cancer studies (homozygote comparison: OR = 0.68, 95% CI = 0.59-0.79; heterozygote comparison: OR = 0.86, 95%CI = 0.77- 0.98; dominant model: OR = 0.80, 95%CI = 0.72-0.90 and recessive model: OR= 0.77, 95% CI= 0.68-0.87). These findings support that APEI rs1760944 polymorphism has a possible protective effect on cancer susceptibility particularly among Asians. Further studies based on different ethnicity and various cancer types are warranted to verify our findings.

Key words: APE1; single nucleotide polymorphism; cancer susceptibility; meta-analysis.

# Introduction

Apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 (APE1, also known as APEX, HAP1, and REF-1) is a multi-functional protein and plays a central role in the base

excision repair (BER) pathway [1]. The human APE1 is located on chromosome 14q11.2 and consists of five exons, spans roughly 2.5 to 3 kb of DNA [2, 3]. Besides

its role in DNA repair, APE1 is also known as a transcriptional coactivator for numerous transcription factors, such as AP-1, HIF-1 $\alpha$ , p53 and NF- $\kappa$ B, which are involved in cancer promotion and progression [4]. APE1 represents a promising target for pharmacological treatment in some cancer types [3, 5].

Up to now, Epidemiologic studies suggested single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in APE1 may confer individuals' susceptibility to cancer [6, 7]. A total of 18 SNPs in APE1 have been identified [2], of which, two functional SNPs (- 656 T> G in the promoter region, rs1760944 and 1349 T> G in the fifth Exon, rs1130409) have been wildly investigated [8]. It was reported that APE1 rs1760944 T>G polymorphism was associated with altered promoter activity in vitro [9]. Furthermore, the rs1760944 G allele was associated with the decreased risk of lung cancer by enhancing the transcriptional activity, compared with that of the T allele [9]. The results suggested that the rs1760944 G allele in the promoter region was associated with an increased transcriptional activity of the APE1 gene [10].

Lo et al. and Lu et al. reported that -656 T > G polymorphism influenced the transcriptional activity of APE1 and contributed to lung cancer susceptibility [9, 11]. Some other studies in breast cancer, prostate cancer, and lung cancer also supported the association [12-14], however, it has not been replicated in one study in renal cell carcinoma [15].

Meta-analysis is a statistical technique for combining results from different studies to produce a single estimate of the major effect with enhanced precision [16]. Any single study is insufficient to confirm the association of the APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism with cancer risk [8]. It is important to summarize inconclusive results from different studies to provide evidence on the association of APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism with breast cancer risk. To clarify the effect of the APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism on cancer risk, we carried out a meta-analysis on all eligible case-control studies to estimate the overall cancer risk of the APE1 rs1760944. Furthermore, we conducted the subgroup analysis by stratification according to the ethnicity and cancer type.

## Materials and methods

#### **Publication Search**

Computer searches were carried out independently by two authors, in PubMed, ISI Web of Knowledge, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) Data (the latest research was retrospected to September 2013) to collect articles with case-control or cohort studies related to the association of APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism and cancer risk.

The keywords were as follows: cancer/ carcinoma, apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease-1/ APE1/APEX/HAP1/REF-1, rs1760944 /656 T > G and polymorphism/genotype/SNP. Furthermore, reference lists of main reports and review articles were also reviewed by a manual search to identify additional relevant publications.

#### **Selection Criteria**

The following criteria were used to select studies for further meta-analysis: (1) case-control studies; (2) the studies evaluated the associations between APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism and cancer risk; (3) the studies contained at least two comparison groups (cancer group vs. control group); (4) the studies included detailed genotyping data.

Accordingly, the following exclusion criteria were also used: (1) the design of the experiments were not case-control studies; (2) the source of cases and controls, and other essential information were not provided; (3) the genotype distribution of the control population was departure from HardyeWeinberg equilibrium (HWE); (4) reviews and duplicated publications.

#### **Data Extraction and Synthesis**

Articles were performed independently by two reviewers and data with discrepancies in identification were discussed by all authors. For each included study, the following information was collected: first author, year of publication, country of origin, ethnicity, source of control, numbers of cases and controls, genotyping methods for APE1 rs1760944 T/G, total number of cases and controls as well as number of cases and controls with T/T, T/G and G/G genotypes. Different ethnicity descents were categorized as Caucasian, Asian, African, and "mixed". All the case and control groups were well controlled. The non-cancer controls had no history of gynecologic disease, and there was no present evidence of any malignant disease. When studies included, subjects of more than one ethnicity, genotype data were extracted separately according to ethnicities for subgroup analyses.

## Statistical analysis

The associations between APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism and cancer risk were measured by odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). The significance of the pooled OR was determined by the Z test. Statistical heterogeneity among studies was assessed with the Q and I<sup>2</sup> statistics. The Q test and I<sup>2</sup> were claimed to test the variation which was due to heterogeneity or by random error. When *P* value of heterogeneity tests was no more than 0.1 (*P*≤0.1), we used random effects model. When *P* value of heterogeneity test was more than 0.1 ( $P \ge 0.1$ ), we used fixed effects model. Sensitivity analysis was also tested by removing one study at a time to calculate the overall homogeneity and effect size. Publication bias were evaluated by the funnel plot and further assessed by the method of Egger's linear regression test. All statistical analyses were carried out with the review manager version 5.0 (Revman; The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and the Stata software version 10.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). All *P* values in the meta-analysis were two-sided, and *P* value less than 0.05 were considered significant.

## Results

#### **Characteristics of Studies**

As shown in Figure 1, a total of 21 records that fulfilled our search criteria were preliminarily identified for further detailed evaluation, of which excluded 10 articles. Three studies were excluded because the designs of the experiments were not case-control studies. Two studies were not focused on APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism and breast cancer risk. Two studies was excluded because no detailed genotyping data. One was laboratory study, and the rest of the four studies were systematic review comments. Finally, 12 studies from 11 articles on APE1 rs1760944 genotypes and cancer risk were identified [9-15, 17-20], including a total of 6,419 cancer cases and 6,781 case-free controls. The characteristics of the included studies are listed in Table 1.

Among the eligible studies, only one study was based on Caucasian background which was carried out in America. Eleven were based on Asian background and carried out in China. All studies were case-control studies, including five lung cancer studies, one bladder cancer study, one renal cancer study, one advanced colorectal adenoma study, one cervical cancer study, one prostate cancer study, one glioblastoma study, and one breast cancer study. All cancers were confirmed by histology or pathology. Moreover, controls were mainly matched on age, of which four were population-based and eight were hospital-based.



**Table 1.** Characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis.

First author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Cancer type	Genotyping medthod	Source of	Total sample size
						control	(case/control)
Berndt [17]	2007	America	Caucasian	ACA	TaqMan	PB	767/720
Lo [9]	2009	China	Asian	Lung cancer	Arrayed primer	HB	725/728
					extension		
Lu [11]	2009	China	Asian	Lung cancer	Illumina	PB	500/517
Lu [11]	2009	China	Asian	Lung cancer	SNPscan	HB	572/547
Wang [18]	2010	China	Asian	BC	PCR-RELP	HB	234/253
Zhou [19]	2011	China	Asian	Glioblastoma	MassARRAY	HB	766/824
Li [14]	2011	China	Asian	Lung cancer	TaqMan	PB	455/443
Cao [15]	2011	China	Asian	RCC	TaqMan	HB	612/632
Wang [10]	2013	China	Asian	CC	PCR-RELP	HB	306/306
Kang [12]	2013	China	Asian	breast cancer	TaqMan	HB	465/799
Jing [13]	2013	China	Asian	PC	PCR-RELP	PB	198/156
Pan [20]	2013	China	Asian	Lung cancer	PCR-LDR	HB	819/803

ACA: Advanced colorectal adenoma; RCC: Renal cell carcinoma; BC: Bladder cancer; CC: Cervical cancer; PC: Prostate cancer; PCR-RFLP: Polymerase Chain Reaction-restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism; PCR-LDR: Polymerase Chain Reaction-Ligation Detection Reaction; PB: Population Based; HB: Hospital Based.

#### **Meta-analysis results**

The frequency of the G allele varied widely across the twelve studies, ranging from 0.33 to 0.63 (Table 2). The average frequency of the G allele in Asian populations was 0.45, which was lower than that in European populations (0.52). There was no significantly different between Asians and Caucasians (P>0.05).

The main results of this meta-analysis were listed in Table 3. Overall, there was evidence of an association between cancer risk and the variant genotypes in different genetic models when all the eligible studies were pooled into the meta-analysis. As show in Table 3 and Figure 2, significant main effects were observed in any genetic models (G vs. T: OR = 0.86, 95% CI = 0.82–0.90, *P* <0.00001; homozygote comparison (GG vs. TT): OR = 0.74, 95% CI = 0.67–0.82, *P* <0.00001; heterozygote comparison (TG vs. TT): OR =0.88, 95% CI = 0.81–0.95, *P* = 0.002; dominant model TG+GG vs. TT: OR = 0.82, 95% CI = 0.76–0.89, *P*<0.00001; recessive model GG vs. TT+TG: OR = 0.81, 95% CI = 0.75–0.88, *P*<0.00001).

There were eleven articles including 5652 cases and 6008 controls based on Asians used to evaluate the relationship between APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism with cancer susceptibility. In the stratified analysis by populations, as shown in Table 3 and Figure 3, the effect was remain in studies of Asian population (homozygote comparison: OR = 0.71, 95%CI = 0.63-0.79; heterozygote comparison : OR =0.86, 95 %CI = 0.79- 0.94; dominant model: OR = 0.80, 95% CI = 0.74 -0.87 and recessive model: OR = 0.78, 95%CI = 0.71-0.86). Five articles including 3071 cases and 3038 controls were used to evaluate the relationship between APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism with lung cancer risk. In the stratified analysis by cancer type, as shown in Table 3 and Figure 4, the protective effect was remain in lung cancer studies (homozygote comparison : OR = 0.68, 95% CI = 0.59-0.79; heterozygote comparison: OR = 0.86, 95% CI = 0.77- 0.98; dominant model: OR = 0.80, 95% CI = 0.72-0.90 and recessive model: OR= 0.77, 95% CI= 0.68-0.87). Further subgroup analyses were not performed because of limited data for this polymorphism.

#### **Tests of Heterogeneity**

Statistically significant heterogeneity was observed between trials of the following analyses using Q statistic (G vs. T: P = 0.005, I<sup>2</sup> = 59%; GG vs. TT: P = 0.008, I<sup>2</sup> = 57%; dominant model TG+GG vs. TT: P = 0.05, I<sup>2</sup> = 43%); recessive model GG vs. TT+TG (P = 0.04, I<sup>2</sup> = 47%), and the random-effects model was performed in these studies. There was no significant heterogeneity in heterozygote comparison (TG vs.TT: P = 0.33, I<sup>2</sup> = 12%), and a fixed-effects model was performed.

#### **Publication Bias**

Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test were performed to assess the publication bias. As show in Figure 5, the funnel plots did not reveal any obvious asymmetry in all genotypes in overall population, and the results of Begg's test revealed no publication bias (P>0.05).

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Berndt 2007	554	767	560	773	10.5%	0.99 [0.79, 1.24]	+
Cao 2011	442	612	441	632	8.1%	1.13 [0.88, 1.44]	+
Jing 2013	120	198	109	156	3.2%	0.66 [0.43, 1.04]	
Kang 2013	285	465	551	799	10.6%	0.71 [0.56, 0.91]	-
Li 2011	293	455	300	443	7.3%	0.86 [0.65, 1.14]	-
Lo 2009	454	725	494	728	12.5%	0.79 [0.64, 0.99]	*
Lu 2009	316	500	347	517	8.5%	0.84 [0.65, 1.09]	-
Lu 2009	373	572	398	547	9.6%	0.70 [0.54, 0.91]	-
Pan 2013	705	819	705	803	6.7%	0.86 [0.64, 1.15]	-
Wang 2010	131	234	176	253	5.0%	0.56 [0.38, 0.81]	-
Wang 2013	185	306	214	306	5.7%	0.66 [0.47, 0.92]	-
Zhou 2011	517	766	579	824	12.3%	0.88 [0.71, 1.09]	1
Total (95% CI)		6419		6781	100.0%	0.82 [0.76, 0.89]	1
Total events	4375		4874				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1	19.36, df =	11 (P =	0.05); l² =	= 43%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.03 (F	< 0.000	001)	Fa			

Figure 2. Forest plots of APEI rs1760944 polymorphism and cancer risk in the overall population (TG+GG vs TT). The squares and horizontal lines correspond to the study specific OR and 95% Cl. The area of the squares reflects the weight (inverse of the variance). The diamond represents the summary OR and 95% Cl.

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Oc	lds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, F	Fixed, 95% CI	
Cao 2011	442	612	441	632	9.1%	1.13 [0.88, 1.44]		•	
Jing 2013	120	198	109	156	3.6%	0.66 [0.43, 1.04]		•	
Kang 2013	285	465	551	799	11.8%	0.71 [0.56, 0.91]		-	
Li 2011	293	455	300	443	8.2%	0.86 [0.65, 1.14]		-	
Lo 2009	454	725	494	728	13.9%	0.79 [0.64, 0.99]		•	
Lu 2009	316	500	347	517	9.5%	0.84 [0.65, 1.09]		-	
Lu 2009	373	572	398	547	10.7%	0.70 [0.54, 0.91]		-	
Pan 2013	705	819	705	803	7.5%	0.86 [0.64, 1.15]		-	
Wang 2010	131	234	176	253	5.6%	0.56 [0.38, 0.81]	-	-	
Wang 2013	185	306	214	306	6.4%	0.66 [0.47, 0.92]		-	
Zhou 2011	517	766	579	824	13.7%	0.88 [0.71, 1.09]		*	
Total (95% CI)		5652		6008	100.0%	0.80 [0.74, 0.87]		•	
Total events	3821		4314						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	16.44, df =	10 (P =	0.09); l² =	= 39%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.31 (P	9 < 0.000	001)			Fa	0.01 0.1 avours experiment	ז 10 al Favours.con	100 trol
orest plots showing the	relationshi	p betwe	en APEI	rs176	0944 poly	morphism and cand	er risk in Asians	subgroup (TG+	GG vs

	Experim	ental	Conti	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Li 2011	293	455	300	443	16.4%	0.86 [0.65, 1.14]	-
Lo 2009	454	725	494	728	28.0%	0.79 [0.64, 0.99]	-
Lu 2009	316	500	347	517	19.1%	0.84 [0.65, 1.09]	
Lu 2009	373	572	398	547	21.5%	0.70 [0.54, 0.91]	•
Pan 2013	705	819	705	803	15.0%	0.86 [0.64, 1.15]	-
Total (95% CI)		3071		3038	100.0%	0.80 [0.72, 0.90]	•
Total events	2141		2244				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	= 1.68, df = 4	(P = 0.7	79); l² = 0	%			
Test for overall effect	:: Z = 3.74 (F	9 = 0.000	02)			Fa	avours experimental Favours control
I. Forest plot showing the r	elationship	betwee	n APEI I	rs I 760	944 polyr	norphism and lung	cancer risk (GG+TG vs. TT).

Table 2. APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism Genotype Distribution and Allele Frequency in Cases and Controls.

First author	Year	Genoty	ype (N)					Allele frequency (N, %)						
		Case				Contro	ol			Case	Case		Control	
		total	TT	TG	GG	total	TT	TG	GG	Т	G	Т	G	
Berndt[17]	2007	767	106	310	244	773	114	317	243	736(48)	798(52)	743(48)	803(52)	
Lo [9]	2009	725	271	332	122	728	234	341	153	874(60)	576(40)	809(56)	647(44)	
Lu [11]	2009	500	184	241	75	517	170	238	109	609(61)	391(39)	578(56)	456(44)	
Lu [11]	2009	572	199	288	85	547	149	293	105	686(60)	458(40)	591(54)	503(46)	
Wang [18]	2010	234	92	108	34	253	77	124	52	314(67)	154(33)	278(55)	228(45)	
Zhou [19]	2011	766	233	392	125	824	237	424	155	890(58)	642(42)	914(55)	734(45)	
Li [14]	2011	455	162	227	66	443	143	206	94	551(61)	359(39)	492(56)	394(44)	
Cao [15]	2011	612	170	307	135	632	191	307	134	647(53)	577(47)	689(55)	575(45)	
Wang [10]	2013	306	121	139	46	306	92	154	60	381(62)	231(38)	338(55)	274(45)	
Kang [12]	2013	465	180	207	78	799	248	381	170	567(61)	363(39)	877(55)	721(45)	
Jing [13]	2013	198	78	93	27	156	47	76	33	249(63)	147(37)	170(55)	142(45)	
Pan [20]	2013	819	114	384	321	803	98	369	336	612(37)	1026(63)	565(35)	1041(65)	

Table 3. Meta-analysis results.

Comparisons	OR	95%CI	P value	Heteroger	neity	Effects model
				I <sup>2</sup>	P value	
G vs T	0.86	0.82-0.90	< 0.00001	59%	0.005	Random
Asian	0.84	0.80-0.88	< 0.00001	54%	0.02	Random
Lung cancer	0.83	0.78-0.90	< 0.00001	0%	0.72	Fixed
GG vs TT	0.74	0.67-0.82	< 0.00001	57%	0.008	Random
Asian	0.71	0.63-0.79	< 0.00001	48%	0.04	Random
Lung cancer	0.68	0.59-0.79	< 0.00001	0%	0.70	Fixed
TG vs TT	0.88	0.81-0.95	0.002	12%	0.33	Fixed
Asian	0.86	0.79-0.94	0.0007	8%	0.37	Fixed
Lung cancer	0.86	0.77-0.98	0.02	0%	0.66	Fixed
TG+GG vs TT	0.82	0.76-0.89	< 0.00001	43%	0.05	Random
Asian	0.80	0.74-0.87	< 0.00001	39%	0.09	Random
Lung cancer	0.80	0.72-0.90	0.0002	0%	0.79	Fixed
GG vs TT+TG	0.81	0.75-0.88	< 0.00001	47%	0.04	Random
Asian	0.78	0.71-0.86	< 0.00001	37%	0.10	Random
Lung cancer	0.77	0.68-0.87	< 0.0001	11%	0.34	Fixed



#### Discussion

Human DNA repair systems play an important role in protecting the genome from DNA damage caused by endogenous and environmental agents [21]. The base excision repair (BER) pathway removes DNA damage caused by ionizing radiation, reactive oxidative species and methylating agents [22]. APE1 is a multifunctional protein and plays a central role in the BER pathway [3]. Polymorphisms in the DNA repair genes may contribute to the DNA repair capacity variations in the general population. Previous studies suggested the APE1 polymorphisms could influence the sensitivity to ionizing radiation [23].

Aberrant expression of APE1 gene may lead to defects in repairing these lesions and confer individ-

uals' susceptibility to the cancer. For instance, there are some studies that suggested polymorphisms in APE1 may regulate its expression and influence individual's susceptibility to cancer [9-13]. APE1 rs1760944 might act as an important role in the prediction of gastric cancer survival [24]. Epidemiological studies have now linked genetic polymorphisms in APE1 with the risk various types of cancer including lung cancer, breast cancer, colorectal cancer and bladder cancer [7].

The relationship between APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism and cancer was inconsistent [12-15]. In this meta-analysis, we pooled all 12 eligible case-control studies to estimate the overall cancer risk of the APE1 rs1760944. We found that APE1 rs1760944 T>G variant had a significantly decreased risk of cancer in overall population.

In the subgroup meta-analysis based on ethnicity, compared with T allele, a significantly decreased cancer risk is associated with G allele in Asian. Furthermore, compared with TT genotype, a significantly decreased risk of cancer is associated with TG genotype, GG genotype and the combined TG/GG genotypes subgroup. However, there were only one study based on Caucasian background and no study based on African among the eligible studies. Further investigations on large scale on Caucasian and African populations are needed to verify this result.

In the stratified analysis by cancer type, the protective effect was remain in lung cancer studies (homozygote comparison: OR = 0.68, 95% CI = 0.59-0.79; heterozygote comparison: OR = 0.86, 95% CI = 0.77-0.98; dominant model: OR = 0.80, 95% CI = 0.72-0.90 and recessive model: OR = 0.77, 95% CI = 0.68-0.87). However, there were only one study in the other cancers such as bladder cancer, renal cancer, cervical cancer and prostate cancer. Further subgroup analyses were not performed because of limited data for this polymorphism.

Some limitations of this meta-analysis should be noted. Firstly, this meta-analysis was based on pooled data and no individual data was available; thus, we could not assess the risk of cancer according to stratification of age, environment factors, and other risk factors of cancer. Secondly, small study effect, in which effects reported in small studies are larger, could not be avoided in that some studies were of a relative small size (<5,00). Moreover, further large scale multicenter studies with more detailed individual data, with different environmental background are warranted to further validated gene-gene and gene-environment interactions on APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism and cancer risk.

# Conclusion

In summary, our present meta-analysis provides evidence of the association between APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism and cancer risk. APE1 rs1760944 polymorphism plays a possible protective effect in cancer in Asians. Further studies based on different ethnicity and various cancer types are warranted to verify our findings.

## Acknowledgments

This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation, People's Republic of China (No.81102711), the International Cooperative Project of Shaanxi province, People's Republic of China (No. 2013KW-32-01) and Specialized Research Fund of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, China [RC (GG) 201203].

## **Competing Interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

## References

- Krokan HE, Nilsen H, Skorpen F, Otterlei M, Slupphaug G. Base excision repair of DNA in mammalian cells. FEBS Lett. 2000;476:73-7.
- Xi T, Jones IM, Mohrenweiser HW. Many amino acid substitution variants identified in DNA repair genes during human population screenings are predicted to impact protein function. Genomics. 2004;83 (6): 970-9.
- Li M, Wilson DM 3rd. Human Apurinic/Apyrimidinic Endonuclease 1. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2013 Aug 20. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 23834463.
- Tell G, Damante G, Caldwell D, Kelley MR. The intracellular localization of APE1/Ref-1: more than a passive phenomenon? Antioxid. Redox Signal. 2005;7: 367-84.
- Tell G, Fantini D, Quadrifoglio F. Understanding different functions of mammalian AP endonuclease (APE1) as a promising tool for cancer treatment. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2010; 67(21):3589-608.
- Wu B, Liu HL, Zhang S, Dong XR, Wu G. Lack of an association between two BER gene polymorphisms and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2012;7(12):e50857.
- Gu D, Wang M, Zhang Z, Chen J. The DNA repair gene APE1 T1349G polymorphism and cancer risk: a meta-analysis of 27 case-control studies. Mutagenesis. 2009;24(6):507-12.
- Zhou B, Shan H, Su Y, Xia K, Shao X, Mao W, et al. The association of APE1 -656 T>G and 1349 T>G polymorphisms and cancer risk: a meta-analysis based on 37 case-control studies. BMC Cancer. 2011;11:521.

- Lo YL, Jou YS, Hsiao CF, Chang GC, Tsai YH, Su WC, et al. A polymorphism in the APE1 gene promoter is associated with lung cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009;18(1):223-9.
- Wang M, Chu H, Wang S, Wang M, Wang W, Han S, et al. Genetic variant in APE1 gene promoter contributes to cervical cancer risk. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2013; 209(4):360.e1-7.
- Lu J, Zhang S, Chen D, Wang H, Wu W, Wang X, et al. Functional characterization of a promoter polymorphism in APE1/Ref-1 that contributes to reduced lung cancer susceptibility. FASEB J. 2009;23(10):3459-69.
- Kang H, Dai Z, Ma X, Ma L, Jin Y, Liu X, et al. A genetic variant in the promoter of APE1 gene (-656 T>G) is associated with breast cancer risk and progression in a Chinese population. Gene. 2013;531(1):97-100.
- Jing B, Wang J, Chang WL, Li B, Chen J, Niu YJ. Association of the polymorphism of APE1 gene with the risk of prostate cancer in Chinese Han population. Clin Lab. 2013;59(1-2):163-8.
- Li Z, Guan W, Li MX, Zhong ZY, Qian CY, Yang XQ, et al. Genetic polymorphism of DNA base-excision repair genes (APE1, OGG1 and XRCC1) and their correlation with risk of lung cancer in a Chinese population. Arch Med Res. 2011;42(3):226-34.
- Cao Q, Qin C, Meng X, Ju X, Ding Q, Wang M, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in APE1 are associated with renal cell carcinoma risk in a chinese population. Mol Carcinog. 2011;50(11):863-70.
- Zhao Y, Han Y, Zhang L, Wang Y, Ma Y, Zhang F, et al. Quantitative assessment of the effect of cytochrome P450 2C9 gene polymorphism and colorectal cancer. PLoS One. 2013;8(4): e60607.
- Berndt SI, Huang WY, Fallin MD, Helzlsouer KJ, Platz EA, Weissfeld JL, et al. Genetic variation in base excision repair genes and the prevalence of advanced colorectal adenoma. Cancer Res. 2007;67(3):1395-404.
- Wang M, Qin C, Zhu J, Yuan L, Fu G, Zhang Z, et al. Genetic variants of XRCC1, APE1, and ADPRT genes and risk of bladder cancer. DNA Cell Biol 2010;29(6):303-311.
- Zhou K, Hu D, Lu J, Fan W, Liu H, Chen H, et al. A genetic variant in the APE1/Ref-1 gene promoter -141 T/G may modulate risk of glioblastoma in a Chinese Han population. BMC Cancer. 2011;11:104.
- Pan H, Niu Ŵ, Ĥe L, Wang B, Cao J, Zhao F, et al. Contributory Role of Five Common Polymorphisms of RAGE and APE1 Genes in Lung Cancer among Han Chinese. PLoS One. 2013;8(7):e69018.
- Wood RD, Mitchell M, Lindahl T. Human DNA repair genes, 2005. Mutat Res. 2005;577(1): 275-83.
- Mohrenweiser HW, Wilson DM 3rd, Jones IM. Challenges and complexities in estimating both the functional impact and the disease risk associated with the extensive genetic variation in human DNA repair genes. Mutat Res. 2003;526: 93-125.
- Hu JJ, Smith TR, Miller MS, Lohman K, Case LD. Genetic regulation of ionizing radiation sensitivity and breast cancer risk. Environ Mol Mutagen. 2002;39(2-3):208-15.
- Zhao Q, Wang W, Zhang Z, Wang S, Wang M, Zhou J, et al. A genetic variation in APE1 is associated with gastric cancer survival in a Chinese population. Cancer Sci. 2011;102(7):1293-7.