A long way to the battlefront: CAR T cell therapy against solid cancers
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Abstract

Chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) are engineered synthetic receptors that redirect and reprogram T cells to tumor surface antigens for subsequent eradication. The unprecedented efficacy of CD19-CAR T cells against B-cell malignancies has inspired oncologists to extend these efforts for the treatment of solid tumors. However, limited success has been achieved so far, partially due to some of the formidable challenges, e.g. suppression of full activation, inhibition of T cell localization, lacking of ideal targets, inefficient trafficking and infiltration, immunosuppression of microenvironment, and the probability of off targets and associated side effects. Significant progresses have being made recently. Thus, an updated summary is urgently needed. Here in this review, we discuss the advantages and some of the key hurdles encountered by CAR T cell therapy in solid tumors as well as the strategies adopted to improve therapeutic outcomes of this approach. Continuing efforts to increase therapeutic potential and decrease the adverse effects of adaptive cell transfer are suggested as well.
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Introduction

Adoptive cell transfer of genetically engineered T cells expressing synthetic chimeric antigen receptor (CAR), allows for collecting, redirecting and reprogramming a patient’s own T lymphocytes to treat his/her cancer (Fig. 1). The rapidly emerging of CAR-T cell immunotherapy has shown encouraging results in treating advanced tumors by recognizing and binding to many different tumor-specific and tumor -associated antigens (TAA), particularly in treating
hematological malignancies [1]. CARs allow T cells to recognize and attach to tumor surface antigens, while bypassing T cell specific activation checkpoints [2]. The CAR-transduced T cells are expanded ex vivo into hundreds of millions in a cell manufacturing facility and then adoptively transferred back to patient with the hope of selectively targeting and killing the antigen-expressing tumor cells [3] (Fig.1).

The direct impact and potential mechanisms of CAR T cell therapy on cancer cells are mainly determined by the basic CAR structure. A typical CAR is composed of an extracellular antigen-specific immunoglobulin single-chain variable fragment (scFv) fused via a transmembrane domain to intracellular costimulatory signaling molecules. The binding of scFv to tumor antigens will trigger T cell receptor and costimulatory signaling, resulting in activation of T cells and subsequent killing of target cells. According to the intracellular signaling domains, there are four generations of CARs that have been used in clinical studies. The first generation receptors only use CD3ζ chain derived from the TCR as intracellular signaling domain to stimulate T cell activation. The second and third generation CARs consist of one or two co-stimulatory domains respectively, combining activation and costimulatory signaling domains like 4-1BB, CD28, or OX40, etc. to achieve robust expansion and persistence of CAR T cells in vivo [4,5]. The fourth generation CAR T cells contain additional genes encoding cytokines such as IL-12 and IL-15 [6].

The essential parameters for achieving high clinical efficacy in vivo depend on stable expression of the CAR, antigen specific recognition, activation, and subsequent expansion, cytotoxic activation, engraftment and persistence of the effector cells [7]. To avoid fratricide events, the targeted antigen should be expressed at high level by all cancer cells in a large number of patients, and should not be expressed, nor rested or activated by some vital normal tissues [8]. By following these criteria, around 90% complete remissions have been observed in heavily pre-treated patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell malignancies [9], leading to the development and use of two CD-19-targeted therapeutic drugs approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), one is tisagenlecleucel (Kymriah) for children and adolescents, the other is axicabtagene ciloleucel (Yescarta) for adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) [10].

Despite these unprecedented clinical impacts, more potent and antigen specific CARs are needed to treat solid tumors [8]. This issue is due, at least in part, to the limited number of target antigens, the less efficient infiltration, accumulation and survival of transduced CAR T cells to the tumor and the occurrence of immune escape [11]. Moreover, there are some unique challenges posed by solid tumors that limit full and persistent function of infused CAR T cells, including the oxidative, nutritional depleted, acidic, and hypoxic microenvironment, the suppressive soluble factors, cytokines, and immune cells as well as T cell intrinsic negative regulatory molecules [8]. Some extra caution is required with regard to side effects endured by patients due to cytokine release syndrome (CRS), neurotoxicity, or on-target off-tumor toxicity [12]. Given of these multiple hurdles, a growing numbers of preclinical studies and clinical trials are being initiated by targeting antigens expressed on tumor surfaces, such as human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), mesothelin (MSLN), and diganglioside GD2 [13].

Herein, we offer our prospects on some of the key immunosuppressive barriers and other negative elements within solid tumors, especially focusing on innovative strategies to overcome immunosuppression, reduce toxicities, and prevent antigen escape. Advances in the specific antigen selection, genetic engineering, side effects prevention are poised to broaden T cell based
therapies and foster new applications.

**Delivery, trafficking and infiltration of CAR T cells**

Delivery of CAR T cells

Solid malignances impose considerable obstacles to effective CAR T cell immunotherapy, such as insufficient delivery of T cells to the tumor sites and inefficient functional persistence. One strategy to overcome this problem is direct administration of T cells to the lesions sites, thus avoiding their homing from the blood stream. In contrast to systematic intravenous application, local delivery of CAR T cells via intra-pleural or intra-cerebral injection exhibited enhanced immune responses \[14,15\] and improved safety profile with limited systemic absorption \[16\].

Intra-tumoral administration of ErbB retargeted CAR T cells in patients with head and neck cancer is safe without obvious dose limiting toxicity or systemic absorption \[17\]. Intrapleurally delivery of mesothelin-targeted CAR T cells bypasses trafficking barriers and redistributes to other tumor sites with superior efficacy than systemically infused T cells, thus allowing robust CAR T cell expansion and long-term complete remission \[14\]. Similarly, multiple infusion of CAR T cells directed to interleukin-13 receptor α2 (IL13Rα2) in one glioblastoma patient by intraventricular and intracavity administration induced a complete remission \[18\].

In a small phase I study, one out of 6 patients with liver metastases showed stable disease after percutaneous hepatic artery administration of CEA-CAR T cells \[19\]. In contrast to intravenous administration, intratumoral injection of AFP-CAR T cells induced a much more profound, rapid, and lasting effector response, therefor might be a more attractive and safer clinical path \[20\]. Additionally, several clinical trials are underway for evaluating the merits of local delivery of CAR T cells in solid tumors (NCT02498912, NCT02414269, NCT01818323). However, further investigation are still needed to overcome the difficulties when injecting CAR T cells regionally, such as technical challenges and trafficking through the blood to other tumor locations \[21\].

**Trafficking and infiltration of CAR-T cells**

After a tumor antigen specific CAR was generated and infused into a patient, the first of many obstacles encountered is whether these CAR T cells can successfully target, accumulate and infiltrate into the tumor to efficiently exert antitumor effects. During these processes, the CAR T cells need to adhere to endothelial cells and initiate chemokine-chemokine receptor interactions to facilitate their extravasation into antigen-rich regions \[22\]. However, chemokines produced by most solid tumors do not favor T cell infiltration. The mismatch occurs quite frequently, with tumors secreting little chemokine or the CAR T cell has very small amount of chemokine receptors, resulting in little migration to the tumor site \[21\]. Therefore, better solutions are needed. One way to solve this problem is to profile the chemokine signature of a tumor or genetically engineer the better-matched chemokine receptor of the CAR T cell. Indeed, CAR T cells genetically modified to co-express CXCR2/CCR2b (receptor for CXCL1) showed enhanced targeting towards mesothelioma tumor cells expressing CXCL1 \[24,25\]. Similarly, increasing the expression level of CXCR3 by genetic inhibition of protein kinase A activity in CAR T cells improved trafficking and
tumor control [26]. This effect has also been observed in CD30-CAR T cells bearing CCR4 (receptor for CCL17) to target Hodgkin’s lymphoma [27]. Some other initiatives has also been taken to enhance CAR T cell targeting and trafficking by using oncolytic viruses armed with chemotactic chemokines. These viruses have the ability to specifically infect and lyse tumor cells. For examples, the use of oncolytic adenoviral vector co-expressing CCL5 and GD2-CAR T cells to target neuroblastoma has shown improved CAR T cell infiltration and better tumor control [28]. As an alternative strategy, the tumor chemokine secretion can be modulated by directly injection of oncolytic adenoviruses expressing RANTES and IL-15 into neuroblastoma, with enhanced CAR T cell infiltration and more efficient tumor control [28].

Targeting and disrupting the tumor vasculature provide an alternative solution to not only restrict blood flow and nutrient supply to the tumor, but also enhancing T cell infiltration. Therefore, CAR T cells engineered to express degrading enzymes such as heparanase, which degrades heparan sulfate proteoglycans, the main components of extra cellular matrix, improved tumor T cell penetration capacity and anti-tumor effects [29]. CAR T cells targeting VEGFR2, which was expressed on angiogenic endothelial cells and myeloid suppressor cells, resulted in increased tumor infiltration and anti-tumor effects [30]. Likewise, CAR T cells incorporating ligands for αvβ3, an integrin expressed on tumor vascular endothelium, improved migration [31]. Additionally, blocking the endothelin B receptor has been shown to enhance T cell infiltration into tumor lesions [32]. To circumvent the physical barriers that potentially impede CAR T cell infiltration, localized delivery of CAR T cells to the tumor surface has also been described [33].

**Activation, proliferation and survival of CAR T cells**

Activation of CAR-T cells

CAR T cell activity could be either regulated by tumor antigens or some intrinsic factors within T cells. The physiological activation of CAR T cells requires the density of tumor associated antigen to be above a certain threshold. Generally, a relative higher density is necessary to induce cytokine production and cell proliferation [34]. CAR T cell activation is also affected by the expression of CARs on the cell surface [35]. Lower CAR expression leads to subactivation of the CAR T cells, whereas overexpression can induce tumor antigen independent activation [36].

Inhibitory receptors including programed death-1 (PD-1) and cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) play a vital role in attenuating or terminating T cell responses. Some of them can be upregulated during T cell activation to inhibit immune responses [37]. Ligation of PD-1 or CTLA-4 during T cell priming blocks activation, cytokine production, and proliferation [38], whereas blockade of them restores T cell function [39]. Although IL-2 can support CAR T cells in vivo, it may also activate and induce proliferation of regulatory T cells (Tregs) [40]. Thus, the use of alternative cytokines instead of IL-2, such as IL-7 and IL-21, was explored, and enhanced CAR activity has been observed [41].

Proliferation of CAR-T cells

The varied in vivo expansion and persistence of CAR T cells is mainly attributed to the varied CAR transgene structure, co-stimulatory factors, gene integration methods, starting T cell
population, culture techniques and final product composition. CAR T cells used in the initial
studies contain only CD3ζ signal, thus may result in insufficient in vivo expansion. Therefore,
costimulatory signals incorporating CD28, 4-1BB, and/or OX40 in series with CD3ζ are
developed to produce the second- (one stimulatory domain) and third- (two stimulatory domains)
generation CARs [42]. These genetically enhanced CARs significantly improved the clinical results,
yielding robust in vivo cell expansion and near uniform efficacy [43].

For in vitro CAR T cell stimulation and expansion, anti-CD3/CD28 dynabeads and
plate-bounded anti-CD3 antibody are the most commonly used methods [44]. Furthermore, addition
of homeostatic cytokines to T cell cultures can rescue the poor in vitro growth and inadequate
expanding of T cells [45]. Incorporation of homeostatic cytokines including interleukin 7 (IL-7) and
IL-15 have achieved a very high rate of manufacturing success in clinical trial [46]. For in vivo
expansion and accumulation, CAR T cells have been created to constitutively secrete IL-12, a
pro-inflammatory cytokine better known for increasing the proliferation of T cells [47].

Intraperitoneally injection of mice bearing MUC16 (CA125) positive ovarian cancer with MUC16
targeted CAR expressing IL-12 has shown increased antitumor response with a higher rate of
CAR T cell accumulation in peritoneum and enhanced recruitment of endogenous T cells [47].

To achieve efficient transduction and expansion of patient-derived T cells, a chimeric
cytokine receptor named 4αβ has been co-expressed with CAR T cells and tested in preclinical
studies. This receptor incorporating the IL-4 receptor-α ectodomain and the shared β chain by
IL-1/IL-15 delivers a potent mitogenic signal into the cell, promoting the selective enrichment and
expansion of CAR T cells [48]. After IL-4 mediated cell expansion, the engineered CAR T cells
produce a broader range of cytokines and show an increased anti-tumor activity against multiple
cancers including head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), breast cancer and ovarian
cancer [49].

Survival of CAR-T cells

Strategies to improve CAR T cell immunotherapy have mainly focused on sustained clinical
remission in patients [50]. To have durable in vivo activity, significant in vivo expansion and long
term persistence of CAR T cells are required. Factors including the CAR design, starting T cell
population, ex vivo culture, T cell exhaustion, or host immunogenicity can influence the
persistence of CAR T cells [51,52]. By initiating T cell cultures from prescribed subsets of T cells,
such as central memory CD8+ T cells, but not commonly used peripheral blood mono-nuclear cells
may facilitate the engraftment fitness and long term in vivo viability of the product [53,54].

It has been demonstrated that CD28 co-stimulation promotes T cell proliferation and
persistence, glucose metabolism, and a potent effector response, while 4-1BB based CAR appears
to stimulate lipid oxidation and enhance long term T cell persistence [55]. Incorporation of the
ICOS and 4-1BB intracellular domains into a third-generation CAR augmented the effector
function and in vivo persistence, with ICOS placed proximal to the cell membrane and linked to
the ICOS transmembrane domain as the prerequisites [56,57]. It has also been shown that the
incorporation of cytokine-encoding genes such as IL-7 into the constructs of CAR transgene
enhances the proliferation and survival of T cells [41,58].

The murine-based scFv domain may elicit host immune-mediated rejection of CAR,
shortening persistence of CAR T cells in vivo. Thus, the humanized or fully human CAR
constructs are preferred in recent studies. Additionally, site specific integration of CAR within the T cell genome may enhance therapeutic efficacy, as shown in preclinical study that insertion of CAR into TCR locus sustained CAR transgene expression and successfully inhibited T cell exhaustion \[35\]. Other potential strategies for enhancing therapeutic efficacy including CAR modified T memory stem cells exhibiting improved engraftment fitness and long-lasting immunity \[59\], and Epstein Barr Virus (EBV) transformed lymphoblastoid cells enable post-CAR T cell vaccination, with enhanced persistence \[44\]. Another ongoing project in order to enhance CAR T cell persistence is using autologous T cells expressing a truncated form of CD19 protein to continuously stimulate CD19 CAR T cells in vivo \[60\].

**The interactions of CAR T cells with other cells and molecules in the TME**

Unlike the hematologic malignancies with circulating target cells, CAR T cells in solid tumor must successfully traffic to tumor sites and infiltrate the stromal elements in spite of chemokine/chemokine receptor mismatches, antigen loss or heterogeneity. Even after effective migration and infiltration, CAR T cells must surmount many more challenges characterized by physical and chemical barriers in the TME: high tissue pressure, extracellular matrix and abnormal vasculature, immunosuppressive molecules and immune regulators, as well as T cell intrinsic inhibitory mechanisms and potential immunogenicity compromise CAR T cell anti-tumor functions \[61\] (Fig.2).

**The interactions of CAR T cells with inhibitors and antibody**

Notably, the inhibitory effect is tightly associated with the tumor microenvironment, as removal of it restores CAR T cell effectiveness. Thus, appropriate manipulation of the TME offers new opportunities for improving clinical therapeutic outcomes \[13\]. Pre-conditioning chemotherapy by using cyclophosphamide alone or coupled with fludarabine facilitates infused T cell engraftment and decreases suppressive immune cells in TME. Some small molecules including IDO inhibitors, lenalidomide, adenosine antagonists and ibrutinib were also used to interfere with immunosuppressive cells and pathways \[62\]. Multiple approaches by using blocking antibodies, dominant-negative receptors and targeted gene disruption have been developed to modulate checkpoint blockade, which may facilitate sustainable function and persistence of engineered T cells \[63\].

In fact, upon tumor-antigen encounter, multiple inhibitory immune receptors including CTLA-4, and/or PD-1 are upregulated on T cells \[64\], therefore allowing tumor progression. The use of PD-1 blockade by continuously secreting anti-PD-1 antibody \[65\] or directly application of monoclonal antibodies \[66\] attenuated the inhibitory signaling and enhanced anti-tumor response of CAR T cells in xenograft mouse model or in patients with melanoma and renal cancer \[67\]. Alternatively, using a multiplex genome editing tools (CRISPR/Cas9), universal CAR T cells resistant to PD-1 inhibition has been generated, resulting in enhanced in vivo antitumor response \[68\].

**The interactions of CAR T cells with cytokines**
Another obstacle to overcome in the inhibitory ascitic TME is the presence of immunosuppressive cytokines, which may inactivate adoptively transferred CAR T cell antitumor activity. One of the possible solutions is the development of armored CARs capable of constitutive secretion of activating cytokine like IL-12, which appears to be more effective in preclinical studies. Specifically, constitutive IL-12 signaling repolarizes the TME, enhances T cell cytotoxicity, and promotes resistance against Treg immunosuppression. Secretion of IL-12 by engineered CAR T cells limited tumor antigen escape events by destruction of antigen negative cancer cells. Moreover, production of other activating cytokines such as IL-2 and IL-15 has been shown to improve CAR T cell functionality as well. MUC1-CAR T cells incorporating chimeric IL-4 receptors mimicked IL-2 signaling and resulted in enhanced CAR efficacy.

The solid tumor microenvironment is comprised of multiple immune suppressor cells including M2 tumor associated macrophages (M2-TAM), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), Tregs and B cells (Bregs), which likely blunt the efficacy of CAR T cells. Among them, M2-TAM and MDSC are well-known producers of TGFβ, PGE2, reactive oxygen/nitrogen species, and MDSC may also recruit Tregs. It has been demonstrated that infusion of CEA-CAR T cells in combination with MDSC depletion enhanced anti-tumor efficacy.

Overcoming the TME immunosuppression by novel CAR designs

An alternative approach to overcome immunosuppression is to generate novel CARs incorporating dominant negative receptors (DNRs) that can override the inhibitory signals in the TME. DNRs often maintain the extracellular domain of a membrane receptor but have the mutant or nullified intracellular chain, thus competing with the endogenous receptor and disrupting the downstream signaling. The use of DNR form of TGFβ and PD-1 have conferred the transduced EBV cells and CAR T cells with resistance to immune suppression, respectively.

CAR containing switchable receptors is another approach to circumvent immunosuppression. The switchable receptor has the extracellular region of an antibody specific for an immunosuppressive molecule, and an intracellular activation domain, with the aim of redirecting the inhibitory effects to an activating one, as shown by CAR T cells bearing PD-1-CD28 switch receptor that exhibiting enhanced infiltration and anti-tumor activity. It has also been demonstrated that inhibition of Protein Kinase A with Ezrin using a regulatory subunit 1 anchoring disruptor (RIAD-CAR) resulted in enhanced resistance to immunosuppressive adenosine in the TME and anti-tumor response.

These strategies abate some of the resistant effects, but are not sufficient to remove all. Additional steps including therapeutic combinations and design of more potent CARs are needed to confer resistance towards inhibition. Previous studies have shown that serial infusion of armed T cells or incorporation of cytokine receptors can alleviate immune suppression and enhance CAR activity.
T cell functionality [83,84]. Additionally, reducing the expression of diacylglycerol kinase alleviated intracellular negative feedback signaling and significantly increased efficacy [85]. FAP-CARs targeting tumor fibroblasts [86] and CAR T cells secreting matrix degrading enzymes [29] augmented antitumor function in animal models.

**The direct impact and potential mechanisms of CAR T cell therapy on normal cells**

One major challenge hindering the success of CAR T cell therapy against solid tumor is the relative low expression of target antigen on variety of potentially important normal tissues, leading to on-target, off-tumor toxicity. Even traces or transient expression of a target antigen in vital normal tissues may lead to undesired adverse events, as seen with EGFR, MART-1, MAGE-A3, CEA, or ERBB2 targeted T cell therapies [87,88].

Feasible strategies have been developed to enable CAR T cells to discriminate tumors overexpressing the target from normal tissues expressing the same antigen at relative low physiologic levels by tuning scFv affinity [89]. Decreasing the scFv affinity but maintaining robust antitumor efficacy of the EGFR-targeted CAR T cells can eliminate or strongly inhibit the reactivity against normal tissues [90].

Alternative strategies focusing on novel CAR design are necessary to avoid reactivity against normal tissues. Some CARs are designed to use suicide genes, such as EGFR mutation [91], inducible caspase 9 (iCas9) gene [92], and the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK) gene [93], which can be activated and mediate rapid T cell elimination after treatment of a prodrug or antibody in case of unwanted adverse events. Some other CARs are designed based on the concept of combinatorial antigen recognition [94], inhibitory receptors [95], split-signalling receptors [96], and sequentially acting receptors [97].

**Exhaustion and senescence of T cells**

Exhaustion and senescence of T cells are similar but not entirely the same [98]. Exhaustion is progressively loss of activated T cell function due to persistent antigen stimulation, whereas senescence is cell cycle arrest caused by aging [99]. In comparison to exhaustion, senescent T cells express different sets of markers and secrete high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines [100].

Exhaustion

T cells exposed to persistent antigen are often associated with the deterioration of T cell function that inefficient to control tumors, known as ‘exhaustion’ [101]. Exhausted T cells lose cytotoxicity, reduce T cell proliferation and stimulatory cytokine production, alter chromatin structure and expression of key transcription factors [39,102]. The endogenous TCR can also impose negative effects on the persistence of CAR T cells when CAR with distinct TCR specificity is introduced into T cells [103]. Incorporation of CAR into the endogenous TCRα gene locus prevented exhaustion and improved the functionality of CAR T cells [35].

It has been demonstrated that the inhibitory receptor, PD-1- mediated CAR T cell exhaustion can be reversed by application of PD-1 antibody or co-transduction of PD-1 dominant negative receptor [79]. In addition to inhibitory receptors, co-stimulatory receptors, such as 4-1BBζ and
CD28ζ are involved in T cell exhaustion as well. Further studies indicated that antigen-dependent CD28ζ based CAR T cells improve proliferation and persistence, whereas 4-1BBζ based CAR T cells induce early exhaustion, thereby limiting the effector functions. However, early exhaustion caused by the antigen independent clustering of CAR scFv triggered persistent tonic signaling is augmented by CD28 co-stimulation, but reduced by 4-1BB, highlighting the importance of optimized CAR design.

Senescence

T cell senescence is different from T cell exhaustion. It has been recognized as a key player of immunosuppression in cancer patients and the aging population. Although telomere length shortens with cell replication due to progressively lose telomerase activity in normal adult somatic cells, it can be maintained in human T lymphocytes because of reactivation of telomerase activity when encountered with persistent antigen stimulation. However, with the differentiation of T cells, telomerase activity is reduced, resulting in telomere erosion and replicative senescence. Thus, preventing or reversing replicative and early T cell senescence is required to increase lifespan and clinical outcome of cancer patients.

Importantly, senescent effector T cells induced by both naturally occurring Treg cell initiated DNA damage and tumor-derived γδ Treg cells have potent suppressive activities, which is cooperatively regulated by ERK1/2, P38 signaling and STAT1, STAT3 signaling. Hopefully, the adverse effect of T cell senescence can be reversed via inhibition of DNA damage response and/or STATA signaling.

Markers for exhaustion and senescence

Exhausted T cells lose the capacity to produce IL-2, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and interferon-γ, lose cytotoxicity and stop proliferation. The increased expression of inhibitory receptors, including PD-1, CTLA-4, CD160, lymphocyte activation gene 3 (LAG-3), T cell immunoglobulin mucin 3 (TIM-3) has been identified as exhaustion associated markers. Therefore, blocking the interaction of these and other T cell negative check-point receptor pathways using targeted reagents may serve as potential strategies for the reversal of T cell exhaustion.

T cells in replicative senescence have phenotypic changes, including down-regulation of co-stimulatory molecules such as CD27 and CD28 while expressing killer cell lectin-like receptor subfamily G (KLRG-1), CD57, the T cell immunoreceptor with Ig and tyrosine-based inhibitory motif (ITIM) domains (TIGIT), senescence-associated-β-galactosidase (SA-β-Gal), cell cycle-regulating proteins such as P15, P16 and P21. Molecules regulating the G1/S phase transition, including p16/Cdk6 and p21/WAF bounds are upregulated, while Cdk2 and cyclinD3 are down-regulated, forcing T cells enter into a replicative senescence.

Targets and associated heterogeneity

The first step for CAR T cell therapy is targeting an ideal TAA, which should be highly expressed on the surface of tumor mass but not or lowly expressed on normal tissues.
Unfortunately, unlike the consistent expression of CD19 antigen on leukemia tumors, identification of specific antigens on solid tumor is rare. A growing number of tumor antigens are being evaluated for CAR T cell therapy (Table1), including diganglioside GD2 on neuroblastoma [113] and HER2 on sarcoma tumor cells [114].

Targets for non-small cell lung cancer and pancreatic cancer

In order to treat non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) by using CAR T cell therapy, several tumor antigens including MSLN, HER2, EGFR, MUC1, and CEA are tested [115] (Table 1). For example, EGFR-targeted CAR T cells for NSCLC in a Phase I clinical trial have been evaluated, with 2 out of 11 partial response and 5 stable disease for a period of 2-8 months [116]. For pancreatic cancer, CAR T cells targeting MSLN, prostate stem cell antigen (PSCA), MUC1, HER2 and EGFR are under evaluation in clinical trials [117]. In a Phase I study, HER2-positive biliary tract cancers and pancreatic patients are infiltrated with HER2-targeted CAR T cells, with 1 out of 11 patients obtained a partial response and 5 have stable disease [118].

Targets for ovarian cancer

In ovarian carcinoma, tumor antigens including MUC16 [119], prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) [120] and 5T4 [121] have been tested for CAR T cell therapy. Aside from using scFv for CAR construction, different receptors, such as NKG2D, whose ligands NKG2DL are highly expressed in ovarian cancer but absent in normal tissues, have been incorporated into CARs. Preclinical results showed that NKG2D-targeted CAR T cells are efficient in recognizing and eradicating NKG2DL-expressing ovarian cancer cells [122].

Targets for Glioblastoma

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is the most common and aggressive primary malignant brain cancer with grave prognosis [123]. Dysregulation of the transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptor EGFR can lead to various malignancies including GBM [124]. The deletion of 267 amino acids in extracellular domain of EGFR results in EGFRvIII variant, which is commonly and specifically expressed on GBM cancer cells [124]. CAR T cells targeting EGFRvIII can effectively cross the blood-brain barrier [125] and amount potent antitumor effects against EGFRvIII expressing glioblastoma stem cell lines without having any side effect on co-cultured normal cells [126]. A Phase I clinical trial using EGFRvIII-CAR T cell therapy to treat GBM patients demonstrated expansion of CAR T cells in peripheral blood and decrease in tumor burden [127]. Another attractive target for CAR T cell therapy in GBM patients is HER2, which is a transmembrane glycoprotein and belongs to EGFR family [128]. In GBM animal models, HER2-CAR T cells exhibited enhanced antitumor efficacy [129]. In a clinical study, 17 patients with HER2-positive GBM were infused with HER2-CAR T cells, out of which, one patient have partial response and 7 achieved stable disease [130].

Interleukin-13 receptor chain 2 (IL13Rα2), which is commonly overexpressed on GBM but absent on normal brain cells, is another important target for CAR T cell therapy in treating GBM patients [131]. Initial promising results have been obtained in a Phase I clinical trial for evaluation
of CAR T cells targeting IL13Rα in recurrent GBM patients by intracranial delivery, with 2 out of 3 patients having complete remission \[^{18}\]. Later on, the same group adopted another delivery strategy, intraventricular infusions of multiple times of genetically engineered T cells directed to the IL13Rα2, achieving complete regression in one metastatic GBM patient \[^{18}\]. CAR T cells directed to alternative antigens against GBM including ephrin type A receptor 2 (EphA2), which is also highly expressed in GBM but not in normal brain tissue \[^{132}\].

Heterogeneity

Antigens for solid tumor exhibit tissue and genetic heterogeneity with regards to varying levels of expression intensity and distribution of antigen positive cells, posing a major challenge for CAR T cell therapy \[^{133}\]. It has been shown that, MSLN is overexpressed in NSCLC in comparison to normal tissue, but exhibits varying levels among tumor cells \[^{134}\]. The intratumoral heterogeneity of other antigen targets, including HER2, MUC1, prostate stem cell antigen (PSCA) and epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM), has also been reported \[^{135}\]. Tumor cells expressing high levels of specific antigen were preferentially eliminated, whereas those expressing low levels of antigen survived \[^{136}\]. However, evidences begin to reveal that the immune-mediated anti-tumor effects and the ensuing inflammation induced by CAR T cell responses may initiate new immune responses and subsequent killing of any cancer cells \[^{137}\]. Novel CAR designs, such as dual TAA-targeted CARs, tandem CARs, switchable CARs, inhibitory CARs, and the rational design of ‘AND’, ‘OR’, and ‘NOT’ gated approaches are being investigated to mitigate tumor antigen heterogeneity \[^{138,139}\].

Minimizing variability between patient products

The interpatient variability of starting immune cell populations might result in unsuccessful CAR T cell production in vitro and unpredictable T cell expansion and tumor killing activity in vivo \[^{140}\]. Strategies including control of CD4/CD8 ratio and CAR expression, generation of universal CAR T cells have been used to minimize variability among patient products. Enhanced efficacy has been demonstrated by culturing CD4 and CD8 cells separately and combining them in a defined ratio at the time of infusion \[^{54}\]. Furthermore, uniform levels of CAR expression has been achieved by using in-process selection of the transduced cells \[^{46}\].

The use of autologous T cells for CAR-based therapies requires individualized cell production, which makes interpatient variability very problematic with the risk of host immune rejection or GVHD. To circumvent these obstacles, genome-editing tools are harnessed for eliminating the endogenous HLA and/or TCRs on the surface of CAR T cells \[^{68}\] or NK cells \[^{7}\]. Combining CAR expression with deletion of TCRα and CD52 in a clinical setting \[^{141}\] or down regulate expression of CD3/TCRαβ in preclinical studies \[^{142}\] appears feasible and effective in CAR T cell production.

Most of the current CARs adopt a rigid design composing a fixed scFv and intracellular signaling domains, greatly limiting the controllability of CAR T cells \[^{143}\]. To afford greater flexibility in antigen recognition, split CAR using a universal receptor as the signaling motif connected with a dissociable antigen recognition motif has been developed, allowing a great many antigens to be targeted without re-engineering the T cells \[^{144,145}\]. In order to further expand the
CAR T cell capability, a split, universal, and programmable (SUPRA) CAR system was developed. It is a single, feature-rich and integrated system incorporating multiple “upgrades”, such as the ability to switch targets without re-engineering the immune cells via a split CAR configuration, fine-tune T cell activation strength via multiple mechanisms, sense and logically respond to multiple antigens for enhancing specificity.

Summary and future perspectives

The great potential of CAR T cell therapy has been validated in relapsed and refractory B-All malignancies, yet the clinical responses currently in solid tumors have been sporadic. Here, we updated the major challenges and difficulties encountered by CAR T cells targeting against solid tumors, and discussed strategies to overcome these obstacles as well. Solid tumors constitute a challenge due to multiple reasons: lack of antigen specificity, poor trafficking and expansion, hostile immunosuppressive microenvironment, tumor escape and relapse, toxicity of CARs, as well as time-consuming and expensive manufacturing of CAR T cells. Given the limitations of current CAR T cell therapy, sophisticated strategies are under investigation to overcome these challenges, such as targeting multiple tumor antigens to lessen antigen escape, harnessing immunocheckpoint inhibitors to increase effector response, and regional infusion of CAR T cells for direct effect. In particular, innovative CAR design and logic-gated approaches allow for exploiting the full therapeutic potential of CAR T cells and enabling treatment of a broader range of cancer patients in the near future. Apart from autologous T cell as the major source used at present, allogeneic cells including stem cell-derived, ‘off the shelf’ T cells may play an important role in the future.

Compared with conventional CAR T cells, CRISPR/Cas9- edited CAR T cells showed an enhanced potency, delayed differentiation and exhaustion, highlighting genome-editing technology as a promising approach to make additional changes and improvements to the infused CAR T cells. In addition to genome-editing technology, other therapeutic measures including chemotherapies, radiation, immune checkpoint blockade antibodies and cytokine treatment might be combined with CAR T cell therapy to broaden the application and enhance the efficacy for individual cancer elimination. Despite progress in managing severe cytokine release and neurotoxicity, the mechanisms behind are poorly understood, further improvement of the CAR T cell therapy for treating solid cancers is therefore deserves more attention.
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Figure 1: Flowcharts of CAR T cell therapy. T cells are collected from peripheral blood of patients and then selected and activated. The CAR genes were transferred into T cells via viral or non-viral systems and expressed. The CAR T cells are expanded in vitro into hundreds of millions in a cell manufacturing facility and then adoptively transferred back to patient. When CAR recognizes the antigen on tumor cells, the intracellular signaling domains within the CAR produce a series of cytokines, resulting in the activation of CAR T cells.
Figure 2: Tumor microenvironment (TME) in solid tumor imposes immunosuppression to CAR T cells. Strategies including using immune checkpoint blockade inhibitors or antibodies, activating cytokines and novel CARs were adopted to circumvent the TME suppression effects.

Table 1: Surface molecules as potential targets for CAR T cell therapies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malignancy</th>
<th>Target Antigen</th>
<th>Clinical evaluation</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>HER2/EGFR/MUC1/CEA</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>[115,116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>PSCA/MUC1/HER2/EGFR</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>[117,118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>MUC16/PSMA/ST4/NKG2DL/HER2</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>[119,120,121,122]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>